## The Mechanism on the Ionic Conductivity Enhancement by Rotational

## Nitrite Group in Antiperovskite Na<sub>3</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub>

Lei Gao,<sup>a,b,c,†</sup> Hao Zhang,<sup>d,a,†</sup> Yuechao Wang,<sup>e</sup> Shuai Li,<sup>b,c</sup> Ruo Zhao,<sup>a,b,c</sup> Yonggang Wang,<sup>f</sup> Song Gao,<sup>a</sup> Lunhua He,<sup>g,h,i</sup> Hai-Feng Song,<sup>e</sup> Ruqiang Zou,<sup>\*,a</sup> Yusheng Zhao<sup>\*,b,c</sup>

## 1. Supplementary Experimental Results



**Fig. S1** (a) Powder XRD pattern of  $Na_2O$  before purification. (b) Powder XRD pattern of  $Na_2O$  after purification. (c) Powder diffraction file (PDF) of  $Na_2O$ .



**Fig. S2** (a) Powder XRD pattern of  $Na_3ONO_2$  mixed with  $Na_3NO_4$ . (b) Powder XRD pattern of  $Na_3NO_4$ . (c) Powder diffraction file (PDF) of  $Na_3NO_4$ .



Fig. S3 Arrhenius conductivity plot of  $Na_3ONO_2$  during heating and cooling.



Fig. S4 (a), (b) Nyquist curves of Na<sub>3</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub> during heating. (c), (d) Nyquist curves of Na<sub>3</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub> during cooling.



Fig. S5 Crystal model of  $Na_3ONO_2$  after refinement based on neutron powder diffraction (NPD) at selected temperature.

Formula	Lattice params(Å)	Coordinates					Thermal Params		
		Atom	x	у	z	Occupancy	U <sub>11</sub>	U <sub>22</sub>	U <sub>33</sub>
Na <sub>3</sub> ONO <sub>2</sub>	a=4.594(4) 300 K					0.972	0.007	0.025	0.025
	a=4.616(6) 410 K	Na	0.5	0	0	0.988	0.006	0.033	0.033
	a=4.630(9) 485 K					0.958	0.019	0.049	0.049
	a=4.594(4) 300 K						U	<sub>iso</sub> = 0.011	.30
	a=4.616(6) 410 K	01	0	0	0	1		U <sub>iso</sub> = 0.00980	
	a=4.630(9) 485 K						<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> = 0.0229		
	a=4.594(4) 300 K		0.397				0.012	0.023	0.023
	a=4.616(6) 410 K	Ν	0.401	0.5	0.5	1/6	0.023	0.038	0.038
	a=4.630(9) 485 K		0.395				0.059	0.031	0.031
	a=4.594(4) 300 K	02 (NO <sub>2</sub> -)		0.552	0.725		0.053	0.023	0.041
	a=4.616(6) 410 K		0.5	0.554	0.722	2/24	0.058	0.065	0.068
	a=4.630(9) 485 K			0.577	0.706		0.120	0.051	0.099
Na <sub>3</sub> OBr	a=4.565(4) 300 K					0.956	0.003	0.011	0.011
	a=4.582(4) 410 K	Na	0.5	0	0	0.968	0.009	0.021	0.021
	a=4.584(6) 485 K					0.951	0.010	0.022	0.022
	a=4.565(4) 300 K						υ	<sub>iso</sub> = 0.009	34
	a=4.582(4) 410 K	01	0	0	0	1	<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> = 0.01952		
	a=4.584(6) 485 K						<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> = 0.02020		
	a=4.565(4) 300 K		0.5	0.5	0.5	1	<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> = 0.0048		
	a=4.582(4) 410 K	Br					<i>U</i> <sub>iso</sub> = 0.0092		
	a=4.584(6) 485 K						l	J <sub>iso</sub> =0.008	34

 Table S1.
 Refined Crystal Parameters Based on NPD for Na3ONO2 at 300, 410, and 485 K.



**Fig. S6** (a) Nuclei density distribution of Na<sub>3</sub>OBr from Maximum Entropy Method analysis based on NPD at 485 K. (b) Nuclei density distribution of Na<sub>3</sub>ONO<sub>2</sub> from Maximum Entropy Method analysis based on NPD at 485 K.



**Fig. S7** Yellow sphere is Na, Red sphere is O, blue sphere is N. (a) Schematic diagram of the migration process when  $NO_2^-$  group is restrained in the  $Na_3ONO_2$  lattice. Its N-O bond is nearly kept vertical to the x-y plane around the migrating  $Na^+$  so that the group rotation would be limited. The energy barrier is 0.79 eV. (b) Schematic diagram of the migration process when  $NO_2^-$  group is totally fixed in the  $Na_3ONO_2$  lattice. The energy barrier is 1.37eV.



