## Highly Efficient Catalysis for Oxygen Reduction using Well-dispersed Iron Carbide Nanoparticles Embedded in the Multichannel Hollow Nanofibers

Hongyin Xia<sup>ab</sup>, Shan Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Xiaoqing Zhu<sup>a</sup>, Huanhuan Xing<sup>a</sup>, Yuan Xue<sup>ab</sup>, Bolong Huang<sup>c\*</sup>, Mingzi Sun<sup>c</sup>, Jing Li<sup>a\*</sup>, and Erkang Wang<sup>a\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry,

Chinese Academy of Sciences, 5625 Renmin Street, Changchun, Jilin 130022, China.

<sup>b</sup> University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China.

<sup>c</sup> Department of Applied Biology and Chemical Technology, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong SAR, China.

## Equations in Electrochemical characterization

The  $H_2O_2$  yield and the number of electron transfer (n) were calculated with the following equations:

$$H_{2}O_{2}\% = \frac{200 \times I_{r}/N}{I_{d} + I_{r}/N}$$
(1)  
$$n = \frac{4I_{d}}{I_{d} + I_{r}/N}$$
(2)

where  $I_d$  is the disk current,  $I_r$  is the ring current, and N (0.37) is the collection efficiency of the rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE).

Koutecky-Levich equations:

$$\frac{1}{J} = \frac{1}{J_l} + \frac{1}{J_k} = \frac{1}{B\omega^{0.5}} + \frac{1}{J_k}$$

$$B = 0.62nFC_0(D_0)^{2/3}V^{-1/6}$$
(3)

where J is the recorded current density,  $J_K$  is the kinetic-limiting current density,  $J_L$  is the diffusion-limiting current density,  $\omega$  is the electrode rotating speed in rad s<sup>-1</sup>, F is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol<sup>-1</sup>), C<sub>0</sub> is the bulk concentration of O<sub>2</sub> in 0.10 M KOH solution (1.2 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mol cm<sup>-3</sup>), D<sub>0</sub> is the diffusion coefficient of O<sub>2</sub> (1.9 × 10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), and V is the kinematic viscosity of 0.10 M KOH solution (0.01 cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>). The K-L plots ( $\omega^{-1/2}$  vs J<sup>-1</sup>) in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M KOH can be derived from LSV curves at various rotation speeds and different potentials.

## Calibrate to reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE)

In all measurements, we used Hg/HgO electrode as the reference electrode. It was calibrated with respect to RHE. The calibration was performed in the high purity H<sub>2</sub> saturated electrolyte with a Pt wire as the working electrode. CVs were run at a scan rate of 1 mV·s<sup>-1</sup>, and the average of the two potentials at which the current crossed zero was taken to be the thermodynamic potential for the hydrogen electrode reactions. In 0.1 M KOH, E (RHE) = E (Hg/HgO) + 0.879 V. All the potentials reported in this manuscript were against RHE.



Figure S1. (a) Calibration of the reference electrode against the RHE.



Figure S2. (a and b) SEM, (c) TEM images (d) XRD of Fe<sub>3</sub>C@SNFs.



Figure S3. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms for the Fe<sub>3</sub>C@SNFs.



Figure S4. (a) XPS survey for the Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs.



Figure S5. (a) LSV curves of  $Fe_3C@MHNFs$  at different rotating speeds, (b) the corresponding K-L plots.



Figure S6. SEM and TEM for (a) MHNFs, (b) Fe<sub>3</sub>C-1@MHNFs, (c) Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs, (d) Fe<sub>3</sub>C-3@MHNFs.



Figure S7. (a) Polarization curves and (b)  $E_{1/2}$  of the MHNFs,  $Fe_3C-1@MHNFs$ ,  $Fe_3C@MHNFs$  and  $Fe_3C-3@MHNFs$ .



