Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Chemical Communications. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

### **Supporting Information**

for manuscript entitled

# Programming folding cooperativity of dimeric i-motif with DNA frameworks for sensing small pH variations

by

Shiliang He,†<sup>a</sup> Mengmeng Liu,†<sup>b</sup> Fangfei Yin,<sup>ac</sup> Jiangbo Liu,<sup>a</sup> Zhilei Ge,<sup>d</sup> Fan Li,<sup>a</sup> Min Li,<sup>1a</sup> Jiye Shi,<sup>c</sup>

Lihua Wang,<sup>c</sup> Xiuhai Mao,<sup>a</sup> Xiaolei Zuo <sup>a</sup> and Qian Li\*<sup>d</sup>

a. Institute of Molecular Medicine, Shanghai Key Laboratory for Nucleic Acid Chemistry and Nanomedicine, Renji Hospital, School of Medicine and School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200127, China

b. Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes, Department of Chemistry, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

c. Division of Physical Biology, CAS Key Laboratory of Interfacial Physics and Technology, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China d. School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Frontiers Science Center for Transformative Molecules and National Center for Translational Medicine, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

## **Table of Contents**

Experimental methods
Table S1. DNA sequences used to assemble TDF
<b>Table S2.</b> Sequences of dimeric $C_5T_3C_4$ i-motifs with duplexes of different lengths
<b>Table S3.</b> Sequences of dimeric $C_5T_3C_4$ i-motifs with duplexes of different GC contents
Table S4. Sequences of duplexed i-motifs with polyT spacers of different lengths
Positive cooperativity: intermediate states and preorganizationS10
Figure S1. Preorganization can reduce the number of intermediate states in the folding of
bimolecular i-motif and thus enhance its folding cooperativity
Figure S2. Preorganization effect of TDF in the folding of dimeric i-motif
Figure S3. Proposed folding pathway of dimeric i-motif pre-organized by different elementsS13
Figure S4. Electrophoresis characterization of the assembly of TDF containing i-motif-forming
strands
Figure S5. TEM images of TDF-(C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> prepared in PBS buffer of pH 5.5 and 7.5S15
Figure S6. Thermal melting profiles and van't Hoff plots of 10 bp duplex-assisted C5T3C4 i-motif
and TDF-(C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>
Figure S7. Migration behavior of i-motif-tethered TDFs in nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel (8%)
at pH 8.0 and 5.5, respectively
Figure S8. Dimeric i-motif formed by C5T3C4 was chosen as the parent structure to be modified in
in this work
Table S5. pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs formed by C-rich oligonucleotides in the form
of $C_m X_3 C_n$ (X = A or T; m, n = 4, 5 or 6)
Figure S9. The folding cooperativity of unmodified bimolecular i-motifs
Table S6. pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins of different sizes were
tested)
Figure S10. Effects of the integration of small DNA hairpins on the apparent folding cooperativity
of the dimeric i-motif formed by C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub>
Table S7. pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins with stems of different GC
contents were tested)
Table S8. pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins with loops of different base
compositions were tested)
Figure S11. The observed Hill coefficient (n <sub>H</sub> ) of hairpin-assisted i-motifs showed dependence on
hairpin stem's GC content and loop's base composition
Table S9. pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by short duplexes of varying
length
Figure S12. CD spectra comparison for i-motifs before and after short duplex integrationS30
Table S10. pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by 8-bp duplex of different GC
contents
Table S11. pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by 10-bp duplex with ploy(dT) spacer
of different lengths
Figure S13. The mean distance between endpoints of ployT spacer and FWHM derived from Monte
Carlo simulation, as a function of the number of T in the spacer
Table S12. pH denaturation data of TDF-assisted C5T3C4 i-motif with polyT spacer of different

lengths	S35
<b>Table S13.</b> pH denaturation data of TDF-assisted $C_mX_3C_n$ (m, n = 2, 3, 4, 5) i-motifs.	S36
References	S37

#### **Experimental Methods:**

#### Chemicals and instruments.

All the chemical reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers (i.e., Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO), Fisher Scientific (Pittsburg, PA) and others). All oligonucleotides were synthesized and purified by Sangon Inc. Nuclease-free water (Sigma-Aldrich) was used to prepare DNA solutions and buffers. A FE28 pH-meter and calibration buffers (4.01, 7.00 and 9.21) were purchased from Mettler Toledo. Gel filtration chromatography was carried out with a ÄKTA chromatography system (GE Healthcare) equipped with Yarra<sup>TM</sup> 3 µM SEC-4000 LC column 300×7.8 mm (Phenomenex). The UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Cary100 spectrophotometer (Agilent), using quartz cuvettes of 1 cm path length. The Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra were recorded on a FluoroMax-4 fluorescence spectrometer (Horiba), using quartz cuvettes of 1 cm path length. CD spectra were recorded on a J-1500 CD spectrometer (JASCO) with a 1 cm quartz cell.

