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## 1. Characterization method

(1) Molecular structure/properties characterization and calculation (NMR, MS spectra, DFT).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 101 MHz ) spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 NMR Spectrometer and using tetramethylsilane as internal standard. MS spectra (MALDI-TOF-MS) were determined on a Micromass GCT-MS spectrometer. The infrared spectrum (IR) was measured using microinfrared spectrometer (sp-200i) by transmission-mode. The melting point was obtained by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) Q100 V9.0 Build 275 analyzer under purified nitrogen gas flow with a $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ heating rate. The calculated HOMO and LUMO levels, optimized conformations, and NBO charge distribution of the small molecules were optimized by density functional theory (DFT)/time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) at the B3LYP/6-31G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) level; the relaxed potential surface energy (PSE) scans of rotamers were using modredundant at the wb97x/g-31G(d, p) level. All calculations were performed in the gas phase and with Gaussian 09 program. ${ }^{1-3}$ UV-Vis spectra ${ }^{[1]}$ were obtained with a JASCO-V570 spectrophotometer. Electrochemical cyclic voltammetry was conducted on an electrochemical work station (VMP3 Biologic, France), with a Pt disk coated with a molecular film, a Pt plate, and an $\mathrm{Ag}^{+} / \mathrm{Ag}$ electrode as working, counter, and reference electrodes, respectively, in a $0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{~L}^{-1}$ tetrabutylammonium phosphorus hexafluoride ( $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NPF}_{6}$ ) acetonitrile solution.
(2) Morphology characterization

All films for characterization are prepared under identical optimized conditions of device fabrication in the absence of special emphasis. The AFM images of the blended films on ZnO substrates were obtained on a Nanoscope la AFM (Digital Instruments) in tapping mode. The TEM images of the blended films were prepared under the same conditions as AFM: the sample on the ITO/ZnO substrate was transferred by floating in water.
(3) Solar cell fabrication and measurements

Devices were fabricated with a structure of glass/ITO/ZnO/MoOx/Ag. The ITO-coated glass substrates were cleaned by the same procedure with inverted devices. The precursor solution of ZnO was spincoated on the ITO at 4,000 r.p.m, then was annealed at $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for half an hour to obtain a thin layer of ZnO . The precursor solution was prepared by dissolving 0.14 g of zinc acetate dihydrate ( Zn $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COO}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}, 99.9 \%$, Aldrich, U.S.A.) and 0.5 g of ethanolamine $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 99.5 \%\right.$, Aldrich, U.S.A.) in 5 mL of 2-methoxyethanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, 99.8 \%\right.$, J\&K Scientific, Canada). The substrates were transferred into a nitrogen-filled glove box. The mixture of small molecules $/ \mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ and additives with total concentration ca. $16.7 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{ml}^{-1}$ stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in chloroform for ca. 0.5 hour until they intensively dissolved. Subsequently, the active layer was spin-coated from blend chloroform solutions. Finally, a layer of $\sim 5 \mathrm{~nm} \mathrm{MoO} x$ and then 160 nm Ag layer was evaporated under high vacuum ( $<1 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~Pa}$ ).

Device $J-V$ characteristics was measured under AM $1.5 \mathrm{G}\left(100 \mathrm{mWcm}^{-2}\right)$ using a Newport Thermal Oriel 91159A solar simulator. Light intensity is calibrated with a Newport Oriel PN 91150 V Si-based solar cell. J-V characteristics were recorded using a Keithley 2400 source-measure unit. Typical cells have device areas of approximately $4 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$. EQEs were performed in air with an Orie Newport system (Model 66902) equipped with a standard Si diode. Monochromatic light was generated from a Newport 300W lamp source. Mobility measurements of pristine films and blend films were characterized by a hole-only space-charge limited current (SCLC) method with the following diode structures: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/active layer/ $\mathrm{MoO}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Ag}$ for hole by taking current-voltage current in the range of $0-5 \mathrm{~V}$ and fitting the results to a space-charge-limited form. ${ }^{4-5}$ Charge carrier motilities were calculated by using the SCLC model considering electric-field dependence, as described by

$$
J=\frac{9}{8} \varepsilon_{r} \varepsilon \mu V^{2} \exp \left(0.89 \sqrt{\frac{V}{E_{0} L}}\right) / L^{3}
$$

where $J$ is the current density $\left(\mathrm{mA} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}\right)$, L is the film thickness of the active layer ( cm ), $\mu$ is the hole or electron mobility, $\varepsilon_{r}$ is the relative dielectric constant of the transport medium, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the permittivity of free space ( $8.85 \times 10^{-14} \mathrm{~F} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and V is the internal voltage in the device. $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V}_{\text {appl }}-$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}$, where $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{appl}}$ is the applied voltage to the device, and series resistance across the electrodes, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{bi}}$ is the built-in voltage owing to the relative work function difference of the two electrodes.

