Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Journal of Materials Chemistry B. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Supporting Information**

## A Facile Strategy for Preparing Porous Cu<sub>2</sub>O Nanosphere and Application as Nanozymes in Colorimetric Biosensing

Ying Zhu Zhilu Zhang Xinyu Song \* Yuxiang Bu

School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan, 250100, Peoples' Republic of China



Fig. S1. (a) size distribution of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs. (b) TEM image of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs.

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail: songxy@sdu.edu.cn



Fig. S2. (a) HR-TEM image of the Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs showing the interplanar spacing.(b) SAED patternd of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs.



Fig. S3. XRD of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs of different molar ratio of CuCl<sub>2</sub>:MgCl<sub>2</sub>: (a) sole CuCl<sub>2</sub>.(b) 20:1, (c) 10:1, (d) 5:1.



Fig. S4. BET analysis of Cu<sub>2</sub>O of prepared with different molar ratio of CuCl<sub>2</sub>:MgCl<sub>2</sub> (inset: pore size distribution (BJH-method)): (a) sole CuCl<sub>2</sub>, (b) 20:1, (c) 10:1, (d) 5:1



Fig. S5. TEM image of the Cu-precursors without adding MgCl<sub>2</sub>



Fig. S6. TEM images of the formation process of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg): (a) 5 min,(b) 10 min, (c) 20 min, (d) 40 min.



Fig. S7. TEM images of the formation process of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(sole CuCl<sub>2</sub>): (a) 5 min,(b) 10 min, (c) 20 min, (d) 40 min.



Fig. S8. The magnified TEM image (a) Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg) NPs,

(b) Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(sole CuCl<sub>2</sub>) NPs



**Fig. S9**. XRD of the formation process of Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg): (a) 5 min, (b) 10 min, (c) 20 min, (d) 40 min.

In the sample preparation for ICP analysis, the cuprous oxide was accurately weighed, dissolved in 1ml deionized water and diluted 10000 times. Finally, the analysis solution obtained before adding 2% up-HNO<sub>3</sub>.

Sample Mg Concentration(ppm)		Wt%
5 min	11.143	1.1%
40 min	0.046	0.005%

Table S1. Mass content of Mg in the Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg) determined by ICP-MS

**Table S2**. pH value during the reduction reaction process

Time	pH
0	7.43
5	6.97
10	6.44
20	6.20
30	6.16
40	6.13
60	6.13

Table S3. Zeta potential of the various Cu<sub>2</sub>O samples

Samples	Zeta potential
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(sole CuCl <sub>2</sub> )	-12.4 mV
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(20:1-Mg)	-14.5 mV
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(10:1-Mg)	-17.6 mV
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(5:1-Mg)	-18.1 mV



Fig. S10. FT-IR spectroscopy of the synthesized NPs of different molar ratio of CuCl<sub>2</sub>:MgCl<sub>2</sub>: (a) sole CuCl<sub>2</sub>, (b) 20:1, (c) 10:1, (d) 5:1.

Catalyst	Substrate	$K_{\rm m}$ (mM)	$V_{\rm m} ({ m M}{ m S}^{-1})$	Ref.
	TMB	0.434	$10.0 \times 10^{-8}$	[1]
нкр	$H_2O_2$	3.70	$8.71 \times 10^{-8}$	
	TMB	1.775	$4.09 \times 10^{-8}$	[2]
DNA/CuAI-LDH	$H_2O_2$	10.24	2.3×10 <sup>-8</sup>	
	TMB	0.23	$8.78 imes10^{-8}$	[3]
CePO <sub>4</sub> -CeO <sub>2</sub>	$H_2O_2$	4.76	$29.79\times10^{-8}$	
Brominated	TMB	0.83	$0.68  imes 10^{-8}$	[4]
Graphene	$H_2O_2$	10.98	$3.60  imes 10^{-8}$	
	TMB	0.165	$2.4 \times 10^{-8}$	[5]
$VO_2(A)$ nanoplates	$H_2O_2$	0.058	$1.4 \times 10^{-8}$	
T'O @C O	TMB	0.28	$0.65 \times 10^{-8}$	[6]
$110_2$ @CeO <sub>x</sub>	$H_2O_2$	6.29	$3.4 \times 10^{-8}$	
	TMB	0.256	$7.58 \times 10^{-9}$	This work
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(sole CuCl <sub>2</sub> )	$H_2O_2$	0.860	$1.81 \times 10^{-8}$	
$C = O \left( \frac{10}{10} \right) $	TMB	0.128	$1.11 \times 10^{-8}$	This work
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(10:1-Mg)	$H_2O_2$	0.729	$2.68 \times 10^{-8}$	

 Table S4. Comparison of the Michaelis–Menten Constant and Maximal Velocity of different nanomaterials.