#### **Preparation of TDF.**

The TDFs were self-assembled by mixing the 5 component strands in an equimolar ratio in TM buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>), followed by heating to 95 °C for 5 min and then quickly cooled to 4 °C. Subsequently, the synthesized TDFs were applied to HPLC purification. Isocratic elution was performed using a buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, 450 mM NaCl, pH 7.1) at room temperature and with detection at 260 nm. The fractions were collected and concentrated with ultrafiltration (100 kDa). Further, in order to be used in following tests, the buffer of the concentrated sample was replaced by corresponding buffer (400  $\mu$ L×3) with the help of ultrafiltration.

#### Nondenaturating gel electrophoresis.

TDF samples (1  $\mu$ M) were firstly prepared in TM buffer by fast annealing as described above. To confirm the formation of TDF assembly, non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was conducted in 1xTBE buffer at 85 V for 2h at 4°C. On the other hand, in order to confirm the monodispersity of TDF at both basic and acidic conditions, the assembled TDF were purified with HPLC, then subjected to ultrafiltration and TDF samples of different concentrations (0.1  $\mu$ M, 1.0  $\mu$ M and 10  $\mu$ M) were prepared in citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer (20 mM, pH 8.0/5.5, containing 100 mM NaCl), which were incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and then cooled to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min to allow the folding of i-motif. The samples were then mixed with loading buffer (Takara) and subjected to 8% PAGE in Tris-AcOH buffer (40 mM, pH 8.0/5.5, containing 1.5 mM Mg(OAc)<sub>2</sub>). Gel electrophoresis was carried out at 140 V for 210 min in the same buffer at 0 °C. The gel was stained in a solution of 0.01% YeaRed (Yeasen) for 30 min and photographed.

#### Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) imaging.

Samples of frameworked i-motif (10 nM) were prepared in PBS buffer (pH 7.5 and 5.5), and heated to 37 °C for 30 min, and then cooled to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min to allow the folding of i-motif. On copper grids (coated with carbon), the solution (5  $\mu$ L) of TDF was placed and excess liquid was removed after 10 min by a filter paper. Then, uranyl formiate aqueous solution (5  $\mu$ L, 1%) was added on the grids and removed after 1min with a filter paper, followed by washing with Milli-Q water 3 times. After drying, the grids were used for TEM measurement, which was carried out on an HT7700 electron microscope (Hitachi) at an acceleration voltage of 100 kV.

#### Atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging.

Samples of frameworked i-motif (10 nM) in PBS buffer (pH 7.5) were first deposited on mica surfaces for 5 mins. AFM images were then taken in tapping mode in fluid mode on a Cypher ES (Asylum Research) using BL-AC40TS tips (Olympus). Typical scanning parameters were: scan rate =1-2 Hz, lines = 512, amplitude set point = 150-300 mV, drive amplitude =180–300 mV, integral gain = 18.

#### UV-melting curves and thermodynamic analysis.

Prior to melting curve acquisition, duplex-forming C-rich oligonucleotides (2.0  $\mu$ M) in PBS buffer (pH 6.2 or 7.4) was annealed from 85 °C to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min, and HPLC-purified TDF bearing i-motif-forming oligonucleotides (0.2  $\mu$ M) was incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and then annealed from 37 °C to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min. UV-melting curves were recorded at 260 nm as the solution was heated from 15 °C to 95 °C. To limit the evaporation of the sample during the experiment, the top cover of quartz cuvette was wrapped with parafilm. Thermodynamic parameters were determined according to methods described in the literatures,<sup>1,2</sup> assuming a two-state equilibrium.

#### Sequence design requirements for hairpin-assisted i-motif structures.

In order to ensure that the investigated hairpins to be reasonably stable, C-G was taken as the hairpin closing base pair because it provides the most thermal stability to DNA hairpins among the four variations of base pairing (i.e., C-G, G-C, T-A and A-T).<sup>3,4</sup> Similarly, oligodeoxythymidylate strands with a length of 3-5 nucleotides were taken as the loop because among hairpins with loops of homogeneous sequence, the ones with loops consisting of homo-thymidine oligonucleotides were found most stable.<sup>5</sup> Moreover, in a given hairpin with ploy(dT) loop, once the number of thymidine was increased beyond 5, the melting temperature of the hairpin correlates negatively with its loop length,<sup>6,7,8</sup> thus only hairpins with triloop, tetraloop and pentaloop were evaluated in this study.

