## **Electronic Supporting Information**

# Facile design of autogenous stimuli-responsive chitosan/hyaluronic acid nanoparticles for efficient small molecules to protein delivery

Parinaz Sabourian,<sup>a,b</sup> Jeff Ji,<sup>c</sup> Victor Lotocki,<sup>a</sup> Alexandre Moquin,<sup>a,c</sup> Ramez Hanna,<sup>a</sup> Masoud Frounchi,<sup>b,\*</sup> Dusica Maysinger,<sup>c,\*</sup> Ashok Kakkar<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Chemistry, McGill University, 801 Sherbrooke St. West, Montreal, Quebec H3A 0B8 Canada

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, Azadi Avenue, Tehran, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics, McGill University, 3655 Promenade Sir William Osler, Montreal, Quebec H3G 1Y6 Canada

\*Corresponding Authors: <u>ashok.kakkar@mcgill.ca;</u> <u>dusica.maysinger@mcgill.ca;</u> frounchi@sharif.edu

### **Table of Contents**

1.	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Analysis of DCS/FCS (Fig. S1)	2
2.	Dynamic light scattering (DLS) analyses (Fig. S2)	3
3.	TEM micrograph of porous NGF-FITC FCS/HA NP (Fig. S3)	4
4.	Comparison of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-responsive behavior of	
	thioketal diacid (TKDA) and FCS (Fig. S4)	4
5.	Free Drug Release Profiles (Fig. S5-S6)	5
6.	Evaluation of drug stability in the presence of ROS (Fig. S7)	6
7.	UV-visible spectra of cargo compounds and derived standard curves	
	(Fig. S8-9)	7
8.	Transcription factor EB (TFEB) nuclear translocation in glioblastoma	
	(GBM) cells (Fig. S10)	10
9.	Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data	11
10	. <sup>1</sup> H NMR spectra (Fig. S11)	12

# 1. <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR Analyses of DCS/FCS

Dissolution (DCS) and functionalization (FCS) of chitosan using TKDA was analyzed through <sup>13</sup>C NMR. In DCS, the TKDA *C*OOH peak showed a downfield shift from 175.2 ppm to 176.8 ppm. Similarly, the peak from the adjacent  $CH_2$  is shifted downfield from 32.8 ppm to 34.3 ppm, while the other TKDA peaks remained consistent. Chemical coupling of TKDA to LCS to yield FCS further shifts the COOH peak downfield to 178.3 ppm and the  $CH_2$  peak to 35.7 ppm (Figure S1).



Fig. S1. <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra of TKDA, DCS and FCS prepared by TKDA route.

#### 2. Dynamic light scattering (DLS) analyses

Size distributions and mean hydrodynamic diameters of empty and drug loaded FCS/hyaluronic acid (HA) nanoparticles (NPs) are shown in Fig. S2.



Fig. S2. DLS plots of size distributions for (A) CS/HA, (B) FCS/HA, (C) quercetin loaded CS/HA, (D) quercetin loaded FCS/HA, (E) curcumin loaded FCS/HA and (F) nerve growth factor-fluorescein isothiocyanate (NGF-FITC) loaded FCS/HA NPs.

3. TEM micrograph of porous NGF-FITC FCS/HA NP (Fig. S4)



Fig. S3. NGF-FITC loaded FCS/HA porous nanospheres.

#### 4. ROS-responsive behavior of TKDA and FCS

ROS-responsive behavior of the TKDA entity in FCS is exhibited in Fig. S4. The gradually increasing acetone peak over time is shown in top left of each spectrum with red color, as well as formation of SH group was observed between 1.6-1.7 ppm. Time dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra confirmed that TKDA as a ROS-responsive moiety in FCS responded to a small amount of hydrogen peroxide (12.2  $\mu$ l of 200 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).



Fig. S4. Time dependent <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of (A) TKDA and (B) FCS responding to ROS. Green:  $CH_3$ , red: acetone and blue:  $CH_2$  peaks.

## 5. Free Drug Release Profiles

As a control for NP-mediated drug release, both quercetin (Fig. S5) and curcumin (Fig. S6) were dissolved in a PEG<sub>350</sub>/water/dimethyl acetamide mixture with a ratio of 45:40:15 v/v/v, and their drug release profiles were measured.



