Supporting Information

Optimization, selective and efficient production of CNTs/Co_xFe₃₋

_xO₄ core/shell nanocomposites as outstanding microwave absorbers

Mei Wu,^a Abdou Karim Darboe,^a Xiaosi Qi ^{a,b,c,1*} Ren Xie,^a Shuijie Qin,^a Chaoyong

Deng,^b Guanglei Wu,^d Wei Zhong,^{c,*}

^aCollege of Physics, Guizhou Province Key Laboratory for Photoelectrics Technology and Application, Guizhou University, Guiyang City 550025, People's Republic of China ^bKey Laboratory of Electronic Composites of Guizhou Province, Guizhou University, Guiyang City 550025, People's Republic of China ^cNational Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures and Jiangsu Provincial Laboratory for NanoTechnology, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, People's Republic of China ^dInstitute of Materials for Energy and Environment, State Key Laboratory of Bio-fibers and Ecotextiles, College of Materials Science and Engineering, Qingdao University, Qingdao 266071, P. R. China;

^{*}Corresponding author. Phone: +86-25-83621200. Fax: +86-25-83595535 E-mail: xsqi@gzu.edu.cn, wzhong@nju.edu.cn



Figure S1. Schematic scheme for the synthesis process of CNTs-based NCs-I.



Figure S2. XPS spectra of the obtained HC40-14 and HC60-12.



Figure S3. (a) Real part, and (b) imaginary part of complex permeability for the asprepared samples, respectively.



Figure S4. Comparison results between the experimental d_m values and theoretical curves for HC60-12 and HC100-12.



Figure S5. Impedance matching characteristics of (a) HC40-11, and (b) HC40-14.



Figure S6. Frequency-dependent attenuation constant curves for the as-prepared HC40-11, HC40-12 and HC40-14 samples.



Figure S7. Raman spectra of HCNTs and PCNTs, respectively.



Figure S8. TEM images of (a,b) PC40-12, and (c,d) PC40-13, respectively.

Experiments	Names of Sample	Composition	Effect on Microstructure			
i	HC40-12	$CoCO_3$ and $Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	Number of nanoparticles			
	HC60-12	$\mathrm{Co}_{x}\mathrm{Fe}_{3-x}\mathrm{O}_{4}$	enhancing the amount of			
	HC100-12	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	HCNTs			
ii	HC40-11	CoCO ₃ and				
	110-10 11	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	Much more $Co_rFe_{3-r}O_4$			
	HC40-12	CoCO ₃ and	nanoparticles assemble			
		$\mathrm{Co}_{x}\mathrm{Fe}_{3-x}\mathrm{O}_{4}$	together with decreasing the			
	НС40-13	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	molar ratio of Co:Fe			
	HC40-14	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	1			
iii	HC40-14	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$	Number of nanoparticles decreases with increasing the amount of HCNTs			
	HC60-14	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$				
	HC80-14	$Co_xFe_{3-x}O_4$				
iv	PC40-12	CoCO ₃ and	Number of nanoparticles			
		$\mathrm{Co}_{x}\mathrm{Fe}_{3-x}\mathrm{O}_{4}$	anchoring on the surface of			
	PC40-13	$\mathrm{Co}_{x}\mathrm{Fe}_{3-x}\mathrm{O}_{4}$	PCNTs decreases evidently compared to HCNTs			

 Table S1. Summarized sheet for the effect of experimental parameters on the as

 prepared core@shell structure CNTs-based NCs-I.



Figure S9. Impedance matching characteristics of (a) HC60-14, and (b) HC80-14.



Figure S10. Dielectric loss tangent values of (a) PC40-12 and PC40-13, and (b) PC40-12 and HC40-12, respectively.



Figure S11. Microwave absorption characteristic curves of PCNTs.

Names of Sample	RL _{min} (dB)	d_m (mm)	AB _{max} (GHz)	<i>d_m</i> (mm)	
НС40-12	-58.82	9.31	2.88	3.05	
HC60-12	-61.4	2.44	3.64	2.76	
HC100-12	-61.86	1.18	4.08	1.35	
HC40-11	-49.96	3.18	4.40	1.64	
HC40-12	-58.82	9.31	2.88	3.05	
HC40-14	-47.28	10	1.72	9.01	
HC60-14	-56.2	7.52	2.72	6.89	
HC80-14	-62	3.46	3.20	2.75	
PC40-12	-63.32	2.43	4.28	1.58	
PC40-13	-67.18	4.63	4.52	1.76	
PCNTs	-7.32	1.12	-	-	

 Table S2. Summarized comprehensive EMWAPs of the as-prepared core@shell

 structure CNTs-based NCs-I.

