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1. Physico-chemical properties of triarylamine-based molecules

Table S1 Redox potentials, stability according to cyclic voltammetry tests, and solubility of triarylamine-based molecules.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_{1/2} ) vs. Ag/AgNO(_3)</td>
<td>( \approx 0.55 ) V (^1)</td>
<td>0.8 V (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solubility in MeCN</td>
<td>77 mM *</td>
<td>13 mM *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reversibility of the redox process</td>
<td>Irreversible (^1)</td>
<td>Reversible (^2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling stability</td>
<td>Unstable (^1)</td>
<td>Stable (^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This work

2. Experimental methods

2.1 Synthetic part

All solvents and reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Acros Organics and used as received or purified according to standard procedures. Acetonitrile has additionally dried over 4Å molecular sieves in argon glovebox to 20 ppm water content.

The obtained compounds were purified using a preparative Shodex gel permeation chromatography (GPC) column (20 mm × 300 mm, Shodex, Japan) and toluene as eluent with the flow rate of 1 mL min\(^{-1}\).

The purity of the all synthesized components was controlled by high-performance liquid chromatography on Shimadzu-20A instrument with the use of Orbit 100 C\(_{18}\) (5 \(\mu\)m, 250 × 4.6 mm, MZ-Analyentechnik GmbH, Germany) analytical column. Analyzed samples were prepared by dissolving a sample of a substance weighing 1 mg in 1 ml of a solvent used as an eluent (acetonitrile, water, or mixtures thereof).

The \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectra were obtained using Bruker AVANCE III 500 instrument.

2.2 Cyclic voltammetry

Cyclic voltammograms were recorded in three-electrode cell with glassy carbon working electrode (WE) (d = 5 mm), Ag/AgNO\(_3\) reference electrode (RE) (Basi, 10 mM AgNO\(_3\) in MeCN) calibrated by ferrocene (Figure S3) and Pt wire counter electrode (CE) under argon atmosphere. Before the measurements, argon was purged through the electrolyte for 15 minutes in order to get rid of oxygen. WE was preliminarily polished with \(\frac{1}{4}\) \(\mu\)m diamond suspension. Concentrations of the active substances were 3 mM in 0.1 M TBABF\(_4\) (tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate) background electrolyte based on MeCN. Measurements were performed at 0.2 V s\(^{-1}\) sweep rate on Metrohm Autolab potentiostat.

2.3 Rotating disk electrode measurements

Rotating disk electrode (RDE) measurements were performed using 1.25 mM active substance and 0.25 M supporting electrolyte (LiPF\(_6\)) concentrations based on anhydrous MeCN. Glassy carbon WE (d = 5 mm) was rotated by Metrohm rotor (Metrohm Autolab) in a gas-tight cell; RE – Ag/AgNO\(_3\); CE – high surface glassy carbon plate; WE was preliminarily polished with \(\frac{1}{4}\) \(\mu\)m diamond suspension. Argon was purged through the electrolyte for 30 minutes before and was passed above the liquid interface during the experiment. Current-potential curves were recorded at a linear potential sweep with rotation speed varied from 300 to 2100 rpm, after the end of data recording one more IE curve was measured at 300 rpm rotation rate and compared with the first curve. Before each experiment, the impedance of the cell was measured in order to estimate working
cell resistance and to subtract ohmic drop from the experimental data. The diffusion coefficient was calculated according to Levich equation for RDE:

$$I_L = 0.620 \times n \times F \times A \times D^{2/3} \times \omega^{1/2} \times \nu^{-1/6} \times C$$

where $I_L$ is the limiting current (A), $n$ is the number of transferred electrons, $F$ is the Faraday constant (C mol$^{-1}$), $A$ is the electrode area (cm$^2$), $D$ is the diffusion coefficient (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $\omega$ is the angular rotation rate of the electrode (rad s$^{-1}$), $\nu$ is the kinematic viscosity (cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$), $C$ is the redox-active material concentration (mol cm$^{-3}$).

### 2.4 Redox flow battery tests

A small volume custom-made redox flow cell was used for experiments. Electrodes were cut from 6 mm thick graphite felt, and put into the assembled flow cell, providing a geometric active area of 4.00 cm$^2$. Anion-exchange Neosepta AHA membrane (Astem corp) was used as the separator. Teflon gaskets sealed the separator and electrodes into the cells. Flow cells were assembled inside the glovebox, where all the measurements were carried out. Peristaltic pumps (Heildolf Hei-Flow Precision 0.1 Multi or Masterflex L/S Digital Miniflex Pump) were used to drive the redox electrolytes from the reservoirs to the flow cell with the 10 mL min$^{-1}$ flow rate.

