Characterization of Ovarian Cancer-Derived Extracellular Vesicles by Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopy – Supplementary Information

Nina M. Ćulum,¹ Tyler T. Cooper, ² Gillles A. Lajoie,² Thamara Dayarathna,³ Stephen H.

Pasternak,³ Jiahui Liu,⁴ Yangxin Fu,⁴ Lynne-Marie Postovit,^{4,5} François Lagugné-Labarthet^{*1}

¹ University of Western Ontario (Western University), Department of Chemistry, 1151 Richmond St., London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7

² University of Western Ontario (Western University), Department of Biochemistry, 1151 Richmond St., London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7

³ University of Western Ontario (Western University), Robarts Research Institute, 1151 Richmond St., London, Ontario, Canada, N6A 5B7

⁴ University of Alberta, Department of Oncology, 116 St. & 85 Ave., Edmonton, Alberta,

Canada, T6G 2R3

⁵ Queen's University, Department of Biomedical & Molecular Sciences, 99 University Ave.,

Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6

Parameter	Parameter Setting
Orbitrap Resolution (MS1)	7×10^4
Mass Range	400 - 1500 m/z
MS1 Injection Time	200 ms
MS1 Automatic Gain Control (AGC) Target	3×10^{6} ions/cycle
Lock Mass	445.120025 m/z
MS2 Detection	Fourier Transform
MS2 Resolution	1.75×10^4
MS2 AGC Target	2×10^5 ions/cycle
MS2 Injection Time	50 ms
Loop Count	12
Isolation Width	1.2 m/z
Isolation Offset	0.5 m/z
MS2 Activation	Higher-energy C-trap dissociation
Normalized Collision Energy	25 %
Dynamic Exclusion	12
Minimum AGC Target	2×10^3 ions/cycle
MS2 Intensity Threshold	8×10^4
Exclusion Duration	30 s
Charge Exclusion	Unassigned, 1, 8, >8
Polarity	Positive

Table S1. Parameters for Q Exactive Plus.



Figure S1. Representative flow cytometry plots (top) and corresponding size distributions (bottoms) of EV samples from OV-90 (left) and hIOSE (middle) cell lines, as well as conditioned media (right).



Figure S2. (A) Number of MS1 and MS2 scans for duplicate injections of each EV sample. **(B)** Number of peptides identified by de novo sequencing, which led to confident protein identification with false discovery rate (p < 0.01).



Figure S3. SEM images of typical nanohole arrays (edge length = $1.0 \ \mu m$) used for EV capture and analysis, including (A) triangular arrays and (B) square arrays (scale bars = $10 \ \mu m$). (C) and (D) represent magnified images of (A) and (B), respectively (scale bars = $5 \ \mu m$).

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Presumed Assignment	Ref. Peak (cm ⁻¹)
630	Glycerol	630 ^{1,2}
692	Ring deformation	686 ²
724	Ring breathing mode of adenine	725 ^{1,2}
755	Symmetric breathing of tryptophan	755 ²
787	Ring breathing mode of cytosine, uracil, thymine	786 ^{1,2}
818	C-C stretching in collagen	817 ^{1,2}
837	Deformative vibrations of amine groups	8381,2
865	C-C stretching or C-O-C skeletal mode in	868 ^{1,2}
	carbohydrates	
935	C-C stretching mode of proline, valine, and	935 ^{1,2}
	protein backbone (α-helix); glycogen	
961	Unassigned in protein assignments	963 ^{1,2}
1029	Phenylalanine of collagen	1030 ²
1090	Symmetric phosphate stretching vibrations	1090 ^{1,2}
1185	Cytosine, guanine, adenine	$1180 - 1184^{1,2}$
1254	Lipids	1255 ^{1,2}
1303	CH ₂ /CH ₃ twisting, wagging, or bending mode of	1302 ^{1,2}
	lipid/collagen; amide III	
1356	Guanine	1355, 1357 ^{1,2}
1404	C-H deformation	14041
1455	Deoxyribose; CH ₂ scissoring of proteins and lipids	1455 ²
1482	Ring breathing mode of guanine, adenine	1485 ^{1,2}
1545	Amide II	1544 ¹

Table S2. Summary of peak assignments of hIOSE EVs, as highlighted in Fig. 3A.

