Supplementary Information

A Rapid Response Near-infrared Ratiometric Fluorescent Probe

Enabled In Real-Time Peroxynitrite Tracking for Pathological

Diagnosing and Therapeutic Assessment in Rheumatoid Arthritis

Model

Jiao Lu,[†] Zan Li,^{†*} Xinrui Zheng,[†]Jiangkun Tan,[†] Zhongyin Ji,[†] Zhiwei Sun,[†] Jinmao You^{†*}

[†]The Key Laboratory of Life-Organic Analysis, Key Laboratory of Pharmaceutical Intermediates and Analysis of Natural Medicine, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Qufu Normal University, Qufu 273165, P.R. China. E-mail: lilizanzan@163.com, jmyou6304@163.com

ıppl	ementary Information	1
N	Iaterials	4
A	Apparatus	4
D	Determination of the in vitro detection limit	5
D	Determination of Absolute quantum yields	5
C	Generation of ROS/RNS	5
I	nformation of confocal imaging	6
F	'low cytometry analysis of ONOO ⁻ by Ratio-A	6
E	Iistopathological Analyses	6
I	maging in vivo	6
F	igure S1 Synthesis of Ratio-A and Ratio-B and proposed responding mechanisms	7
F	igure S2 Spectral response of Ratio-B toward to ONOO ⁻	7
F	igure S3 Investigation on the pH stability of Ratio-A	8
F	igure S4 Photophysical of Ratio-A in different solvents	8
F	igure S5 ESI-MS spectra of QF	9
F	igure S6 ESI-MS of Ratio-A	9
F	igure S7 ESI-MS of Ratio-B1	0
F	igure S8 ¹ H NMR of compound QF1	0
F	igure S9 ¹³ C NMR of compound QF1	1
F	igure S10. ¹ H NMR of Ratio-A1	1
F	igure S11. ¹³ C NMR of Ratio-A1	2
F	igure S12. ¹ H NMR of Ratio-B1	2
F	igure S13. ¹³ C NMR of Ratio-B in CDCl ₃ 1	3
F	igure S14 The ESI-MS spectrum of the reaction solution of Ratio-A with ONOO- 1	3
F	igure S15 The ESI-MS spectrum of the reaction solution of Ratio-B with ONOO- 1	4
F	igure S16 HLPC analysis for the reaction of Ratio-A to ONOO ⁻	4

Table of Contents

Figure S17 Cytotoxicity of Ratio-A	15
Figure S18 The retention time of Ratio-A in cells	16
Figure S19 Fluorescence lifetime analysis of Ratio-A	17
Figure S20 Fluorescence lifetime analysis of Ratio-B	17
Figure S21 Image of Ratio-A and Ratio-B before and after adding of ONOO-	17
Figure S22. ¹ H NMR of P1 in CDCl ₃	18
Figure S23. ¹³ C NMR of P1 in CDCl ₃	18
Figure S24. ¹ H NMR of P2 in CDCl ₃	19
Figure S25. ¹³ C NMR of P1 in CDCl ₃	19
Figure S23. UV–vis absorption and fluorescence spectra of Ratio-A, Ra	atio-
A+ ONOO ⁻ and P1	20
Reference	20

Materials

All the regents and materials in our exprimenrs, including 2- (4- Diethylamino -2hydroxybenzoyl) benzoicacid, cyclohexanone, 4-Methoxycinnamaldehyde, 4-(Dimethylamino) cinnamaldehyde, 3-morpholinosydnoniminehydrochloride(SIN-1), 3, 3-bis (aminoethyl) -1-hydroxy -2-oxo-1-triazene (NOC-18), menadione sodium bisulfite (MSB), FeTMPyP, Lipopolysaccharides (LPS), interferon-gamma (IFN-y), phorbol-12-myristate-13-acetate (PMA), aminoguanidine (AG), apocynin, 4 ,6diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (USA). Concentrated H₂SO₄ perchloric acid and acetic acid were purchased from Energy Chemical Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Bovine type II collagen (BCol II) (2.0 mg/mL), complete Freund's Adjuvant (CFA) (2.0 mg/mL), and incomplete Freund's Adjuvant (IFA) were purchased from Chondrex (Washington, USA). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), CH₃OH, Ethanol were analytical grade without further purification. The stock solution of probes Ratio-A and Ratio-B were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) at the concentration of 1 mM and were reserved in refrigerator, analytical condition is the phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution (20 mM, pH=7.4, with 0.1% DMSO).