In order to neglect crossover-provided capacity fade, the mixed electrolytes with 10 mM concentration of both active substances (catholyte and anolyte) and 0.1 M of supporting electrolyte in anhydrous MeCN were used. The volumes of electrolytes were 10 mL at each side. Combined galvanostatic and potentiostatic cycling regime was performed using Ellins potentiostate (Electrochemical instruments, Russia). Unless otherwise stated, cell was charged at 2 mA current (0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$) till the upper cutoff, then discharged at the same current, after reaching the lower cutoff the potential was held low for 1000 - 3000 seconds in order to provide deeper discharge of the battery, then the cycle was repeated 50 times. The theoretical capacity of the battery was 268 mA h L$^{-1}$.

### 2.5 Membrane preparation

Neosepta AHA anion exchange membrane before use was dried in vacuum at 60˚C for 24 h, conditioned in MeCN / H$_2$O (50:50) solvents mixture for 1h at 60˚C, in pure MeCN at 60˚C for 1 h and in 0.05 M supporting electrolyte solution in MeCN for 24 h under the room temperature and ambient conditions.

### 2.6 Solutions resistance measurements

Impedance curves were recorded on Metrohm Autolab potentiostat. For the measurements, the h-cell with two glassy carbon working electrodes (d = 5 mm) and two golden reference electrodes were used. Working electrodes were preliminarily polished with ¼ 𝜇m diamond suspension. Concentrations of the supporting electrolytes were 0.1 M in anhydrous MeCN; the solutions were prepared under the argon atmosphere inside the glovebox.

### 2.7 Solutions pH measurements

Measurements of the pH of the different electrolyte solutions with the 0.1 M supporting salts concentration were performed using portable pH/mV/˚C meter HI 83141 and pH electrode HI 1230D by HANNA instruments (USA). Solutions were prepared in anhydrous MeCN under the argon atmosphere inside the glovebox.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supporting electrolyte</th>
<th>Pure MeCN</th>
<th>LiPF$_6$</th>
<th>LiClO$_4$</th>
<th>TBABF$_4$</th>
<th>NaClO$_4$</th>
<th>TBAPF$_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBABF$_4$ = tetrabutylammonium tetrafluoroborate, TBAPF$_6$ = tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate
**Synthesis of the active compounds**

![Figure S1. Synthesis of the 1-bromo-4-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)benzene (2)](image)

3.1 Compound (1) [2-[2-(2-Methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]-4-methylbenzene-sulfonate

OEG-substituted tosylate (1) was synthesized following to the previously reported procedures \(^4,5\) (Figure S1). Briefly, KOH (21.0 g, 0.375 mol) was dissolved in THF (60 mL) and distilled water (80 mL). 2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethan-1-ol (30.0 g, 0.25 mol) used as starting compound dissolved in 60 ml of THF and added dropwise to KOH solutions. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0°C and p-toluenesulfonyl chloride (47.66 g, 0.25 mol) dissolved in THF (60 mL) was added dropwise to the mixture. After 3h, the organic compounds were extracted by CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and the resulting solution was washed with brine, dried over MgSO\(_4\), and evaporated to yield 56.2 g (82%) of the target product (1).

\(^{1}\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) (ppm) 7.74 (d, 2H), 7.29 (d, 2H) 4.12 (t, 2H), 3.64 (t, 2H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.43 (m, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H).

3.2 Compound (2) 1-bromo-4-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)benzene

Compound (2) was synthesized following the previously reported procedure \(^6\). Bromophenol (5.60 g, 32.0 mmol), K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (9.00 g, 64.0 mmol) and [2-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]ethyl]-4-methylbenzene-sulfonate (10.0 g, 36 mmol) were dissolved in dry dimethylformamide (DMF) (30 mL) and heated at 80°C under Ar atmosphere for 4 h under the HPLC control. When the reaction was complete, the DMF was evaporated, the product was extracted by CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\), washed with water (30 mL), the organic phase was separated, dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The pure product was obtained as a light-yellow liquid (7.90 g, 92%).