#### pH Denaturation studies by UV spectrometry.

Solutions of C-rich DNA (100  $\mu$ L) were prepared in citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer (20 mM, pH 4.0-8.0) with 100 mM NaCl. The concentration of C-rich DNA in the form of C<sub>m</sub>X<sub>3</sub>C<sub>n</sub> (X = A, T; m, n = 4, 5 or 6) was 5  $\mu$ M, and so as that of those containing hairpin fragments. For the C-rich DNA that can form duplex, a 2.5  $\mu$ M of either of the two complementary single-stranded DNA was used to ensure the end concentration to be 5  $\mu$ M for the C<sub>m</sub>X<sub>3</sub>C<sub>n</sub> oligonucleotide fragment in the solution. The as-prepared solutions were then placed in a thermocycler and heated to 85 °C for 5 minutes, followed by cooling to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min. The prepared samples were then applied to UV-Vis absorption measurements using a 10 mm optical path cuvette at 25 °C. The structural switch of i-motif was monitored at 295 nm. Hypochromicity at 295 nm is a characteristic of i-motif unfolding,<sup>9</sup> which has been widely adopted to monitor i-motif's denaturing process.<sup>10,11,12,13,14</sup>

#### pH Denaturation studies by circular dichroism (CD).

Prior to circular dichroism (CD) measurement, a solution of the C-rich DNA (end concentration of the two-C-tracts fragment was 5.0  $\mu$ M, 0.4 mL) was prepared in citric acid-Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> buffer (20 mM, pH 5.3-7.9) with 100 mM NaCl, and was heated to 85 °C, then cooled to room temperature

with a gradient of  $1.0 \,^{\circ}$ C/min. The annealed samples were then applied to CD spectra acquirement. Each measurement was taken from 230 to 340 nm at a scanning speed of 30 nm/min and a bandwidth of 10 nm. The baseline was corrected by scanning the buffer solution.

#### pH Denaturation studies by fluorescence spectrometry.

Prior to fluorescence spectra measurement, as for duplex-forming C-rich oligonucleotides, two complementary single-stranded DNAs were mixed at a molar ratio of 1:1 in PBS buffers of different pH values to prepare a solution (80  $\mu$ L, with a final concentration of 100 nM for each ssDNA) which was first heated to 85 °C for 5 minutes and then cooled to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min. As for TDF bearing i-motif-forming oligonucleotides, a PBS solution (80  $\mu$ L) of 100 nM for the tetrahedron was first incubated at 37 °C for 30 min and then cooled to 15 °C with a gradient of 1.0 °C/min before fluorescence measurements. Then the emission spectra were recorded from 530 to 750 nm at room temperature with an excitation wavelength of 510 nm.

#### Intracellular pH calibration and fluorescence imaging.

MCF-7 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1% penicillin-streptomycin solution at 37 °C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. After removing the medium, cells were incubated with fresh medium containing TDF-assisted i-motif (100 nM) for 4 h. Then cells were washed three times by washing buffer (WB; 137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 10 mM Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 2 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 250 mM glucose, pH 7.4), and treated with high K<sup>+</sup> HEPES-buffered solution (30 mM NaCl, 120 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.5 mM MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 1 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 5 mM glucose, 20 mM HEPES, and 20 mM NaOAc) of various pH values in the presence of 10 µM nigericin. After 20 min, cells were imaged on a laser-scanning confocal microscope. Cy3-Cy5 FRET signal was measured by excitation at 543 nm and collection of Cy3 (549-606 nm) and FRET (650-730 nm) emission.

