Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry A. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supplementary Information**

# Engineering bidirectional CMC-foam-supported HKUST-1@graphdiyne with enhanced heat/mass transfer for the highly efficient adsorption and regeneration of acetaldehyde

Hanzhuo Zhang‡<sup>a</sup>, Yaseen Muhammad‡<sup>b</sup>, Xinfang Cui‡<sup>a</sup>, Zhengsheng Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Long Liu<sup>a</sup>, Zhe Chu<sup>a</sup>, Jing Li<sup>a</sup>, Yanjuan Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Syed Jalil Shah<sup>a</sup>, Zhenxia Zhao\*<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MOE Key Laboratory of New Processing Technology for Nonferrous Metals and Materials, Guangxi Key Laboratory of Processing for Non-ferrous Metals and Featured Materials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China, zhaozhenxia@gxu.edu.cn

<sup>b</sup> Institute of Chemical Sciences, University of Peshawar, 25120, KP, Pakistan

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail address: zhaozhenxia@gxu.edu.cn

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work

### Experimental

#### Thermal diffusion experiment

The original HKUST-1 and HK@GDY/CMC-B were pressed into thin sheets and heated at 35 °C for heat preservation for 20 min. Then, they were quickly placed in 10 °C cold source to measure and photograph the thermal diffusion using infrared camera. The cooling rate coefficient (k) was obtained according to Eq. S(1).

$$ln\frac{T_t - T_m}{T_0 - T_m} = kt + c \tag{1}$$

#### Acetaldehyde adsorption experiments and kinetics

The equilibrium adsorption of acetaldehyde was performed gravimetrically in TGA/DSC+ system (METTLER TOLEDO). In this system, an ultrasensitive microbalance of 0.1  $\mu$ g resolution was mounted in the thermostat heatsink with high precision temperature control. Each sample was degassed at 353 K for 12 h. The measurements were carried out at 298 K for the determination of adsorption of vapor. Each sample was placed in a closed container. Each sample was placed in a closed container. Before this sample was put in, the closed container was swept with nitrogen for 20 minutes. Then, a quantitative concentration of acetaldehyde gas was injected into this container. After the adsorption equilibrium was reached, it was quickly transferred to the TGA/DSC+ instrument for the determination of mass. The equilibrium adsorption amount ( $Q_e$ , mmol/g) of acetaldehyde was calculated using Eq. S(2).

$$Q_e = \frac{W_e - W_a}{W_a M_a} \times 1000 \tag{2}$$

Where  $M_a$  (g/mol) is the molecular weight;  $W_a$  (g) is the initial weight of adsorbent and  $Q_e$  (mmol/g) is the adsorbed amount per gram at equilibrium.

The adsorption kinetics of acetaldehyde was tested by photoacoustic multi-gas analyser (GASERA ONE). 0.05 g of adsorbent was pre-placed in a sealed quartz tank reactor (400 mL) at 298 K, and the gaseous acetaldehyde (7.8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, 99% purity) was added to the reactor. Acetaldehyde concentrations in the reactor were detected at an interval of three minutes. Instantaneous adsorption was calculated using Eq. S(3).

$$Q_t = \frac{(C_0 - C_t) V_0}{W_a} \times 1000$$
(3)

Where  $W_a$  (g) is the initial weight of adsorbent and  $Q_t$  (mmol/g) is the transient adsorption uptake per gram of adsorbent at time t (min).

The adsorption kinetics of acetaldehyde was fitted by general diffusion model and the diffusion coefficient ( $k_d$ ) was calculated using Eq. S(4).

$$\frac{Q_t}{Q_e} = k_d \sqrt{t} + c \tag{4}$$

Where  $Q_e$  (mmol/g) and  $Q_t$  (mmol/g) represent the adsorbed amount per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium and the transient adsorption uptakes per gram at time *t* (min), respectively.

#### **Temperature programmed desorption (TPD) experiments**

TPD experiment was performed on a TGA/DSC<sup>+</sup> (METTLER TOLEDO). Various HKUST-1 samples were preactivated at 353 K under vacuum overnight, and then quickly transferred into a desiccator containing a given dehydrated acetaldehyde ( $C_0$ =7.8 mg/m<sup>3</sup>). Adsorption experiments were conducted at 298 K and ambient pressure for 60 min. HKUST-1 samples adsorbed acetaldehyde were immediately placed in a small crucible. The heating program started from 313 to 443 K under high purity N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at a flow rate of 40 mL/min and heating rate of 10 K/min while the acetaldehyde desorption were detected using TGA. Desorption kinetics of acetaldehyde was fitted by Pseudo-first-order kinetic model and desorption diffusion coefficient ( $k_{ds}$ ) was obtained according to Eq. S(5).

$$ln\frac{Q_{ds}}{Q_e} = k_{ds}t + c \tag{5}$$

Where  $Q_e$  (mmol/g) shows the adsorbed amount per gram of the adsorbent at equilibrium and  $Q_{ds}$  (mmol/g) is the transient desorption amount per gram at time *t* (min).