\(^{1}\)H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) (ppm) 7.31 (d, 2H), 6.75 (d, 2H) 4.05 (t, 2H), 3.80 (t, 2H), 3.66 (m, 2H), 3.52 (m, 2H), 3.34 (s, 3H).

\(^{13}\)С NMR (126 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): 157.94, 132.23, 116.47, 71.96, 70.80, 69.69, 67.67, 59.10.

Compounds M1, M2, M5, and M7 were synthesized by Buchwald–Hartwig amination reaction.

3.3 Compound (M1)

Diphenylamine (0.61 g, 3.63 mmol), 1-bromo-4-[2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy]benzene (1.00 g, 3.63 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (30 mg, 0.17 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (0.51 g, 4.53 mmol), tributylphosphine (14 mg, 0.7 mmol) and 60 mL of toluene were placed into a Schlenk flask charged with a reflux condenser. The reaction mixture was purged with argon for 15 min under intense stirring and then heated at reflux for 5 h under the HPLC control. After the reaction was completed, the resulting mixture was cooled down and washed with ice water (30 mL). The organic phase was separated, dried over anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The pure product was obtained after the GPC purification as a dark-yellow viscous liquid (0.188 g, 85%).

\(^{1}\)H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) (ppm) 7.25 (m, 2H), 7.19 (m, 4H), 7.05 (m, 4H), 6.92 (d, 2H), 6.84 (d, 2H), 4.11 (t, 2H), 3.85 (t, 2H), 3.71 (m, 2H), 3.57 (m, 2H), 3.38 (s, 3H). (NMR spectrum correlates with literature data \(^5\))

\(^{13}\)С NMR (126 MHz; CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) (ppm) 155.35, 148.18, 140.98, 129.09, 127.20, 122.95, 121.88, 115.54, 72.02, 70.79, 69.85, 67.70, 59.13.
3.4 Compound (M2)

Compound M2 was synthesized using the same procedure as described above for M1. Aniline (2.0 g, 21.5 mmol), 1-bromo-4-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)benzene (11.83 g, 43.0 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (30 mg, 0.17 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (7.23 g, 64.5 mmol), tributylphosphine (14 mg, 0.7 mmol) and 100 mL of toluene were used. M2 was obtained with 86% yield (8.68 g).

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 7.23 (m, 1H), 7.15 (m, 2H), 6.99 (d, 4H), 6.91 (m, 2H), 6.80 (d, 4H), 4.09 (t, 4H), 3.83 (m, 4H), 3.70 (m, 4H), 3.56 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 6H).
13C NMR (126 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 154.86, 148.73, 141.36, 128.93, 126.27, 121.13, 120.68, 115.44, 70.01, 70.77, 69.85, 67.74, 59.12.

3.5 Compound (M3)

M1 (0.20 g, 0.55 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (30 ml); N-Bromosuccinimide (NBS) (195 mg, 1.09 mmol) was divided into 5 parts and added to the M1 solution gradually under intense stirring. Then, the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h, washed with NaHCO3 aqueous solution, organic phase was separated, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by GPC. The pure M3 was obtained as a dark-yellow viscous liquid with a 91% (261 mg) yield.

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 7.20 (d, 2H), 6.97 (d, 4H), 6.80 (d, 4H), 6.75 (d, 2H), 4.09 (t, 2H), 3.82 (t, 2H), 3.69 (m, 2H), 3.55 (m, 2H), 3.37 (s, 3H).
13C NMR (126 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 155.94, 146.61, 139.91, 132.18, 127.32, 124.34, 115.78, 114.58, 72.01, 70.80, 69.78, 67.74, 59.13.

3.6 Compound (M4)

Compound M4 was synthesized using the same procedure as described for M3. Compound M2 (0.27 g, 0.57 mmol), DMF (30 ml) and NBS (97 mg, 0.54 mmol) were used. The pure product was obtained with a 90% (0.28 g) yield.

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 7.25 (d, 2H), 7.02 (d, 4H), 6.85 (d, 4H), 6.80 (d, 2H), 4.14 (t, 4H), 3.88 (t, 4H), 3.75 (m, 4H), 3.61 (m, 4H), 3.42 (s, 6H).
13C NMR (126 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 155.23, 147.90, 140.74, 131.79, 126.46, 122.16, 115.55, 112.47, 72.00, 70.78, 69.82, 67.71, 59.12.