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Presumed Assignment	Ref. Peak (cm ⁻¹)
632	Glycerol	630 ^{1,2} *
675	Ring breathing mode in guanine	678 ^{1,2}
714	C-N (membrane phospholipid head); adenine	717 ²
719	C-N (membrane phospholipid head), symmetric	719 ^{1,2}
	stretch vibration of choline group N ⁺ (CH ₃) ₃ ; nucleotide	
755	Symmetric breathing of tryptophan	755 ² *
782	Ring breathing in thymine, cytosine, uracil	782 ^{1,2}
822	Phosphodiester	822 ^{1,2}
908	Tyrosine	906 ¹
943	Skeletal modes in polysaccharides	941 ^{1,2}
1008	Phenylalanine	1008 ^{1,2}
1036	C-H in-plane bending mode of phenylalanine	1036 ²
1118	Glucose	1117 ^{1,2}
1151	C-N stretching in proteins	1152 ^{1,2}
1186	Cytosine, guanine, adenine	$1180 - 1184^{1,2}$ *
1201	Nucleic acids and phosphates; aromatic C-O and	1200 ^{1,2}
	C-N	
1220	C=N=C stretching	1220 ^{1,2}
1274	Amide III	1275 ^{1,2}
1335	CH ₂ /CH ₃ twisting and wagging in collagen and	1335 ^{1,2}
	nucleic acids; C-N stretching in amide III	
1370	Saccharide band	1370 ^{1,2}
1403	C-H deformation	14041 *
1467	Lipids	1465 ^{1,2}
1533	Amide II	1542 ¹

Table S3. Summary of peak assignments of OV-90 EVs, as highlighted in Fig. 3B.

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Presumed Assignment	Ref. Peak (cm ⁻¹)
675	Ring breathing mode in guanine	678 ^{1,2}
687	Ring deformation	686 ² *
724	Ring breathing mode of adenine	725 ^{1,2} *
741	DNA, tryptophan	7421
818	C-C stretching in collagen	817 ^{1,2} *
848	C-O-C skeletal mode in carbohydrates	847 ^{1,2}
929	Carbohydrates	931 ^{1,2}
935	C-C stretching mode of proline, valine, and	935 ^{1,2} *
	protein backbone (α-helix); glycogen	
956	CH ₃ stretching in proteins (α -helix)	951 ^{1,2}
994	C-O ribose, C-C	996 ^{1,2}
1055	C-O stretching, C-N stretching in proteins	1053 ^{1,2}
1176	C-H bending in tyrosine	1176 ¹
1197	Tryptophan ring breathing	1199 ¹
1226	Amide III (β-sheet)	1224 ^{1,2}
1299	Acyl chains, fatty acids	1298 ^{1,2}
1324	CH ₂ /CH ₃ wagging mode in collagen and purine	1324 ^{1,2}
	bases	
1346	Adenine and guanine; C-H deformation of	1344 ²
	proteins	
1376	Ring breathing mode of adenine	1376 ²
1455	Deoxyribose; CH ₂ scissoring of proteins and lipids	1455 ² *
1483	Ring breathing mode of guanine, adenine	1485 ^{1,2} *
1529	-C=C- in-plane vibrations	1525 ^{1,2}
1584	C=C bending mode of phenylalanine	1583 ^{1,2}

Table S4. Summary of peak assignments of OVCAR3 EVs, as highlighted in Fig. 3B.

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Presumed Assignment	Ref. Peak (cm ⁻¹)
639	Tyrosine ring breathing	639 ¹
694	Ring deformation	686 ² *
736	Phosphatidylserine	733 ^{1,2}
775	Phosphatidylinositol	776 ^{1,2}
797	Ring breathing mode in uracil	802 ^{1,2}
845	C-O-C skeletal mode in carbohydrates	847 ^{1,2}
886	Ring deformation and symmetric C-N-C	886 ²
	stretching	
939	C-C skeletal stretching in proteins	939 ²
1003	C-C skeletal mode, phenylalanine	1003 ^{1,2}
1023	Glycogen	1023 ^{1,2}
1096	Phosphodioxy group (PO ₂ ⁻ in nucleic acids)	1096 ^{1,2}
1159	C-C/C-N stretching in proteins	1158 ¹
1162	Tyrosine	1163 ¹
1225	Amide III (β-sheet)	1224 ^{1,2}
1265	Amide III of collagen; C-C ₆ H ₅ stretching in	1265 ²
	phenylalanine	
1332	C-C stretching in phenyls, C-O stretching, C-H in-	1332 ^{1,2}
	plane bending	
1367	CH ₃ stretching in phospholipids	1367 ^{1,2}
1404	C-H deformation	1404 ¹ *
1439	CH ₂ /CH ₃ deformation in collagen	1439 ^{1,2}
1520	-C=C- in-plane vibrations	1525 ^{1,2}
1558	Tryptophan, tyrosine, amide II	1558 ^{1,2}

Table S5. Summary of peak assignments of EOC6 EVs, as highlighted in Fig. 3C.

