Supplementary figures

A collagen-AS/EPLL bilayered artificial substitute regulate antiinflammation and infection for initial inflamed wound healing

Gyeung Mi Seon,^a Mi Hee Lee,^a Min-Ah Koo,^{a,b} Seung Hee Hong, ^{a,b} Ye jin Park,^a Ha Kyeong Jeong,^a and Jong-Chul Park^{*a,b}

^a Cellbiocontrol Laboratory, Department of Medical Engineering, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea.

^b Department of Medical Engineering, Graduate School of Medical Science, Brain Korea 21 Project, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul 03722, Republic of Korea.

^{*} Correspondence Authors : E-mail: parkjc@yuhs.ac

Supporting Figures



Fig. S1. Scheme of the preparation of bilayerd composite scaffold. The three-step fabrication process includes: After homogenizing AS to the collagen solution, it loaed on the well plate. And after deep freezing then εPLL is loaded as a bilayer on the freezed collagen-AS. Lastly deep freezing followed by lyophilization to obtain a bilayered composite scaffold.



Fig. S2. The composite scaffold were subjected to UV irradiation below a halogen UV lamp (wavelength range 290–390 nm). (A) Scheme of the preparation of irradiation method on composite scaffold. The light intensity at this distance was determined as 65mW/cm² by a UV radiometer. (B) The graph of screening to determine the most effective irradiation value.



Fig. S3. *In vitro* accumulative release profile of asiaticoside from the Collagen-AS/ ϵ PLL composite scaffold. (A) Representative of wavelength screening in different concentration of AS were 1, 5, 10, 20 and 50 µg/ml. A graph measured the amount of release accordingly to the AS concentration of 100 and 200 µg/ml in (B) fibroblast medium (FBM) and (C) keratinoncyte medium (DMEM). D) Accumulative ϵ PLL release in FBM and DMEM medium. Error bars represents mean ± standard deviation (n = 4).



Fig. S4. The graphs indicated protein expression levels (westernblot) of (A) NF-kB, (B) iNOS, (C) Cox-2 and (D) MMP-9 in macrophage. The amount of protein level measured using Image J. Data are expressed as means \pm SD, *p < 0.05 vs. only LPS treated cell (LPS o).

Α

Group 1	Sham (untreated) : only wound
Group 2	Control (w/ LPS) : LPS treatment on the wound
Group 3	$\textbf{COL}\xspace(w/LPS)$: LPS and collagen scaffold treatment on the wound
Group 4	$CAP(\ensuremath{w}\xspace$ LPS and collagen-AS/&PLL scaffold treatment on the wound

В

LPS & scaffold treated



• At 3 days, tissue excision for inflammatory reaction

• 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 14, 17 and 21 days for macroscopic observation

• At 14 and 21 days, tissue excision for histology analysis

С



Completely hair removal



Skin excision using biopsy punch



Tied splint up full-thickness wound



LPS treatment

Application of scaffold

Fig. S5. (A) *in vivo* experimental groups and final study design: optimized inflamed fullthickness wound model. For in vivo study, healthy male SD rats were randomly assigned to experimental groups and they were divided into 4 groups untreated, sham with only wounds, Control group with LPS treatment, COL group is LPS with collagen scaffold treatment, and CAP group is collagen with AS/ɛPLL scaffold treatment. (B) all groups were excision at day 3 to measure the initial inflammatory response and histological analysis. And from day 0 to 21, macroscopic observation to measure wound size and weights. Lastly confrimed wound healing effect on second and third weeks by isolated wound skin for histology analysis. (C) method of LPS induced inflammation dorsum wound model of SD rat.



Fig. S6. *In vivo* inflammation test by quantification of inflammation related protein levels were examined (at 3 days) by western blot in skin wound section.