Figure S8. (a) Polarization curves of  $Fe_3C@MHNFs$  and A-Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs; (b) TEM image of A-Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs; (c) XPS survey, (d) N 1s XPS spectra of  $Fe_3C@MHNFs$  and A-Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs.



Figure S9. (a) Raman; (b) CV curves; (c) Polarization curves; (d)  $E_{1/2}$  of Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs-800, Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs and Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs-1000.



Figure S10. (a) LSV curves of Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs in 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>.



Figure S11. (a) XRD of the MHNFs.

Electrolyte	E1/2Catalyst loading(V vs. RHE)(mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )		References
0.1 M KOH	0.90	0.20	This work
0.1 M KOH	0.80	0.10	1
0.1 M KOH	0.86	1.20	2
0.1 M KOH	0.89	0.70	3
0.1 M KOH	0.84	0.50	4
0.1 M KOH	0.65	0.25	6
0.1 M KOH	0.88	0.50	7
0.1 M KOH	0.88	0.25	8
	Electrolyte 0.1 M KOH 0.1 M KOH	Electrolyte       E <sub>1/2</sub> (V vs. RHE)         0.1 M KOH       0.90         0.1 M KOH       0.80         0.1 M KOH       0.80         0.1 M KOH       0.86         0.1 M KOH       0.89         0.1 M KOH       0.89         0.1 M KOH       0.84         0.1 M KOH       0.81         0.1 M KOH       0.65         0.1 M KOH       0.88         0.1 M KOH       0.88	Electrolyte         E <sub>1/2</sub> (V vs. RHE)         Catalyst loading (mg cm <sup>-2</sup> )           0.1 M KOH         0.90         0.20           0.1 M KOH         0.80         0.10           0.1 M KOH         0.80         0.10           0.1 M KOH         0.80         0.10           0.1 M KOH         0.86         1.20           0.1 M KOH         0.89         0.70           0.1 M KOH         0.84         0.50           0.1 M KOH         0.81         0.25           0.1 M KOH         0.65         0.25           0.1 M KOH         0.88         0.50           0.1 M KOH         0.88         0.25

**Table S1.** Comparison of the ORR activities of Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs with the noble-metal-free catalysts literature-reported.

Table S2. XPS of  $Fe_3C@MHNFs$  synthesized with ammoniation and A-Fe<sub>3</sub>C@MHNFs synthesized without ammoniation.

Samples	C (at%)	N (at%)	O (at%)	Fe (at%)	pyridinic N (%)	pyrrolic N (%)	graphitic N (%)
Fe <sub>3</sub> C@MHNFs	92.71	2.60	4.24	0.45	0.30	0.21	0.49
A-Fe <sub>3</sub> C@MHNFs	93.08	1.21	5.30	0.41	0.23	0.29	0.48

## Reference

- 1. L. Lin, Z. Qing and A.-W. Xu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 249, 11027-11033.
- 2. W. Yang, X. Liu, X. Yue, J. Jia and S. Guo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 1436-1439.
- 3. W.-J. Jiang, L. Gu, L. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, L.-J. Zhang, J.-Q. Wang, J.-S. Hu, Z. Wei and L.-J. Wan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3570-3578.
- 4. Y. Deng, Y. Dong, G. Wang, K. Sun, X. Shi, L. Zheng, X. Li and S. Liao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 9699-9709.
- 5. Y. Zhao, Q. Lai, Y. Wang, J. Zhu and Y. Liang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 16178-16186.
- 6. M. M. Hbssen, K. Artyushkova, P. Atanassov and A. Serov, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **375**, 214-221.
- H. Jin, H. Zhou, W. Li, Z. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Xiong, D. He, L. Chen and S. Mu, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 20093-20099.
- M. Hoque, S. Zhang, M. L. Thomas, Z. Li, S. Suzuki, A. Ando, M. Yanagi, Y. Kobayashi,
   K. Dokko and M. Watanabe, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, 6, 1138-1149.