Apparatus

NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker AVANCEIIIHD 500 MHz (¹H NMR 500 MHz, ¹³ C NMR 125 MHz) spectrometers at 37 ± 1 °C with TMS as the internal standard. Mass spectrometery data were obtained on Agilent 1290/6545 UHPLC-Q-TOF mass spectrometer. Absorption spectra were obtained on the UV-vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, UV-3600 plus). Fluorescence spectra were determined on a fluorescence spectrometer (Edinburgh, FLS 1000). Fluorescence imaging were acquired using a laser scanning confocal microscope (Olympus FV3000) with an objective lens (× 60). Intracellular fluorescence analysis was carried out on flow cytometry (FACSAria, BD). Kunming mice fluorescence images were collected by PerkinElmer IVIS® Lumina XR Series III System. Mice pathological splices were imaged by Nikon Model Eclipse Ci-L microscope. Ultrapure water was prepared using a Milli-Q A10 system. All pH measurements were made with a JENCO 6230 M pH meter.

Determination of the in vitro detection limit

The limit of detection was calculated based on the fluorescence titration curve of **Ratio-A** and **Ratio-B**. The fluorescence intensity of **Ratio-A** and **Ratio-B** were measured by twelve times and the standard deviation of blank measurement was obtained. The detection limit was calculated with the following equation: LOD= $3\sigma/k$. Where σ is the standard deviation of blank measurement, k is the slop between the fluorescence intensity versus ONOO⁻ concentrations.

Determination of Absolute quantum yields

Ratio-A and **Ratio-B** were diluted to 10 μ M for absolute quantum yield (QY), and measurement using fluorometer (Edinburgh, FLS 1000). For **Ratio-A**, $\lambda_{ex} = 480$ nm and **Ratio-B**, $\lambda_{ex} = 500$ nm.

Generation of ROS/RNS

The sources of different ROS/RNS are described as follows. Specifically, H_2O_2 solution was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and added into the probe solution directly. KO₂ was dissolved in DMSO to generate superoxide (O₂⁻⁻).[1] Potassium Nitroprusside Dihydrate was used to generate •NO. *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) was diluted from 70 % stock solution.[2] The source of NaOCl was from NaClO solution that contains 5% available chlorine. Hydroxyl radical (•OH) was generated by Fenton reaction. Briefly, ferrous chloride (FeCl₂) was added in the presence of 10 equiv. of H_2O_2 , the concentration of •OH was equal to the Fe (II) concentration.[3] Peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) solution was synthesized according to literature report. Simply, hydrogen peroxide (0.7 M) was firstly acidified with hydrochloric acid (0.6 M), and then mixed with sodium nitrite (0.6 M), finally, sodium hydroxide (1.5 M) was added within 1-2 seconds to make the solution alkaline. We use a short column of manganese dioxide to remove excess hydrogen peroxide. The concentration of ONOO⁻ was determined by measuring the absorption of the solution at 302 nm. The ONOO⁻ concentration was estimated by using an extinction coefficient of 1670 ± 50 cm⁻¹M⁻¹ at 302 nm.[4]

Information of confocal imaging

Fluorescent images were acquired on an Olympus FV3000 confocal laser-scanning microscope. Fluorescence collection windows for red channel: 670–750 nm (λ_{ex} =594 nm), green channel: 520–600 nm (λ_{ex} = 488 nm), and blue channel: 430–480 nm (λ_{ex} =405 nm). Cells were plated in the culture dish and allowed to adhere for 24 h before imaging. Cell nucleus dye 4, 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, 100 ng/mL, 1 µL) and our probe **Ratio-A** (10 µL, 1.0 mM) were simultaneously added to the culture plates which were filled with 1 mL fresh complete medium. The cell imaging was carried out after washing cells with corresponding medium for three times after the different treatment.