3.7 Compound (M5)

Compound M5 was synthesized using the same procedure as described above for M1. N1,N4-diphenylbenzene-1,4-diamine (0.50 g, 1.92 mmol), 1-bromo-4-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)benzene (1.16 g, 4.22 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (30 mg, 0.17 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (0.86 g, 7.68 mmol), tributylphosphine (14 mg, 0.7 mmol) and 100 mL of toluene were used. M5 was obtained with 81% yield (1.01 g).

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 7.23 (m, 2H), 7.17 (m, 4H), 7.04 (d, 4H), 6.98 (m, 4H), 6.89 (s, 4H), 6.82 (d, 4H), 6.10 (t, 4H), 3.83 (t, 4H), 3.70 (m, 4H), 3.55 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 6H).
13C NMR (126 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm): 155.15, 148.39, 142.69, 141.03, 131.79, 126.46, 122.16, 115.55, 112.47, 121.25, 115.54, 72.00, 70.78, 69.85, 67.70, 59.13.

3.8 Compound (M6)

Compound M6 was synthesized using the same procedure as described above for M3. Compound M5 (0.22 g, 0.34 mmol), DMF (30 ml), NBS (116 mg, 0.32 mmol) were used. The pure product was obtained with a 94% (0.26 g) yield.

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 7.24 (d, 8H), 7.01 (d, 8H), 6.88 (s, 4H), 6.85-6.82 (m, 16H), 4.10 (t, 4H), 3.83 (t, 4H), 3.70 (m, 4H), 3.56 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 6H).
13C NMR (126 MHz; DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 156.07, 147.75, 142.54, 139.80, 132.39, 127.92, 125.27, 122.87, 116.26, 112.66, 71.79, 70.20, 69.41, 67.84, 58.58.

3.9 Compound (M7)

Compound M7 was synthesized using the same procedure as described above for M1. Benzene-1,4-diamine (0.33 g, 3.05 mmol), 1-bromo-4-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)benzene (3.40 g, 12.0 mmol), bis(dibenzylideneacetone)palladium(0) (30 mg, 0.17 mmol), sodium tert-butoxide (2.68 g, 24.0 mmol), tributylphosphine (14 mg, 0.7 mmol) and 100 mL of toluene were used. M7 was obtained with 77% yield (2.05 g).

1H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl3): δ (ppm) 6.96 (d, 8H), 6.79 (s, 4H), 6.78 (d, 8H), 4.08 (t, 8H), 3.82 (t, 8H), 3.69 (m, 8H), 3.55 (m, 8H), 3.37 (s, 12H).

13C NMR (126 MHz; DMSO-d6): δ (ppm): 156.07, 140.51, 139.82, 132.38, 129.74, 116.25, 71.80, 70.21, 69.43, 67.82, 58.58.

3.10 Compound (V1) 1,1'-dibutyl-[4,4'-bipyridine]-1,1'-diium perchlorate

Figure S2. Synthesis of the 1,1'-dibutyl-[4,4'-bipyridine]-1,1'-diium perchlorate (V1)

1,1'-dibutyl-[4,4'-bipyridine]-1,1'-diium bromide was synthesized according to the previously reported procedure. The ion exchange was performed by adding to the aqueous solution of 1,1'-dibutyl-[4,4'-bipyridine]-1,1'-diium bromide the equivalent amount of AgClO4 in water. The precipitate of AgBr was separated by filtration, the filtrate was concentrated in vacuum and the pure product extracted from the residue by MeCN.

1H NMR (500 MHz; DMSO-d6): δ (ppm) 9.38 (d, 4H), 8.78 (d, 4H), 4.69 (t, 4H), 1.96 (quintet, 4H), 1.33 (sextet, 4H), 0.93 (t, 6H).

3. Calibration of Ag/AgNO3 electrode

Figure S3. Cyclic voltammogram of 2.4 mM ferrocene in 0.1 M TBABF4/ MeCN electrolyte.
4. Multiple cyclic voltammetry tests

Figure S4. Cyclic voltammograms of 3 mM solutions of M1 - M7 (a - g) and V1 (h) in 0.1 M TEABF$_4$/MeCN recorded at a scan rate of 200 mV s$^{-1}$. 
5. **CV tests at -2.0 V to +1.0 V voltage range**

![Cycling voltammograms obtained for 3.0 mM MeCN solution of M3, M4, M6 and M7 with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ TBABF₄ as a supporting electrolyte at -2.0 V - +1.0 V voltage range.](image)

**Figure S5.** Cycling voltammograms obtained for 3.0 mM MeCN solution of M3, M4, M6 and M7 with 0.1 mol L⁻¹ TBABF₄ as a supporting electrolyte at -2.0 V - +1.0 V voltage range.
6. **CV tests with different concentrations of water intentionally added to the solvent**

![Cyclic voltammograms of 10 mM M3 in 0.25 M TBABF4/MeCN at a scan rate of 200 mV s⁻¹ with different amounts of water in the solvent.]

