Supporting Information

Unearth the Understanding of Interfacial Engineering Techniques on

Nano Sulfur Cathodes for Steady Li-S Cell Systems

Ting Meng,^a Jiechang Gao,^a Jianhui Zhu,^b Ning Li, ^a Maowen Xu,^a Chang Ming Li,^a Jian Jiang^{a*}

^aSchool of Materials and Energy, and Chongqing Key Lab for Advanced Materials and Clean Energies of Technologies Southwest University, No.2 Tiansheng Road, BeiBei District, Chongqing 400715, P.R. China. ^bSchool of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, No.2 Tiansheng Road, BeiBei District,

Chongqing 400715, P.R. China.

To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: +86-23-68254842.

*E-mail: ecmli@swu.edu.cn (C.M. Li); jjiang@swu.edu.cn (J. Jiang).



Fig. S1: XRD pattern of S@PPy specimen.



Fig. S2: The Raman spectroscopy of S@PPy.



Fig. S3: The TG testing of S@PPy-I, S@PPy-II and S@PPy-III samples.



Fig. S4: TEM images of S@PPy-I (a) before and (b) after immersion in $(NH_4)_2S_2O_8$ -involved solution.



Fig. S5: SEM images of pure $ZnIn_2S_4$ nanoparticles.



Fig. S6: Raman spectra of S@PPy-II and S@PPy@ZnIn₂S₄ samples.



Fig. S7: The TG testing record of S@PPy@ZnIn₂S₄.



Fig. S8: (a) Zn 2p and (b) In 3d XPS spectra of S@PPy@ZnIn₂S₄.



Fig. S9: The CV curve of single-phased $ZnIn_2S_4$.



Fig. S10: (a) CV curves at various scan rates and (b) corresponding linear fitting toward peak currents of S@PPy-II cathodes.



Fig. S11: The long-term cyclic record of $S@ZnIn_2S_4$ cathode.



Fig. S12: EIS plots of S@PPy@ZnIn₂S₄ (inset: the relationship between Z' and $\omega^{-1/2}$).



 $\label{eq:Fig. S13: The adsorption ability of S@PPy-II and S@PPy@ZnIn_2S_4 in a Li_2S_6 solution.$

Cathode material	Sulfur content	Initial discharge capacity (mAh g ⁻ 1)	Reversible discharge capacity (mAh g ⁻ 1)	Current rate	Total cycle number	Ref
Core-shell S@PPy	32.0%	163	138	100 mA g ⁻¹	100	1
MWCNTs@S/NPC@PEG	56.7%	1078	791	0.5C	50	2
PPy/TiO ₂ @S	64.7%	997.1	1150	0.1C	100	3
PPy@S@PPy	65.6%	801	554	50 mA g ⁻¹	50	4
S@PPy/GS	49.0%	908.7	537.8	0.2C	200	5
S@PHNS	65.0%	1074.2	781.5	0.5 C	100	6
Nano-S/PPy/GNS	52.0%	1415.7	641.5	0.1 C	40	7
PPy@CMK-8/S	57.2%	1099	880	0.2C	100	8
GCS@PPy	64.0%	830	740	0.5C	50	9
S@PPy@ZnIn ₂ S ₄	63.1%	1200	891	100 mA g ⁻¹	500	

Table S1. The electrochemical performances comparison of the studies with PPy-S hybrid electrodes. ¹⁻⁹

References:

- 1. J. Shao, X. Li, L. Zhang, Q. Qu and H. Zheng, Nanoscale, 2013, 5, 1460-1464.
- 2. Z. Li, L. Yuan, Z. Yi, Y. Liu, Y. Xin, Z. Zhang and Y. Huang, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 1653-1660.
- 3. Y. Zhao, W. Zhu, G. Z. Chen and E. J. Cairns, J. Power Sources, 2016, 327, 447-456.
- 4. X. Liang, M. Zhang, M. R. Kaiser, X. Gao, K. Konstantinov, R. Tandiono, Z. Wang, H.-K. Liu, S.-X. Dou and J. Wang, *Nano Energy*, 2015, **11**, 587-599.
- 5. X. Zhou, F. Chen and J. Yang, J. Energy Chem., 2015, 24, 448-455.
- 6. Y. Liu, W. Yan, X. An, X. Du, Z. Wang, H. Fan, S. Liu, X. Hao and G. Guan, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, 271, 67-76.
- 7. Y. Zhang, Y. Zhao, A. Konarov, D. Gosselink, H. G. Soboleski and P. Chen, J. Power Sources, 2013, 241, 517-521.
- 8. G. Ma, Z. Wen, J. Jin, Y. Lu, K. Rui, X. Wu, M. Wu and J. Zhang, J. Power Sources, 2014, 254, 353-359.
- 9. Y. Dong, S. Liu, Z. Wang, Y. Liu, Z. Zhao and J. Qiu, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 7569-7573.