Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

Naphthalimide based fluorescent organic nanoparticle in selective sensing of Fe³⁺ and as diagnostic probe for Fe²⁺/Fe³⁺ transition

Deblina Sarkar, Monalisa Chowdhury and Prasanta Kumar Das*

School of Biological Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science Jadavpur, Kolkata – 700032, India.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: bcpkd@iacs.res.in



Scheme S1. Synthetic scheme of NID.

Table ST Comparison of the Schools.	Table S1	l Co	omparison	of Fe ³⁺	sensors.
-------------------------------------	----------	------	-----------	---------------------	----------

Probes	LOD	Response type	References
Rhodamine-based	0.1 µM	Fluorescence turn	<i>Chem. Commun.</i> , 2010, 46 ,
probe		on	1407-1409.
Boron-	$1.3 \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{M}$	Fluorescence turn	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces,
dipyrromethene		on	2014, 6, 18408-18412.
(BODIPY) based			
fluorescence			
probe			
Triphenylamine	1.44 µM	AIE based	J. Mater. Chem. C, 2016, 4,
based probe		Fluorescence turn	383-390.
		off	
Carbon quantum	8.37 μM	Fluorescent	J. Mater. Chem. B, 2017,
dots/block		sensing	5, 5397
copolymer			
ensembles			
carbon	0.55 ppm	Fluorescent	J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3 ,
nanoparticles		sensing	136-138
AgNp	2 µM	Localised surface	Colloids Surfaces A, 2018,
		plasmon	555, 324-331.
		resonance	
Cyclodextrin	1 µM	FRET-based	Langmuir, 2010, 26 , 4529-
Supramolecular		ratiometric sensor	4534.
Complex			
Naphthalene	3.53 x 10 ⁻⁵ M	Fluorescence turn	Tetrahedron Lett, 2010,
based sensor		off	51 ,3962-3965.
Rhodamine-based	1 µM	FRET-based	Sens. Actuators B Chem.,
polymeric film		ratiometric	2010, 145 , 451-456.
sensor		fluorescent	
		sensing	
Anionic poly(3,4-	0.023 mM	Colorimetric	Sens. Actuators B Chem.,
propylenedioxythi		Sensor	2017, 244 , 891-896
ophene) derivative			
based sensor	0.0000		
Anionic Zn-based	0.0233 mM	Fluorescent sensing	Dalton Trans., 2018, 47, 3452-
MUF Nanhthalimida	10 5 1 1 0	Fluoroscont Turn	5458. Prosent Study
derivative	12.5 \pm 1.2 µNI	Off	
		U 11	

Characterization of **NID**.

¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ /ppm: 8.500-8.539 (m, 3H, C-3, C-8 proton of naphthalimide, C-2 proton of imidazole), 8.365-8.389 (m, 2H, C-5, C-6 proton of naphthalimide), 7.853-7.964 (m, 4H, C-4, C-5, C-8 protons of naphthyl ring, C-5 proton of imidazole), 7.608-7.639 (m, 2H, C-4, C-7 protons of naphthalimide), 7.418-7.438 (d, 1H, C-7 proton of naphthyl ring), 7.336-7.372 (t, 1H, C-6 proton of naphthyl ring), 7.154-7.285 (m, 1H, C-3 proton of naphthyl ring), 6.967-7.005 (m, 1H, C-2 proton of naphthyl ring), 6.239-6.274 (m, 1H, chiral centre of L-aspartic acid residue), 5.071-5.091 (m, 2H, O-CH₂-CH₂-C₁₀H₇), 4.453-4.497 (m, 1H, chiral centre of L-histidine residue), 3.489-3.569 (m, 6H, -N-CH₂-CH₂-N- and O-CH₂-CH₂-C₁₀H₇), 3.274-3.366 (m, 2H, methylene protons of L-histidine residue). ¹³C-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 170.48, 168.95, 160.68, 135.43, 134.55, 134.15, 133.55, 132.24, 131.95, 131.79, 128.98, 127.61, 127.44, 127.25, 127.16, 127.12, 126.16, 125.77, 125.63, 123.78, 119.08, 63.19, 50.05, 49.38, 36.35, 29.65, 28.97. MALDI-TOF: m/z: 647.69 [M+H]+(calculated); 647.039 (found). [α]²⁵_D = -13.78° (c = 0.58g/100mL) in CHCl₃).

Real time bioimaging.

We carried out real time bioimaging experiment for normal cells (NIH3T3) and cancer cells (B16F10) in 4 well chamber slides. First we incubated both type of cells separately in different chamber slides for 24 h (5% CO₂) at 37 °C. Followed by we incubated **NID** FONPs in (25 μ M, $f_w = 99$ vol%) in both type of cells for 3 h and carried out fluorescence imaging. We took the cells within chamber slides again in the CO₂ incubator for another 3 h and carried out the same experiment till overall 6 h incubation of the FONPs. After that we incubated Fe²⁺(250 μ M) and Fe³⁺(250 μ M) separately in different wells of chamber slides where compound was already incubated. Subsequently, we carried out the imaging experiment for 6 h incubation of Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ included within both type of cells.