**Figure S6.** Cyclic voltammograms of 10 mM M3 in 0.25 M TBABF₄/MeCN at a scan rate of 200 mV s⁻¹ with different amounts of water in the solvent.
7. Rotation disk electrode measurements

Figure S7. Linear sweep voltammetry tests with a glassy carbon rotating disk electrode for the M2 (a), M3 (c), M4 (e), M6 (g), M7 (i), TEMPOL+ (k) molecules; linear plots of the current as a function of the square root of the rotation rate ($\omega$) for the M2 (b), M3 (d), M4 (f), M6 (h), M7 (j) and TEMPOL+ (l) molecules. Concentration of the active species was 1.25 mM, concentration of the supporting electrolyte (LiPF$_6$) was 0.25 M, anhydrous MeCN was use as a solvent.
8. Selection of the supporting electrolyte salt

Figure S8. Potential-time dependence curves (a, c, e, g, i), charge-discharge capacities and Coulombic and Energy efficiencies (b, d, f, i) of the M3/V1 redox flow cells assembled with different supporting electrolytes: NaClO$_4$ (a, b), TBABF$_4$ (c, d), TBAPF$_6$ (e, f), LiClO$_4$ (g, h), LiPF$_6$ (i, j). Conditions: mixed electrolytes with 10 mM concentration of active substances (M3 and V1) and 0.1 M of supporting electrolytes in anhydrous MeCN. The theoretical capacity was 268 mA h L$^{-1}$. Charge-discharge current density was 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$. 
9. **RFB tests**

**Figure S9.** Electrochemical performance of the M3/V1 (a-d) and M4/V1 (e-h) flow cells with NaClO₄ (a-b, e-f) and TBABF₄ (c-d, g-h) supporting electrolytes: potential-time dependence curves (a, c, e, g), charge-discharge capacities and Coulombic and Energy efficiencies (b, d, f, h). Conditions: mixed electrolytes with 10 mM concentration of catholytes and anolyte and 0.1 M of supporting electrolytes in anhydrous MeCN. The theoretical capacity was 268 mA h L⁻¹. Charge-discharge current density was 0.5 mA cm⁻².
Figure S10. Electrochemical performance of the M6/V1 (a-d) and M7/V1 (e-h) flow cells with NaClO$_4$ (a-b, e-f) and TBABF$_4$ (c-d, g-h) supporting electrolytes: potential-time dependence curves (a, c, e, g), charge-discharge capacities and Coulombic and Energy efficiencies (b, d, f, h). Conditions: mixed electrolytes with 5 mM concentration of catholytes and 10 mM concentration of anolyte and 0.1 M of supporting electrolytes in anhydrous MeCN. The theoretical capacity was 268 mA h L$^{-1}$. Charge-discharge current density was 0.5 mA cm$^{-2}$. 
10. RFB self-discharge experiment

Fig. S11. Self-discharge experiment consisting of monitoring the evolution of the RFB potential over time at the flow rate of 3 ml min$^{-1}$. 
11. Charge-discharge performance of the RFB at different current densities

Fig. S12. Potential-time dependence curves of the M3/V1 redox flow cell with TBABF₄ supporting electrolyte for the different charge-discharge currents: (a) 2 mA, (b) 3 mA, (c) 4 mA, (d) 5 mA, (e) 6 mA (f) 2 mA terminatory experiment. Conditions: mixed electrolytes with 10 mM concentration of active substances (M3 and V1) and 0.1 M of supporting electrolytes in anhydrous MeCN. The theoretical capacity was 268 mA h L⁻¹.
12. Solution resistance measurements

Fig. S13. (a) The Nyquist Plot of the 0.1 M NaClO$_4$ MeCN solution; (b) The Bode Plot of the 0.1 M NaClO$_4$ MeCN solution; (c) The Nyquist Plot of the 0.1 M TBABF$_4$ MeCN solution; (d) The Bode Plot of the 0.1 M TBABF$_4$ MeCN solution.
13. References