## 2. Materials and synthesis

All reagents and chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Alfa and used as received. Solvents and other common reagents were obtained from the Beijing Chemical Plant. Toluene, chloroform and THF were freshly distilled prior to use. Other materials were used without further purification. 5-
bromo-4-(octyloxy)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde, 5-bromo-3-(octyloxy)thiophene-2- carbaldehyde, compound $\mathbf{1}$, and compound $\mathbf{6}$ were synthesized according to literatures. ${ }^{6-7}$


I Pd $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; || CF: $\mathrm{HOAc}=1: 1, \mathrm{NBS}$; III Pd $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IV CF: $\mathrm{HOAc}=1: 1$, NBS; V Pd $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; VI Triethylamine, CF ; VII $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; VIII Triethylamine, CF.

Scheme S1 Synthesis routes of small molecules

## Compound 2

## 4-(octyloxy)-5-(6-octylthieno[3,2-b]thiophen-2-yl)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde

Under Ar protection, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was added to the solution of compound $\mathbf{1}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5-$ bromo-4-(octyloxy)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde ( $1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 100 mL of toluene. Then, Ar gas was bubbled for 20 min ; then, the mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and maintained at the temperature for 12 h . After being cooled to ambient temperature, the mixture was evaporated, and the crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum and dichloromethane (2:1); the product was given as a yellow solid ( 1.20 g ) with a yield of $68 \%$. MS ( EI ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]+490.2$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 491.1$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 9.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4), 2.71-2.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6), 1.88-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ $1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$

## Compound 3

5-(5-bromo-6-octylthieno[3,2-b]thiophen-2-yl)-4-(octyloxy)thiophene-2-carbaldehyde

In an ice bath, NBS ( $435 \mathrm{mg}, 2.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in portion to the solution of compound $\mathbf{2}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.45 mmol ) in a mixed solvent of 30 mL of chloroform and 30 mL of acetic acid. After addition, the mixture was warmed to ambient temperature and left undisturbed overnight. The whole mixture was poured into 50 mL of chloroform: then, the organic layer was washed with water, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and water for three times and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After concentration, the crude product was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, with petroleum and dichloromethane (2:1) as eluent, and the product was obtained as a yellow solid ( $1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 79.5 \%$ ). MS (EI): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]{ }^{+} 568.1$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 569.0 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), ס: 9.77 (s, 1H), $7.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.21(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4), 2.72-2.76(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.6), 1.88-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$

## Compound 4

The procedure of the synthesis is the same with compound 2. The product was given as yellow solid with a yield of $70 \%$. MS ( EI ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]+490.2$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 491.1$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.8), 2.72-2.74(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, J=7.6), 1.73-1.86 (m, 4H), 1.28-1.55 (m, 20H), 0.87-0.91(m, 6H)

## Compound 5

The procedure of the synthesis is the same with compound 3. The product was given as yellow solid with a yield of $75 \%$. MS ( EI ): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{BrO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}[\mathrm{M}]+568.1$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 569.0$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right), \delta: 9.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.20(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.75(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.67-1.74 (m, 22H), 0.86-0.91 (m, 6H)

## Compound 7

Under Ar protection, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ was added to the solution of compound $\mathbf{3}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ and compound 6 ( $337 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 40 mL of toluene. Then, Ar gas was bubbled for 20 min ; then, the mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and maintained at the temperature for 12 h . After being cooled to ambient temperature, the mixture was evaporated, and the crude product was purified using column chromatography on silica gel with petroleum and dichloromethane (1:1); the product was given as a red solid ( 290 mg ) with a yield of $54 \%$. MALDI-TOF MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10} 1702.7$ found 1703.5, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), $\delta: 9.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.69 (s, 2H), 7.59(s, 2H), 7.48 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.23$ (t, 2H, J=6.4), 2.80-3.00(t, 4H, J=7.2), 2.80-2.81(d, 4H, J=6.4), 1.73-1.86 (m, 10H), 1.27-1.60 (m, 72H), 0.86-0.95 (m, 24H)