Fig. S1. ¹H-NMR spectrum of NID.



Fig. S2. ¹³C-NMR spectrum of NID.



Fig. S3. Mass spectrum of NID.



Fig. S4. (a) DLS plot of particles size distribution (intensity averaged), (b) correlogram obtained by DLS of **NID** in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-water binary solvent mixture ([**NID**] = 10 μ M).



Fig. S5. Ratio of (a) absorbance value, (b) fluorescence intensity over time for **NID** FONP at f_w = 99 vol% in DMSO. (c) photographs of **NID** FONP solution for 7 days.



Fig. S6. Emission spectra of different mole fraction of **NID** / Fe³⁺in 1:99 (v/v) DMSO-water. $X_{comp} =$ Mole fraction of **NID**.



Fig. S7. Selectivity of **NID** FONPs ([**NID**] = 50 μ M) to Fe³⁺ over other metal ions [metal] = 500 μ M in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-water solution. (a) Fluorescence intensity plot (b) relative intensity of **NID** FONPs in presence of different metal ions. The error bars represent the standard deviations.



Fig. S8. Photograph of selectivity of **NID** FONPs ([**NID**] = 50 μ M) to Fe³⁺ over other metal ions (500 μ M) in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-water solution upon UV-light irradiation ($\lambda_{ex} = 365$ nm).



Fig. S9. (a) Fluorescence spectra of **NID** FONPs (50 μ M) in absence and presence of varying concentration of Fe³⁺ (excitation wavelength = 350 nm), (b) Stern-Volmer plot of Fe³⁺ doped in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-aqueous phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.4) solution of **NID** (50 μ M), Selectivity of **NID** FONPs ([**NID**] = 50 μ M) to Fe³⁺ over other metal ions [metal] = 500 μ M in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-aqueous phosphate buffer (10 mM, pH = 7.4) solution. (c) Fluorescence intensity plot (d) relative intensity of **NID** FONPs in presence of different metal ions. The error bars represent the standard deviations.



Fig. S10. Emission spectra of of **NID** FONPs (50 μ M) and mixture of **NID** FONPs (50 μ M) + Fe³⁺ (500 μ M) in absence and presence of 500 & 1000 μ M of (a) 2-aminopyridine, (b) citric acid, (c) L-Dopa, (d) EDTA, (e) folic acid and (f) glycine.



Fig. S11. Emission spectra of **NID** FONPs (50 μ M) and mixture of **NID** FONPs (50 μ M) + Fe³⁺ (50 μ M) in absence and presence of 100 μ M EDTA in (a) Milli-Q water and (b) aqueous phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4, 10 mM).



Fig. S12. Photographs **NID** FONPs ([**NID**] = 50 μ M), **NID** FONPs + Fe²⁺ ([**NID**] = 50 μ M, [Fe²⁺] = 500 μ M), **NID** FONPs + H₂O₂ ([**NID**] = 50 μ M and [H₂O₂]= 500 μ M) and **NID** FONPs + Fe²⁺ + H₂O₂ ([**NID**] = 50 μ M, [Fe²⁺] = 500 μ M and [H₂O₂] = 500 μ M) in (1:99, v/v) DMSO-water solution upon UV-light irradiation (λ_{ex} = 365 nm).



Fig. S13. CD spectra of (a) **NID** (500 μ M) in different ratios of DMSO-water, (b) **NID** (500 μ M) at $f_w = 99\%$ in DMSO in presence of Fe³⁺ (5 mM).



Fig. S14. MTT-based % cell viability of NIH3T3 (non-cancer cells) and B16F10 (cancer cells) in presence of varying concentration of FONPs derived from **NID** in 1:99 v/v, DMSO-water over the incubation period of (a)12 h, (b) 24 h. Percent errors are within \pm 5% in triplicate experiments.



Fig. S15. Bright-field, fluorescence microscopic images of NIH3T3 cells after incubation with **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) for 3 h (a,b) and 6 h (c,d) , incubation with **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) + Fe²⁺(250 μ M), (e, f) **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) + Fe³⁺(250 μ M) (g, h) for 6 h.



Fig. S16. Bright-field, fluorescence microscopic images of B16F10 cells after incubation with **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) for 3 h (a,b) and 6 h (c,d), incubation with **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) + Fe²⁺(250 μ M), (e, f) **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) + Fe³⁺(250 μ M) (g, h) for 6 h.



Fig. S17: a) Bright-field, b) fluorescence microscopic image of co-cultured NIH3T3 and B16F10 cells after 6 h incubation with **NID** FONPs (25 μ M) + Fe²⁺ (250 μ M).