Raman Shift (cm ⁻¹)	Presumed Assignment	Ref. Peak (cm ⁻¹)
612	Cholesterol ester	614 ^{1,2}
648	Ring, cyclic deformation	649 ²
677	Ring breathing in guanine	678 ^{1,2}
756	Symmetric breathing of tryptophan	755 ² *
835	Deformative vibrations of amine groups	8381,2*
852	Proline, hydroxyproline, tyrosine	852 ^{1,2}
935	C-C stretching mode of proline, valine, and	935 ^{1,2} *
	protein backbone (α-helix); glycogen	
987	Phenylalanine	991 ¹
1032	CH ₂ /CH ₃ bending modes of phenylalanine and	10321,2
	proline of collagen, phospholipids	
1076	Symmetric stretching of PO ₄ ³⁻	1076 ¹
1166	Lipids	1168 ^{1,2}
1209	C-C ₆ H ₅ stretching mode in tryptophan and	1209 ^{1,2}
	phenylalanine	
1248	Amide III	1248 ¹
1262	Ring breathing mode in thymine, adenine; =C-H	1263 ^{1,2}
	bending in proteins	
1300	CH ₂ twisting in lipids, fatty acids	1300 ^{1,2}
1338	Amide III	1338 ²
1362	Tryptophan	1360 ^{1,2}
1386	CH ₃ band	1386 ^{1,2}
1425	Deoxyribose	1424 ^{1,2}
1466	Lipids	1465 ^{1,2}
1473	C=N stretching	1470 ^{1,2}
1577	Guanine, adenine	1578 ¹

Table S6. Summary of peak assignments of EOC18 EVs, as highlighted in Fig. 3C.



Figure S4. ROC curves comparing six different machine learning algorithms: support vector machine (SVM), logistic regression, random forest, kNN, Naïve Bayes, and CN2 rule inducer. The upper left-most portion is zoomed in and highlighted in red (right).

Table S7. Comparison of accuracies, sensitivities, and specificities achieved with the six learning machine algorithms shown in Fig. S3. Although SVM has a slightly higher AUC (0.999) than logistic regression (0.997), logistic regression is able to classify each EV type with higher accuracy, precision, and recall.

Model	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	
Logistic Regression	98.6 %	98.6 %	98.6 %	
SVM	97.3 %	97.4 %	97.3 %	
Random Forest	91.0 %	91.1 %	91.0 %	
Naïve Bayes	86.5 %	88.4 %	86.5 %	
kNN	86.5 %	87.1 %	86.5 %	
CN2 Rule Inducer	77.4 %	77.4 %	77.4 %	



Figure S5. Score plots containing (A) first two PCs (i.e., a traditional score plot), (B) PC2 and PC3 (informative projection for the first 5 PCs), (C) PC6 and PC9 (informative projection for both the first 10 and 15 PCs), and (D) PC6 and PC18 (informative projection for the first 20 PCs).

Number of PCs Retained	Total Explained Variance	Accuracy	Precision	Recall
5	91.5 %	46.3 %	50.6 %	46.3 %
10	94.0 %	69.0 %	69.6 %	69.0 %
15	95.5 %	69.0 %	69.6 %	69.0 %
20	96.5 %	94.6 %	94.8 %	94.6 %
25	97.2 %	98.6 %	98.6 %	98.6 %

Table S8. A comparison of the descriptive statistics when varying amounts of PCs are retained

 for machine learning.

Table S9. Confusion matrix generated when the first 5 PCs are retained for machine learning.

		Predicted				
		EOC6 EOC18 OV-90 OVCAR3 hIOSE				
	EOC6	70	12	2	16	57
al	EOC18	13	48	9	6	60
ctu	OV-90	2	3	45	0	50
A	OVCAR3	12	0	4	38	44
	hIOSE	21	22	8	30	119

Table S10. Confusion matrix generated when the first 15 PCs are retained for machine learning.

		Predicted					
		EOC6 EOC18 OV-90 OVCAR3 hIOSE					
al	EOC6	133	3	4	4	13	
	EOC18	4	79	4	6	43	
ctu	OV-90	1	3	74	4	18	
Ā	OVCAR3	5	2	12	58	21	
	hIOSE	20	18	12	17	133	

		Predicted				
		EOC6 EOC18 OV-90 OVCAR3 hIOSE				
	EOC6	144	7	5	1	0
al	EOC18	4	127	2	1	2
ctu	OV-90	4	1	95	0	0
V	OVCAR3	0	6	0	92	0
	hIOSE	1	3	0	0	196

Table S11. Confusion matrix generated when the first 20 PCs are retained for machine learning.

Table S12. Confusion matrix generated when the first 25 PCs are retained for machine learning.

		Predicted					
		EOC6 EOC18 OV-90 OVCAR3 hIOSE					
al	EOC6	153	1	0	1	2	
	EOC18	0	134	1	1	0	
ctu	OV-90	0	0	99	0	1	
A	OVCAR3	0	1	0	97	0	
	hIOSE	1	1	0	0	198	

References

1. A. C. S. Talari, Z. Movasaghi, S. Rehman and I. U. Rehman, Appl. Spectrosc. Rev. 2015, 50,

46-111.

2. I. U. Rehman, Z. Movasaghi, S. Rehman, in Vibrational Spectroscopy for Tissue Analysis,

CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2012, vol. 1, pp 213-294.