Fig. S5 Free cumulative quercetin release over 48 hours of dialysis time.



Fig. S6 Free cumulative curcumin release over 48 hours of dialysis time.

#### 6. Evaluation of drug stability in the presence of ROS

To study stability of quercetin in a ROS medium, it was dissolved in methanol at a 0.25 mg/mL concentration. UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 50 UV-Vis spectrophotometer without H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, with 100  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, at zero time and after 24h. Quercetin didn't show any difference in UV absorption peaks (at  $\lambda = 255$  and 372 nm) after adding 100  $\mu$ M H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, but after 24h small changes in UV absorption were observed (Fig. S7).



Fig. S7. Stability quercetin in the presence of  $100 \ \mu M H_2O_2$  at zero time and after 24h.

## 7. UV-visible spectra of cargo compounds and derived standard curves

UV-Vis spectra of different drug molecules and NGF-FITC are shown in Fig. S8. Fig. S9 showed calibration curves of curcumin, NGF-FITC and quercetin solutions with different determined concentrations.



(A) Curcumin in acetone/water (20:1 v/v)

(B) NGF-FITC in water/sodium bicarbonate buffer (20:1 v/v)



(C) Quercetin in methanol/water (20:1 v/v)



Fig. S8. UV-visible spectra of (A) curcumin, (B) NGF-FITC and (C) quercetin taken to prepare standard curves for each compound



Fig. S9. Calibration curves of (A) curcumin, (B) NGF-FITC and (C) quercetin solutions based on UV-visible spectrophotometry at  $\lambda$ = 437, 494 and 255 nm, respectively.

#### 8. Transcription factor EB (TFEB) nuclear translocation in glioblastoma (GBM) cells

We found comparable TFEB nuclear translocation in GBM treated with curcumin loaded FCS/HA NPs or free curcumin (Fig. S10). Treatment with curcumin loaded FCS/HA NPs showed reduced TFEB nuclear translocation after 1h compared to free curcumin (Fig. S10A-B), although TFEB nuclear translocation became comparable after 8h. Empty FITC-labelled FCS/HA NPs did not induce TFEB nuclear translocation.



Fig. S10. Intracellular curcumin enhances the fluorescence of nuclear TFEB after 8h. U251 cells were treated with 20  $\mu$ M free curcumin/curcumin loaded NPs, or equivalent empty FCS/HA NPs for 1h and 8h in serum-free media. (A) Representative photomicrograph of TFEB. (B) Quantification of TFEB nuclear translocation (ratio of average fluorescence of TFEB in the nucleus to cytosol). N>36 cells per condition, error bars = SEM. \* denotes significance at p < 0.05 comparing 1h to 8h. This highlights the delay in TFEB nuclear translocation in curcumin-NP compared to free curcumin.

## 9. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data

# <u>CS</u>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm) 1.91 (1059H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.04 (706H, brs, CH), 3.44-3.78 (3530H, m, CH, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.75 (706H, s, CH)

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): δ<sub>C</sub> (ppm) 30.19 (353C, CH<sub>3</sub>), 59.96 (706C, CH), 69.83 (706C, CH<sub>2</sub>), 74.64 (706C, CH), 76.16 (706C, CH), 88.70 (706C, CH), 97.25 (706C, CH), 130.9 (353C, CONH)

# <u>TKDA</u>

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): δ<sub>H</sub> (ppm) 1.54 (6H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.44 (4H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (500 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O): δ<sub>C</sub> (ppm) 29.4, 32.8, 56.5, 175.2.

# DCS

 $^1H$  NMR (400 MHz, D2O):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm) 1.53 (6H, s, CH3), 1.98 (1059H, s, CH3), 3.09 (706H, brs, CH), 3.35 (4H, s, CH2), 3.49-3.83 (3530H, m, CH, CH2), 4.80 (706H, s, CH)

# FCS

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O):  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (ppm) 1.52 (6H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.99 (1059H, s, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.00 (706H, brs, CH), 3.27 (4H, s, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.63-3.80 (3530H, m, CH, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.75 (706H, s, CH)

# 10. <sup>1</sup>H NMR Spectra

## CS: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)



TKDA: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)



# DCS: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)



Fig. S11. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra obtained using Bruker AVIIIHD 400 instrument (400 MHz).