## Compound 8

The procedure of the synthesis is the same with compound 7. The product was given as a red solid with a yield of $61 \%$. MALDI-TOF MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{96} \mathrm{H}_{128} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{10} 1702.7$ found $1702.5,{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ), ס: $9.95(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.18(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.4), 2.93-$ 2.97 (t, 4H, J=7.2), 2.81-2.82 (d, 4H, J=6.8), 1.73-1.85 (m, 10H), 1.26-1.38 (m, 72H), 0.85-0.93 (m, 24H)

## BT-OT-ID

Under Ar protection, five drops of triethylamine was added into the mixture of compound 6 ( 200 mg , 0.12 mmol ) and 1 H -indene-1,3(2H)-dione ( $177 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After being stirred for 24 h at ambient temperature, the mixture was poured into water and extracted using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with brine and water and then dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. After being concentrated, the crude product was purified by using column chromatography on silica gel, with a mixture of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and petroleum (2:3) as eluent, and then recrystallized with chloroform and hexane to yield the target compound ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ ) as a dark solid. IR (ATR): $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3367,3270,3092,2957,1714,1670$, 1596, 1560, 1534, 1469, 1439,1407, 1361,1342, 1308, 1286, 1234,1201, 1183, 1151, 1098, 1017, $991,978,814,802,728,628,603$; m. p. $\left(244^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$; MALDI-TOF MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{114} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{10} 1958.8$ found 1958.6, 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.02(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.27(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37-1.46 (m, 68H), 0.93-1.00 (m, 24H). (Note: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR has been operated for several times, but the peaks are too low for the insufficient solubility).

## BT-TO-ID

The procedure of the synthesis is the same with BT-OT-ID, and the target compound was produced as dark red solid with a yield of $65 \%$. IR (ATR): $\mathrm{v}_{\max } / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3423,3270,3263,3191,3077,2929,2858$, $2309,2242,1717,1673,1594,1551,1523,1445,1383,1331,1314,1276,1230,1206,1154,1129$, 1065,1021, 994, 949, 876, 815, 798, 734, 680, 652; MALDI-TOF MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{114} \mathrm{H}_{136} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{10} 1958.8$ found 1958.5, m. p ( $189^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) 1H NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $8.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.10(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, 1.25-1.42 (m, 74H), 0.81-0.98 (m, 24H). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 190.38,143.30,141.54,140.48$, $138.35,136.19,134.82,134.16,134.08,122.45,122.41,122.37,122.23,122.10,118.38,109.98$, $72.65,50.91,39.44,38.87,33.53,33.23,33.06,31.99,31.97,31.85,30.18,30.07,29.81,29.72,29.54$, $29.42,29.38,29.25,28.84,26.75,26.66,25.86,23.14,22.76,22.69,14.29,14.19,14.17,14.14,10.90$.

## 3. Supporting figures




Fig. S1 Side views of small molecules


Fig. S2 Calculated NBO charge distribution of the two optimized small molecules, top) BT-OT-ID; bottom) BT-TO-ID






Fig. S3 a) CV curves of the two small molecules, with the scan rate of $50 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{s}$; The insert figure is the calibration CV curve of ferrocene b) Electron density distribution of calculated HOMO and LUMO based on the two isomers


Fig. S4 Two dimensional GIWAXs images for isomers of pristine films


Fig. S5 a) J-V curves of TOSCs at different weight ratio of BT-TO-ID b) The corresponding EQE curves.


Fig. S6 Optimized complex structures and calculated binding energies of BT-OT-ID/PC ${ }_{71} \mathrm{BM}, \mathrm{BT}-\mathrm{TO}-$ ID/PC ${ }_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ and BT-TO-ID/BT-OT-ID, using DFT methods with long-range corrected functional CAM-

B3LYP and the 6-31G ( $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}$ ) basis set


Fig. S7 Examples of contact angle measurement on the substrate of pristine isomers or $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ substrate


Fig S8 Thermal stability of the devices under the best optimized conditions



Fig. S9 NMR spectrums of intermediates
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(
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Fig. S10 NMR spectrums of final products