Flow cytometry analysis of ONOO⁻ by Ratio-A

Flow Cytometry assay was performed for the detection of ONOO⁻ with probe **Ratio-A**. The tested cells (2.0×10^5 cells/well) were resuspended by staining buffer, and then added 5 µL of Annexin V-FITC and 10 µL PI staining solution. After mixing, the samples were incubated in ice bath for 30 min and then analyzed by flow cytometry.

Histopathological Analyses

The knee joints were excised and fixed in 4 % (W/V) PBS-buffered paraformaldehyde overnight at room temperature, and then decalcified using 10 % (W/V) EDTA solution. Then, the joints were embedded in paraffin and cut into slices of 5 mm thickness for hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining.

Imaging in vivo

All animal experiments were performed according to the guidelines issued by The Ethical Committee of Qufu Normal University. Mice were anesthetized prior to injection and during imaging via inhalation of isoflurane. PerkinElmer IVIS® Lumina XR Series III System was used for the bio-imaging of animal models. The excitation and emission wavelength were chosen as described in the paper.



Figure S1. (A). The general synthetic routes for the probes Ratio-A and Ratio-B. (1). Condensed H_2SO_4 , 95 °C, 3 h. (2). Ethanol, 80 °C, 8 h. (3). Ethanol, 80 °C, 8 h. (B). Proposed responding mechanisms toward ONOO⁻ after 30 minutes at 37 °C in PBS solution (pH=7.4, 20 mM, with 0.1% DMSO).



Figure S2. Spectral properties of **Ratio-B** (10 μ M) in PBS solution (pH=7.4, 20 mM, with 0.1% DMSO). Data were recorded ten min after the addition of ONOO⁻. (A) UV absorption spectra of **Ratio-B** in the presence of ONOO⁻ (0–10 μ M). (B) Emission spectra of **Ratio-B** with concentrations of ONOO⁻ (0–10 μ M), $\lambda_{ex} = 480$ nm. (C) Emission spectra of **Ratio-B** at 808 nm ($\lambda_{ex} = 680$ nm). (D) The linear relationship between lg(F_{564 nm}/F_{808 nm}) and ONOO⁻. Insert: ratiometric intensity changes with different concentrations of ONOO⁻. (E) Time-dependent intensity ratios (F_{564 nm}/F_{808 nm}) of **Ratio-B** towards ONOO⁻ (0 μ M, 10 μ M) during 0 – 900 s, probe was added at 120 s. (F) Ratiometric fluorescence responses (F_{564 nm}/F_{808 nm}) of **Ratio-B** toward ONOO⁻ (10 μ M) and other ROS/RNS/RSS (20 equivalents), metal ions. The error bars represent ± S.D. (n=3).



Figure S3: Investigation on the stability of **Ratio-A** (10 μ M) for detecting ONOO⁻ at different pH.



Figure S4. The ratio values of **Ratio-A** (10 μ M) in different solvents: 1. Blank; 2. Ethanol; 3. Ethylene Glycol; 4. Methanol; 5. Dichloromethane; 6. Isobutanol; 7. Water; 8. Dimethyl Sulfoxide; 9. N, N-Dimethyl formamide; 10. Acetonitrile; 11.

Chloroform; 12. Acetone; 13. Toluene; 14. Ethylacetate; 15. Dioxane; 16. Diethyl Ether.







Figure S6. ESI-MS of Ratio-A



















Figure S13. ¹³C NMR of Ratio-B in CDCl₃



Figure S14. ESI-MS for **Ratio-A** and ONOO⁻ after 30 minutes at 37°C in PBS solution (pH=7.4, 20 mM, with 0.1% DMSO).



Figure S15. ESI-MS for **Ratio-B** and ONOO[–] after 30 minutes at 37 °C in PBS solution (pH=7.4, 20 mM, with 0.1% DMSO).

5. HPLC assay

To further verify the response mechanism during detection process of **Ratio-A** towards $ONOO^-$. High-performance liquid phase (HPLC) experiments were performed. Eluent A was H₂O solution containing 5% methanol, and eluent B was pure methanol. The linear gradient condition was as follows: 0 min = 20% B, 10 min = 100% B, 15 min = 100% B using Hypersil BDS C18, 5µm, 4.6×250 mm column in Agilent 1260 infinity II. The flow rate was set at 1.0 mL. min⁻¹ and the monitor wavelength was set as 254 nm. As shown in Figure S16, These results could verify the response mechanism during detection process of **Ratio-A** towards ONOO⁻.



