Rational Design of Water-dispersible and Biocompatible Nanoprobes with H₂S-Triggered NIR Emission for Cancer Cells Imaging

Hengyan Liu, [†]^a Ge Xu, [†]^a Tianli Zhu, ^a Rongchen Wang, ^a Jiahui Tan, ^b Chunchang Zhao, ^{*}^a Xianfeng

Gu, *b

Key Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Feringa Nobel Prize Scientist Joint Research Center,

Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University

of Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200237, P. R. China

E-mail: zhaocchang@ecust.edu.cn

Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Fudan University, Shanghai, 201203, P.

R. China.

E-mail: xfgu@fudan.edu.cn

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

1. Synthesis.



Synthesis of compound BODVA-Cl. To an anhydrous EtOH solution of compound A (401 mg, 1 mmol) was added 1,2-dimethyl-1H-imidazol-5(4H)-one (560 mg, 5 mmol), and the resultant reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h under argon. After removing the solvent under vacuum,

the crude residue was purified by column chromatography to afford compound BODVA-Cl (240 mg, yield 50%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ7.55-7.50 (m, 3H), 7.38(d, 2H), 7.33(s, 1H), 7.13(s, 1H), 3.14(s, 3H), 2.66(s, 3H), 2.40-2.34(q, 2H), 2.26(s, 3H), 1.44(s, 3H), 1.03(t, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100MHz) δ169.87, 165.34, 160.91, 142.94, 140.56, 139.69, 137.61, 134.63, 134.12, 133.08, 129.60, 129.03, 128.65, 125.80, 121.91, 117.42, 26.56, 17.19, 15.60, 14.08, 13.54, 12.49. HRMS (ESI, m/z): calculated for C₂₅H₂₅BClF₂N₄O [M+H]⁺: 481.1178, found 481.1177.

Compound BPAB was synthesized according to S. Y. Zhang, Y. Zhao, *Macromolecules*, **2010**, *43*, 4020.

2. Preparation of NanoBOD-SCM.

In a typical procedure, NanoBOD-SCM were fabricated in three steps: 1) trapping the hydrophobic BODVA-CI micellar based 4-(dodecyloxy) into the core on benzyltripropargylammonium bromide BPAB in water: BPAB (14.6 mg, 0.03 mmol) was rapidly poured into 3 mL pure water under sonication for 20 min. Then 10 μL BODVA-Cl (25 mM in DMSO) was added and the resultant solution was kept sonication for another 20 min; 2) Cu(I) catalyzed click reaction: cross linker 2 (0.03 mmol), 0.04 mg CuCl₂, and 1.5 mg sodium ascorbate were added to the above micelle solution and was stirred at room temperature for 12 h to afford SCMs with a covalently cross-linked shell; 3) N_3 -PEG-2000 (60 mg, 0.03 mmol) in 0.1 mL H₂O was finally added and was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Dialyzing against deionized water with 0.22 µm PVDF film produced nanoprobe NanoBOD-SCM with good water-solubility and excellent biocompatibility.

3. Cells culture and imaging.

HCT116/HepG2 cells in Dulbecco's Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5/95 CO₂/air incubator for 24 h.

For the imaging, cells were treated with NanoBOD-SCM and incubated in DMEM for 30 min. For inhibitor assay: cells were pretreated with 1 mM AOAA for 1 h, followed by incubation with NanoBOD-SCM for 30 min. For CBS activator assay: cells were pretreated with 3 mM SAM for 1 h, followed by incubation with NanoBOD-SCM for 30 min. The confocal imaging was recorded using Nikor AIR with a 60 × oil objective.488 nm was explored as the excitation wavelength and the emission collected at 580-650 nm as green channel; emission collected at 680-750 nm upon excitation at 561 nm as red channel, ratio image generated from red to green channel.

4. HRMS characterization.

Elemental Composition Report



Fig. S1. HRMS demonstration of the incubation of BODVA-Cl with H₂S to transform into BODVA-

SH in a buffer solution (pH = 7.4, 1 mM CTAB).

5. TEM and DLS.



Fig. S2. Uniform spherical morphology with a diameter of 50 nm determined by TEM (a) and the

hydrodynamic diameter by DLS (b).

6. Detection limit.



Fig. S3. (a) The fluorescence changes of NanoBOD-SCM (BODVA-Cl 5 μ M) in the presence of various concentration of NaHS (1, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 μ M). (b) The linear relationship between NIR fluorescence intensity at 710 nm and H₂S concentration (0-25 μ M), which afforded a valuable detection limit (DL) of 198 nM by using DL=3 σ /k.

7. pH effect on the response.



Fig. S4. NanoBOD-SCM showed obvious fluorescent turn-on response to H_2S within a physiological range from pH 9 to approximately 5, while inactivation in the absence of H_2S was observed within such testing conditions.

8. Selctivity.



Fig. S5. NanoBOD-SCM undoubtedly showed highly selective fluorescence response to H_2S when compared to a panel of potentially interfering species.



9. The viability of HCT116 cells after treatment with NanoBOD-SCM for 12 h.

Fig. S6. The cytotoxicity of NanoBOD-SCM evaluated by cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8) assay treated with HCT116 cells in 12 h. Our experiments evidenced that no significant cytotoxicity was noted in the presence of CCK-8 for 2 h.



10. HepG2 cells imaging by confocal microscopy images.

Fig. S7. The imaging of H₂S-deficient cancer cells in dual-color imaging modality with NanoBOD-SCM. a) The incubation of HepG2 cells with NanoBOD-SCM (BODVA-Cl 10 μ M) for 30 min. b) HepG2 cells pretreated with AOAA(1 mM) for 1 h, followed by loading with NanoBOD-SCM for 30 min. c) HepG2 cells pretreated with SAM (3 mM) for 1 h were stained with NanoBOD-SCM for 30 min. Scale bar = 20 μ m.

11. NMR and HRMS.