Fig. S11 Maldi-TOF spectras



Fig. S12 IR spectrums of final products

Table S1 opo-physical properties of the small molecules

| Molecule | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon_{\max }{ }^{\text {(sol.) }} \\ {\left[\mathrm{I} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon_{\max }{ }^{(\text {film })} \\ {\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{opt}} \\ & (\mathrm{eV}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | HOMO ${ }^{\text {cv }}$ <br> (eV) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LUMO }^{\text {CV }} \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E_{g}{ }^{\mathrm{cV}} \\ & (\mathrm{eV}) \end{aligned}$ | HOMO ${ }^{\text {cal }}$ (eV) | LUMO ${ }^{\text {cal }}$ (eV) | $E_{g}{ }^{\text {cal }}$ <br> (ev) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BT-OT-ID | $7.3 \times 10^{4}$ | 10.5 | 1.66 | -5.23 | -3.60 | 1.63 | -5.05 | -2.71 | -2.34 |
| BT-TO-ID | $1.3 \times 10^{5}$ | 5.2 | 1.80 | -5.31 | -3.54 | 1.77 | -5.07 | -2.68 | -2.39 |

Table S2 Additives optimization of reference binary organic solar cells ${ }^{\text {a }}\left(B T-O T-I D: ~ P C{ }_{71} B M\right)$

| Additives | Weight ratio ( $\mathrm{D}:$ <br> A) | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {oc }}$ <br> $(\mathrm{V})$ | $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{sc}}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | FF <br> (\%) | PCE <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| None | $1.5: 1$ | 0.79 | 10.84 | 48.26 | 4.11 |
| $0.6 \% \mathrm{CP}$ | $1.5: 1$ | 0.81 | 11.34 | 49.96 | 4.61 |
| $0.6 \% \mathrm{CN}$ | $1.5: 1$ | 0.81 | 8.22 | 53.37 | 4.02 |
| $0.6 \%$ DIO | $1.5: 1$ | 0.70 | 6.21 | 37.44 | 1.83 |
| $0.6 \%$ DPE | $1.5: 1$ | 0.80 | 8.16 | 58.48 | 4.30 |

[^0]Table S3 Concentration of additives and speed rotation optimization of ternary solar cell a

| Concentration of additives <br> (volume) | Rotation <br> speed (rpm) | $\mathbf{V}_{\text {oc }}$ <br> $(\mathbf{V})$ | $\mathbf{J}_{\text {sc }}$ <br> $\left(\mathbf{m A} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | FF <br> (\%) | PCE <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.4 \%$ | 1500 | 0.88 | 11.29 | 66.16 | 6.57 |
|  | 2000 | 0.88 | 11.74 | 66.47 | 6.88 |
| $0.6 \%$ | 2500 | 0.88 | 11.29 | 65.42 | 6.51 |
|  | 1500 | 0.86 | 13.49 | 66.81 | 7.71 |
|  | 2000 | 0.86 | 13.27 | 71.34 | 8.10 |
|  | 2500 | 0.86 | 13.36 | 68.9 | 7.93 |
|  | 1500 | 0.85 | 12.14 | 61.22 | 6.30 |
|  | 2000 | 0.86 | 11.90 | 62.33 | 6.34 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The total concentration is $16.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, at the ratio of BT -OT-ID:BT-TO-ID: $\mathrm{PC}_{71} \mathrm{BM}$ is 0.9:0.6:1
Table S4 Ratios and concentration optimization of ternary solar cells ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Total <br> concentration <br> (mg/) | Ratio (BT-OT-ID:BT-TO- <br> ID : PC <br> 71 | $\mathbf{V}_{\text {oc }}$ <br> $(V)$ | $\mathbf{J}_{\text {sc }}$ <br> $\left(\mathrm{mA} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$ | FF <br> $(\%)$ | PCE <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16.7 | $0.9: 0.6: 1$ | 0.86 | 13.27 | 71.34 | 8.10 |
| 17.9 | $0.9: 0.6: 1$ | 0.85 | 13.20 | 70.91 | 7.97 |
| 19.5 | $0.9: 0.6: 1$ | 0.86 | 13.02 | 68.72 | 7.69 |
| 21.4 | $0.9: 0.6: 1$ | 0.86 | 12.98 | 72.27 | 8.05 |
| 24 | $0.9: 0.6: 1$ | 0.86 | 12.83 | 71.24 | 7.89 |
| 16.7 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.89 | 12.49 | 67.26 | 7.46 |
| 17.9 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.89 | 12.53 | 68.26 | 7.62 |
| 19.5 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.90 | 12.48 | 67.70 | 7.56 |
| 21.4 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.89 | 12.34 | 68.34 | 7.49 |
| 24 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.86 | 12.77 | 68.15 | 7.49 |
| 28 | $0.75: 0.75: 1$ | 0.88 | 11.66 | 65.90 | 6.75 |

[^1]
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The total concentration is $16.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$, at the speed rotation of 2000 rpm

[^1]:    a The rotation speed is 2000 rpm , with $0.6 \% \mathrm{CP}$ as additive