Figure S16. HPLC analysis of **Ratio-A** and **Ratio-A** + ONOO⁻ (25 μ M). Data were recorded ten min after the addition of ONOO⁻.

The cytotoxicity of probe **Ratio-A** against RAW264.7 cells was assessed by the CCK-8 assay. The cells were seeded into a 96-well cell culture plate at a final density of 5×10^3 cells/well. After the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂, different concentrations of **Ratio-A** (0–100 µM) were added to the wells. Subsequently, the cells were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. Then, 10 µL CCK-8 solution was added to each well of the plate and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C under 5% CO₂. The optical density (OD) was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (Tecan, Austria). When the amount of the probe **Ratio-A** added was 0, the cell viability value was set to 100%. Accordingly, the IC₅₀ value of RAW264.7 cells was calculated to be 232 µM. As shown in Figure S17, the high cells viability indicated that our probe displayed low cytotoxicity in living cells.



Figure S17. The cell viability of RAW264.7 cells with Ratio-A.



Figure S18. Intracellular retention of **Ratio-A** (10 μ M). (A). RAW264.7 cells were stained with **Ratio-A** and then treated with 50 μ M SIN-1 for 30 min. Confocal images were acquired at indicated time points. The control cells were incubated in the absence of SIN-1. (B). Flow cytometry analysis of the cells in (A). (C) The fluorescence ratio values (F_{green}/F_{red}) of (A). Scale bar represents 20 μ m. The experiments were repeated three times and the data were shown as mean (± S.D.).

ue / ns	Std. Dev / ns	Fix	Value	Std. Dev	Rel %
226	0.0405	B ₁	975.8597	7.1203	85.32
0.0000	427.7226	B ₂	7.2561	18.2104	14.68
		B3			
		B4			
287	3.6142				
3653	117.9243				
		A 🗌	-3.2630]	
	ue / ns 226 0.0000 287 3653	ue / ns Std. Dev / ns 226 0.0405 0.0000 427.7226 287 3.6142 3653 117.9243	ue / ns Std. Dev / ns Fix 226 0.0405 B1 0 0.0000 427.7226 B2 0 B3 0 B4 0 287 3.6142 3653 117.9243	ue / ns Std. Dev / ns Fix Value 226 0.0405 B1 975.8597 0.0000 427.7226 B2 7.2561 B3 B3 B4 287 3.6142 3653 117.9243	ue / ns Std. Dev / ns Fix Value Std. Dev 226 0.0405 B1 975.8597 7.1203 0.0000 427.7226 B2 7.2561 18.2104 B3 B3





Figure S20. The fluorescence lifetime of Ratio-B



Figure S21. Image of Ratio-A (10 μM) and Ratio-B (10 μM) in PBS solution (pH 7.4) before and after adding 10.0 equivalents of ONOO⁻ in 1 minute. A: the color of Ratio-A; B: the color of Ratio-A after adding ONOO⁻; C: the color of Ratio-B; D: the color of Ratio-B after adding ONOO⁻.



Figure S23. ¹³C NMR of P1 in CDCl₃



Figure S25. ¹³C NMR of P2 in d₆-DMSO.

19



Figure S26. (A) UV-vis absorption spectra and (B) fluorescence spectra of Ratio-A (10 μ M), Ratio-A (10 μ M) + ONOO⁻ (25 μ M) and P1 (10 μ M) in PBS solution (pH=7.4, 20 mM, with 0.1% DMSO).

Reference

- 1. H. Xiao, W. Zhang, P. Li, W. Zhang, X. Wang and B. Tang, *Angew. Chemi.Int. Edit.*, 2020, **59**, 4216–4230.
- G. Chen, F. Song, J. Wang, Z. Yang, S. Sun, J. Fan, X. Qiang, X. Wang, B. Dou and X. Peng, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 2949–2951.
- 3. C. Liu, W. Chen, W. Shi, B. Peng, Y. Zhao, H. Ma and M. Xian, *J. Am. Chem.Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7257–7260.
- 4. T. Peng and D. Yang, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 4932–4935.