Table S3. Comparison results of comprehensive EMWAPs for the as-prepared core@shell structure CNTs-based NCs-I with the recently reported representative magnetic particles modified CNTs.

Names of Sample	RL _{min} (dB)	d_m (mm)	AB _{max} (GHz)	d_m (mm)	References
HC100-12	-61.86	1.18	4.08	1.35	This work
HC40-11	-49.96	3.18	4.40	1.64	This work
PC40-12	-63.32	2.43	4.28	1.58	This work
PC40-13	-67.18	4.63	4.52	1.76	This work
3D Fe ₃ O ₄ /CNTs	-59.2	1.68	3.1	1.68	S1
ZnFe ₂ O ₄ @CNT	-54.5	2.4	2.2	2.5	S2
CNTs/Co _{0.5} Zn _{0.5} Fe ₂ O ₄	-64.7	3.1	4.3	2.1	S3
CeO ₂ -CNT	-40.95	3.5	1.8	3.5	S4
CNTs/NiCo ₂ O ₄	-45.1	2.5	4.4	1.5	S5
FeCo-C-CNTs	-79.2	2	6.3	2	S6
CNTs/ZnFe ₂ O ₄	-55.5	1.5	3.6	1.5	S7
3D Fe ₃ O ₄ -CNTs	-52.8	6.8	2.2	6	S8
Fe ₃ O ₄ -CNTs-HPCFs	-50.9	2.5	5.8	2.5	S9
Co-C/CNTs	-50	2.4	4.3	1.8	S10
Li _{0.3} Zn _{0.3} Co _{0.1} Fe _{2.3} O ₄ @CNT	-21	1	4.4	2	S11
Fe ₃ O ₄ @CNTs	-39.27	2	2.9	2	S12

References

- S1. X.J. Zeng, L.Y. Zhu, B. Yang, R.H. Yu, Mater. Design, 2020,189, 108517.
- S2. F. Li, W.W. Zhan, Y.T. Su, S. H. Siyal, Gang. Bai, W. Xiao, A.S. Zhou, Gang Sui,
 X.P. Yang, *Compos. Part A*, 2020, 133, 105866.
- S3. R.W. Shu, Y. Wu, Z.Y. Li, J.B. Zhang, Z.L. Wan, Y. Liu, M.D. Zheng, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **184**, 107839.
- S4. Z.Q. Wang, P.F. Zhao, P.W. Li, S.D. Li, L.S. Liao, Y.Y. Luo, Z. Peng, D.N. He, Y. Cheng, *Compos. Part B*, 2019, **167**, 477-486.
- S5.Q.M. Hu, R.L. Yang, Z.C. Mo, D.W. Lu, L.L. Yang, Z.F. He, H. Zhu, Z.K. Tang, X.C. Gui, *Carbon*, 2019, **153**, 737-744.
- S6. D.T. Kuang, L.Z. Hou, S.L. Wang, H. Luo, L.W. Deng, J.L. Mead, H. Huang, M. Song, *Carbon*, 2019, **153**, 52-61.
- S7. R.W. Shu, G.Y. Zhang, X. Wang, X. Gao, M. Wang, Y. Gan, J.J. Shi, J. He, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2017, **337**, 242-255.
- S8. Y.H. Chen, Z.H. Huang, M.M. Lu, W.Q. Cao, J. Yuan, D.Q. Zhang, M.S. Cao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 12621-12625.
- S9. J. Qiu, T.T. Qiu, Carbon, 2015, 81, 20 -28.
- S10. R.W. Shu, W.J. Li, Y. Wu, J.B. Zhang, G.Y. Zhang, Chem. Eng. J., 2019, 362, 513-524.
- S11. M. Dalal, J.M. Greneche, B. Satpati, T.B. Ghzaiel, F. Mazaleyrat,
 R.S.Ningthoujam, P.K. Chakrabarti, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 4083140845.
- S12. S.Y. Liu, L.F. Mei, X.L. Liang, L.B. Liao, G.C. Lv, S.F. Ma, S.Y. Lu, A. Abdelkader, K. Xi, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2018, **10**, 29467-29475.