**Fig. S8** Yellow sphere is Na, Red sphere is O, blue sphere is N. (a) Calculated pathway staring from an initial model in which Na<sup>+</sup> is away from O<sup>2-</sup>. (I) represents the initial state, (V) is the final state, (II-IV) are intermediate states. The NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> group points its O<sup>2-</sup> inside the paper plane. In (I), the migrating Na<sup>+</sup> we examine is about 2.47Å far from O<sup>2-</sup>, as marked in black circle. The right side image from b-axis clearly shows that Na<sup>+</sup> is not pointed by O<sup>2-</sup>. During the whole process, this Na<sup>+</sup> is not pointed by the O<sup>2-</sup>(NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>) in its own cell. (b) Carefully check the final state (V), we find that the Na<sup>+</sup> is actually pointed again by the neighboring NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> from the right cell.



**Fig. S9** Calculated energy of each step for two models. Red line is the stable model where Na is pointed by O, while black line is a metastable model where Na<sup>+</sup> is away from  $O^{2-}$  in its initial states.

During the calculation in our manuscript, the initial and final models have energy degeneracy.

When we are trying to specifically investigate the Na<sup>+</sup> ions that are away from O<sup>2-</sup> (of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), we find an initial structure in which its migrating Na<sup>+</sup> is not pointed by the O<sup>2-</sup> (of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>), with distance  $\sim 2.473$  Å, as shown in the following Fig. S8(a). We also perform NEB calculation of the migration of Na<sup>+</sup>. Several intermediate steps and final state are illustrated in Fig. S8(a) (II)-(V).

As you can see from Fig. S9, the first result is that the initial structure (I), intermediates (II)(III) (black line) all have higher energy compared to the model with Na<sup>+</sup> pointed by O<sup>2-</sup>(red line), especially the initial structure with ~0.17 eV higher energy, indicating a metastable state. Although the energy barrier has a little decrease to 0.31 eV, it is actually compensated by the energy increase on initial state. Therefore, we think this metastable pathway (Na<sup>+</sup> away from O<sup>2-</sup>) is not as efficient as the stable one (Na<sup>+</sup> pointed by O<sup>2-</sup>) discussed in the manuscript, and we chose the stable one for further discussion.

The second result is that, in the final state(V), as shown in Fig. S8(b), the Na<sup>+</sup> is actually pointed again by the  $O^{2-}(of NO_2^{-})$  but from the neighboring cell. This is intriguing because even though we set Na<sup>+</sup> away from  $O^{2-}$  in the starting model, its neighboring  $NO_2^{-}$  would try to rotate to "host" the Na<sup>+</sup> in its final stage. This make its lattice energy decrease fast from step (III) to step (V) and finally almost equal to that of stable model (Na<sup>+</sup> pointed by  $O^{2-}$ ), as shown in Fig. S9.

So based on above, it is clear that Na-O interaction can help stabilize the lattice in the whole process. The migration pathway with Na-O close interaction is more energetically favorable. In our work, it is possibly the Na-O interaction that plays a predominant role in boosting conductivity, rather than the volume effect.



**Fig. S10** (a) Powder XRD pattern of Na<sub>3</sub>OBr at room temperature. (b) Rietveld refinement of Na<sub>3</sub>OBr based on NPD at 300 K, 410 K and 485 K. (c) Schematic crystal structure of Na<sub>3</sub>OBr at 300 K, 410 K and 485 K with anisotropic thermal displacements.



**Fig. S11** Calculation about the rotation of  $NO_2^-$  group. Two representative rotation methods, that is around A1 and A2 axis, are carefully studied.

The calculation results of rotation barrier of  $NO_2^-$  shows that the rotation of  $NO_2^-$  has an anisotropy. If rotated around the A2 axis along O-O direction, the barrier is about 0.08 eV, otherwise it shows a much higher rotation barrier up to 1.7 eV when around A1 axis.

## 2. Computational details

In the calculation of transition state and barrier, a  $2 \times 2 \times 1$  supercell with a vacancy of Na on x-axis and a vacancy of Na on y-axis are constructed as the starting point of reactant and product respectively. Then the transition state of the migration of Na in xy-plane and the energy barrier are calculated through the Cl-NEB approach. Two conformation of NO<sub>2</sub> are considered during the migration of Na, one with the O atoms in NO<sub>2</sub> almost pointing to lattice Na (P1), and the other with one O atom rotating during the migration (P2). The migration pathways are shown in Fig. S12.



Fig. S12 Structure of  $Na_3ONO_2$  after optimization, O in  $NO_2$  pointing to lattice Na.

Table S2. The calculated barriers in eV of Na migration with lattice constants of different temperature.

	300 K	410 K	485 K
Na <sub>3</sub> OBr	0.43	0.42	0.42
Na <sub>3</sub> ONO <sub>2</sub> (P1)	0.39	0.37	0.37
Na <sub>3</sub> ONO <sub>2</sub> (P2)	0.84	0.81	0.79