## References

- S. Jain, B. Sharma, N. Thakur, S. Mishra and T. K. Sarma, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2020, 3, 8, 7917–7929.
- [2] L. Chen, K. Sun, P. Li, X. Fan, J. Sun and S. Ai, *Nanoscale*, 2013, 5, 10982-10988.
- [3] G. Vinothkumar, A. I. Lalitha and K. Suresh Babu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, 58, 349-358.
- [4] S. Singh, K. Mitra, R. Singh, A. Kumari, S. K. Sen Gupta, N. Misra, P. Maiti and B. Ray, Anal. Methods, 2017, 9, 6675-6681.
- [5] L. Zhang, F. Xia, Z. Song, N. Webster, H. Luo and Y. Gao, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 61371-61379.
- [6] L. Artiglia, S. Agnoli, M. Paganini, M. Cattelan and G. Granozzi, ACS Appl. Mater. Inter., 2014, 6, 20130-20136.
- [7] C. Chen, Y. Wang and D. Zhang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2019, **186**, 784.
- [8] M. Singh, P. Weerathunge, P. D. Liyanage, E. Mayes, R. Ramanathan and V. Bansal, *Langmuir*, 2017, 33, 10006-10015.
- [9] Y. Chen, T. Chen, X. Wu and G. Yang, Sensor Actuat. B: Chem., 2019, 279, 374-384.
- [10] C. Jiang, X. Wei, S. Bao, H. Tu and W. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 41561-41568.

**ESR spectrum.** Specifically, 100  $\mu$ L of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs with different concentrations, 100  $\mu$ L of 20 mM DMPO and 100  $\mu$ L of 5 mM H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were added into a plastic tube, and then the prepared sample solution was transferred to a quartz capillary tube and placed in the ESR cavity. The mixture was quickly measured by recording the ESR spectrum.



Fig. S11. (a) Fluorescence spectrum of (a) TA + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (b) TA + Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg),
(c) TA + Cu<sub>2</sub>O-(10:1-Mg) + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. (b) ESR spectra of the DMPO/·OH spin adduct at different concentrations of Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs.



**Fig. S12**. The dependency of the absorbance at 652 nm on the concentrations of xanthine (a) and uric acid (b).

Samples	Found value (mM)	Added (mM)	Found value (mM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	Hospital method(mM)
1	5.74	0.2	5.82	98.0	1.2	5.67
2	5.59	0.4	6.08	101.5	2.0	5.52
3	5.88	1.0	6.80	98.9	0.9	5.90

**Table S5.** Detection of the glucose standard added to human serum samples

**Real sample analysis**. For real sample analysis, glucose content in human serum samples was detected with the same methodology. The serum samples from healthy adults collected from the local hospital were first centrifuged at 12000 rpm, and then the supernatants were diluted by 10 folds. The detection procedure was the same as that of glucose in the buffer solution mentioned above, and the results were compared with those obtained by BS-380 automatic biochemical analyzer used in hospitals.

Table S6. Comparison of various nanomaterials employed for the detection of

L-cysteine.			
Material	LOD (µM)	Linear range (µM)	Refs.
VS <sub>4</sub>	0.97	0-100	[7]
Gd(OH)3 nanorods	2.6	0.2–75	[8]
CuMnO <sub>2</sub> nanoflakes	11.26	25-300	[9]
R-Cu@Au/Pt NPs	4.0	0–400	[10]
Cu <sub>2</sub> O-(10:1-Mg)	0.81	0-10	This work



**Fig. S13**. Selectivity analysis for L-cysteine detection in the presence of other thiolcontaining molecules, the black bars and red bars represent the system in the presence and absence of L-cysteine, respectively. (1) Met, (2) cystine, (3) cystamine, (4) homocysteine.

	5	1		5
Samples	Added (µM)	Found (µM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
1	4	4.12	103	2.3
2	6	5.87	97.8	1.4
3	8	7.79	97.4	1.6

**Table S7** Analysis of real samples with different concentrations of L-cysteine

The serum samples filtrates were spiked with standard L-cysteine solutions at certain concentrations, and added into the mixture composed by the Cu<sub>2</sub>O NPs+TMB+H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. After that, L-cysteine was detected using a UV-vis spectrophotometer as mentioned above.