## **Supporting Information**

## **A nitrogen fixation strategy to synthesize NO via the thermallyassisted photocatalytic conversion of air**

Yu Yu<sup>a,†</sup>, Changhong Wang<sup>a,†</sup>, Yifu Yu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yanmei Huang<sup>a</sup>, Cuibo Liu<sup>a</sup>, Siyu Lu<sup>c</sup>, Bin Zhanga,b,\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Institute of Molecular Plus, Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>b</sup>Tianjin Key Laboratory of Molecular Optoelectronic Sciences, Frontiers Science Center for Synthetic Biology (Ministry of Education), Tianjin University, Tianjin 300072, China

<sup>c</sup>Green Catalysis Center, College of Chemistry, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou

450000, China

†These authors contributed equally to this work.

## **\*Corresponding authors**

E-mail: yyu@tju.edu.cn; bzhang@tju.edu.cn

## **Additional Results and Discussion**



**Scheme S1**. Schematic illustration for the thermal-assisted photocatalytic  $N_2$  oxidation reactor.



Fig. S1 SEM image of WO<sub>3</sub> nanorods

SEM image showed that WO<sub>3</sub> nanorods were produced on a large scale.



Fig. S2 SEM image of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructured nanorods. The surface of  $WO_3$  nanorods becomes rough after growing  $TiO_2$ .



Fig. S3 Element mapping images of the TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructured nanorods.

STEM-EDS elemental mapping images revealed the elemental distribution. W and Ti elements distributed in core and shell, respectively, while oxygen existed in both core and shell. A thin layer of nanosheets coated on the  $WO_3$  to produce the  $TiO_2/WO_3$ heterostructured nanorods.



**Fig. S4** FTIR spectrum of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods.

The peaks located at  $3413$  and  $1622$  cm<sup>-1</sup> were due to the stretching and bending vibrations of the surface adsorbed water molecules. And the peaks located at 585 and 650 cm−1 were attributed to the stretching vibrations of Ti-O bond in TiO2. Moreover, the W-O stretching and vibration bands in the region 960 cm-1 to 800 cm-1 were also detected.



**Fig. S5**  $N_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherm for  $TiO_2/WO_3$  heterostructured nanorods.

The BET surface area of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods was calculated to be 72.0  $m^2 g^{-1}$ .



nanosheets.

SEM image (inset in Fig. S6a) showed the assembled nanosheet structure of  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$ nanosheets. HRTEM image (Fig. S6a) displayed the crystal structure of nanosheets with  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (101) plane. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (Fig. S6b) further proved the nanosheets as pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (JCPDS No. 21-1272).



**Fig.** S7 UV-Vis absorbance spectra and the Tauc plots (inset) of the  $TiO_2/WO_3$ heterostructured nanorods.

The intercepts of extrapolated straight lines of Tauc plots showed the corresponding bandgaps (2.76 eV) of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods.



**Fig. S8** UPS spectra of (a)  $WO_3$  nanorods and (b)  $TiO_2$  nanosheets.

Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) was used to determine the ionization potential [equivalent to the valence band energy  $(E_v)$ ] of WO<sub>3</sub> nanorods and TiO<sub>2</sub> nanosheets, which was calculated to be 7.48 eV and 7.73 eV by subtracting the width of the He I UPS spectra from the excitation energy (21.22 eV). The detailed calculations were shown as follows:

The  $E_v$  versus vacuum level (eV) = 21.22 eV – ( $E_{\text{cutoff}}$  -  $E_{\text{onset}}$ )

The  $E_v$  versus NHE (V) = (The  $E_v$  versus vacuum level -4.85) (V) (pH = 7)



**Fig. S9** The schematic diagram for the band structures of  $WO_3$  nanorods and  $TiO_2$ nanosheets.



**Fig. S10** Schematic illustration for the charge-carriers migration according to the type-II heterojunction mechanism in the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods.

Considering that the CB potential of  $WO_3$  was more positive than the standard potential of  $O_2$ / $\cdot O_2$  (-0.33 V vs NHE), no obvious  $\cdot O_2$  signal should be observed if the photogenerated charge-carriers migration followed the type-II heterojunction mechanism.



**Fig. S11** NO yield rate under different illumination intensity at 300 °C.

The yield rate of NO increased obviously with the increase of the illumination intensity from 1 sun to 5 sun.



**Fig. S12** Effect of water vapour on the yield rate of NO.

The yield rate of NO using the wet simulated air as the feed gas under 1 sun illumination at 300 °C was 0.12 mmol  $g^{-1}$  h<sup>-1</sup>, which was slight lower than that using the dry simulated air as the feed gas  $(0.16 \text{ mmol g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1})$ .



Fig S13. The time-dependent yield rate of NO over TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures for continuous 8 hours test.

No obvious decline can be seen for the yield rate of NO, suggesting the well stability of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructures for photocatalytic oxidation of nitrogen.



**Fig.** S14 (a) SEM image and (b) XRD pattern of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods after 8 hours test.

The morphology and crystalline structure of the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructured nanorods maintained well after long-term test.



**Fig.** S15 Mass spectra of GC-MS analysis of the in the  ${}^{15}N_2$  gas for the isotope experiment.

No <sup>15</sup>NO (m/z = 31) was detected in the <sup>15</sup>N<sub>2</sub> gas.



**Fig. S16** Blank experiment: yield rate of NO production using different gas as the reactant.

No NO was detected in the argon atmosphere.



**Fig.** S17 Photograph of the device for photocatalytic  $N_2$  oxidation under 10 sunlight illumination equipped with infrared thermometer for the detection of temperature on the surface of catalysts.

The temperature at the photocatalyst surface could achieve 200 °C as the incident light intensity was 10 sun.



**Fig. S18** Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) profile of NO over  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$ heterostructures.

In the NO TPD profile, there were two peaks at about 95 °C and 296 °C, which were attributed to the physical adsorption and chemical adsorption of NO on the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$ heterostructures, respectively.



Fig. S19 N<sub>2</sub> adsorption energies on different samples.

Pure WO<sub>3</sub> (-1.69 eV) and interfacial WO<sub>3</sub> in TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures (-2.48 eV) showed more negative adsorption energies than those of pure  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  (-0.37 eV) and interfacial TiO<sub>2</sub> in TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructure (-0.22 eV). This result revealed that N<sub>2</sub> photooxidation proceeded on the surface of  $WO_3$  in the TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub> heterostructures and interfacial charge transfer facilitated  $N_2$  adsorption.



Fig. S20 O<sub>2</sub> adsorption energies on different sample surfaces.

In the  $TiO<sub>2</sub>/WO<sub>3</sub>$  heterostructure, the interfacial  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  showed more negative adsorption energy of  $O_2$  (-1.12 eV) than that of interfacial WO<sub>3</sub> (-0.36 eV), indicating that  $O_2$ showed preferential adsorption on the interfacial  $TiO<sub>2</sub>$  and further reduced by the photoelectrons under irradiation.



**Fig. S21** The UV-Vis absorption spectra and the corresponding calibration curves of  $NO<sub>3</sub> - N$ .

The calibration curves showed good linearity.



**Fig. S22** NMR spectrum of the β-Nitrostyrolene product. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ [ppm] 8.01 (d, J= 13.6, 1H), 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.48 (m, 3H);



**Fig. S23** GC spectrum of the product after the test with the Ar gas as the raw material.

No *β*-Nitrostyrolene product generated in the organic solvent system when Ar gas was used as the raw material for photocatalysis.