#### **Characterization and instrumentation**

The prepared HKUST-1 composites were characterized using scanning electron microscope (SEM, SU8020). Prior to analysis, each sample was gold coated under high vacuum. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data were recorded from 5° to 50° at a scanning rate of 0.03 °/min (SMARTLAB3KW, Japan). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometry was performed on a Bruker Vector instrument, and data were collected in wavenumber range of 400-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were performed on a METTLER TOLEDO (TGA/DSC3+) instrument in a temperature range of 363-843 K under 10 K/min under dry N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) tests were monitored by Escalab 250Xi X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher, USA). The pore textural properties of the prepared composites were analyzed through N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms using a surface area analyzer (ASAP-2460, Micromeritics). Before each adsorption experiment, the samples were dried under vacuum at 353 K for 10 h and a vacuum of < 0.05 Pa. Thermal conductivity was measured by Hot Disk TPS 2500S instrument (Hot Disk AB, Sweden).



Fig. S1 SEM image of GDY



Fig. S2 Raman spectrum of GDY, HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B and HK@GDY/CMC-B





Fig. S4 XPS survey spectra of HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B foam, and HK@GDY/CMC-B foam



Fig. S5 High resolution XPS spectrum of C 1s in GDY



**Fig. S6** Pseudo-first-order kinetic simulated desorption results on HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B foam, HK@GDY/CMC-B foam and other common adsorbents



**Fig. S7** Equilibrium adsorption (*Q*<sub>e</sub>) on HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B foam, HK@GDY/CMC-B foam and other common adsorbents



Fig. S8 HK@GDY/CMC-B continuous cyclic adsorption (a) of acetaldehyde at 303 K; XRD (b)

after 5<sup>th</sup> cycle.

## Table S1 BET parameters of reported MOF foams.

Sample	S <sub>BET</sub>	Reference	
Sumple	(m²/g)		
UiO-66/cellulose	826	<mark>51</mark>	
COF-IL@chitosan	103	<mark>S2</mark>	
GA-UiO-66-NH2	707	<mark>S3</mark>	
3D rGO / ZIF-67	491	<mark>54</mark>	
CNFS-ZIF-8	273	<mark>S5</mark>	
HK@GDY/CMC-B	945	This work	

Sample	<b>S</b> <sub>Langmuir</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>BET</sub>	<b>S</b> <sub>micro</sub> *	<b>S</b> <sub>meso</sub>	V <sub>t</sub> *	V <sub>micro</sub> *
	(m²/g)	(m²/g)	(m²/g)	(m²/g)	(cm³/g)	(cm³/g)
HKUST-1	953.0	823.9	736.5	87.4	0.43	0.28
HK@CMC-R	1035.5	930.7	825.3	105.4	0.43	0.31
HK@CMC-B	1136.5	960.2	854.1	106.1	0.45	0.32
HK@GDY/CMC-B	1113.8	945.1	807.9	137.2	0.42	0.30

Table S2 Pore structure parameters of HKUST-1, HK@CMC-R foam, HK@CMC-B foam, andHK@GDY/CMC-B foam.

*S*<sub>micro</sub>: surface area supplied from micropores (<2.0 nm);

 $V_{\rm t}$  and  $V_{\rm micro}$ : total pore volume and pore volume supplied from micropores (< 2.0 nm).

Sample -	Atomic content (%)			
	с	0	Cu	
HKUST-1	57.97	33.91	8.13	
НК@СМС-В	57.36	38.45	4.19	
HK@GDY/CMC-B	58.60	37.34	4.06	

Table S3 Distribution of surface element (C, O and Cu) of HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B andHK@GDY/CMC-B determined from XPS.

Comula	Binding Energy (eV)			
Sample -	C-C(sp2)	C-O-C/C-O	-0-C=0/C=0	C-C(sp)
HKUST-1	284.8	-	288.6	-
HK@CMC-B	284.8	286.4	288.2	-
HK@GDY/CMC-B	284.8	286.4	288.0	285.5
GDY	284.5	286.7	288.5	285.2

**Table S4** Binding energy of peaks separated from C 1s XPS spectra of HKUST-1, HK@CMC-B,HK@GDY/CMC-B, and GDY.

## **References**

S1	H. Babaei, A. J. H. Mc Gaughey and C. E. Wilmer, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 583-589.
S2	Z. Wang, L. Song, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, D. Hao, Y. Feng and J. Yao, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 371, 138-144.
S3	L. Ding, B. Yao, F. Li, S. Shi, N. Huang, H. Yin, Q. Guan and Y. Dong, J. M. Chem. A., 2019, 7, 4689-4698.
S4	M. Lu, Y. Deng, Y. Luo, J. Lv, T. Li, J. Xu, S. Chen and J. Wang, Anal. Chem., 2019, 91, 888-895.
S5	L. Zhu, L. Zong, X. Wu, M. Li, H. Wang, J. You and C. Li, ACS Nano, 2018, 12, 4462-4468.