#### Data processing.

The midpoint of pH denaturation curve (pH<sub>m</sub>), transition width ( $\Delta$ pH, the pH difference between the two pH values at which the bound species is 10% and 90%, respectively), dynamic range and Hill coefficient (n<sub>H</sub>) were derived from three independent pH-denaturation profiles. Dynamic range was defined as the ratio of the target concentrations (H<sup>+</sup>, in this study) at which occupancy is 90% and 10%, respectively (denoted here as [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>90</sub> and [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>10</sub>).<sup>15</sup> Dynamic range = [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>90</sub> / [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>10</sub> = 81 (<sup>1/nH)</sup>. Considering pH = - log [H<sup>+</sup>] and  $\Delta$ pH = pH<sub>10</sub> – pH<sub>90</sub> = log{[H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>90</sub> / [H<sup>+</sup>]<sub>10</sub>}, dynamic range = 10<sup> $\Delta$ pH</sup>. By plotting the UV absorption at 295 nm (or in the case of fluorescent assays, the fluorescent D/A ratio at 564 nm/665 nm) against pH data points, and fitting with Boltzmann sigmoidal fits, the value for the curve's inflection point "x0" was taken as pH<sub>m</sub>. The width of pH intervals over which signal changes from 10 percent of maximum value to 90 percent of maximum value was taken as  $\Delta$ pH. By plotting the normalized data of the UV absorption at 295 nm (or D/A ratio at 564 nm/665 nm) against H<sup>+</sup> concentration data points, and fitting with a two-state Hill equation, the value for the parameter "n" was taken as n<sub>H</sub>.

#### Relative distance prediction for the endpoints of ployT spacers.

In order to predict the distance between the most outward bases of the ployT spacer, the position of each nucleobase in duplex strand is calculated via Monte Carlo simulation. Generally, in a single

strand, each nucleobase is presented as a hard sphere monomer, which is linked to each other with fixed bond length (5.6Å for single strand and 3.3Å base for double strand). After one initial monomer is deployed, the following ones are added sequentially to link to the previous monomer, with a random polar angle. During this adding process, the position of new added base must not overlap with previous monomers. If overlap happens, the addition is dismissed and a 'fail counter' is increased. To avoid that the simulated DNA strand being trapped in a cavity, we defined a 'fail counter' threshold to stop adding process and re-start from the initial point. After we produced a serial of coordinates for these nucleobases, we record the position of each monomer and determine the length following the equation:

$$\begin{split} L &= \max \left[ \text{sqrt}((x_i \text{-} x_j)^2 \text{+} (y_i \text{-} y_j)^2 \text{+} (z_i \text{-} z_j)^2) \right] \\ &i \text{=} 2, 3, \sim, \text{N}, \, j = 1, 2, \sim, \text{N-1}; \end{split}$$

For the frameworked i-motif structure, we generated coordinates for the polyT strands of length of 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 base with (0,0,0) as initial monomer for strand A and (0.81nm,0,0) as initial monomer for strand B, respectively. For the duplexed i-motif structure, the position of initial monomer in strand B was changed to (1.61nm,0,0). Then, the spatial distance of the endpoints of polyT spacers was calculated following the equation:

 $D=sqrt((x_{An}-x_{Bn})^2+(y_{An}-y_{Bn})^2+(z_{An}-z_{Bn})^2)$ 

#### **Detailed discussions for Figure 3:**

Dimeric i-motif folds into compact structure with a spatial distance of 13.8 Å between the 5' end and 3' end (Figure 3a). For dsDNA, the minimum spatial distance between its endpoints is 16.1 Å. Instead, the spatial distance between the endpoints in TDF is calculated to be ~8.1 Å, which almost reaches the spatial regulation resolution limit of ssDNA (~7 Å). Hence, TDF has the chance to position the endpoints of i-motif to the optimum distance (i.e., 13.8 Å). Besides, TDF can regulate the endpoints distance in a broader range by starting from 8.1 Å, compared with dsDNA (starting from 16.1 Å).

Prior to  $n_H$  value measurement, we carried out Monte Carlo stimulation to predict the location of terminal oligonucleotide of the polyT spacer (in both Stand A and Strand B), and calculate the Dx and Fx (sample number = 1000, Figure 3b). As shown in Figure 3c, in the case of integrating one thymidine oligonucleotide (N = 1T), Fx is significantly smaller than Dx. As the number of thymidine oligonucleotide in the polyT spacer increasing, both Dx and Fx increased accordingly, and the gap between them gradually narrowed (Figure S13). These results indicated that altering the spacer length is a reliable approach for fine-tuning the relative distance between the two endpoints of imotif. Next, we measured the folding cooperativity of duplexed i-motif and frameworked i-motif bearing different ployT spacers. As shown in Figure 3d, as the length of polyT increasing, the  $n_H$  value of duplexed i-motif gradually decreased, reaching a plateau of 4.2. While for frameworked i-motif, its  $n_H$  value increased with the spacer extending from 1T to 3T, and decreased afterwards, giving a maximum  $n_H$  value when the spacer is of 3 thymidine oligonucleotides (Fx = 1.56 nm).

**Table S1.** DNA sequences used to assemble TDF. TDF- $(C_mT_3C_n)_2$  (m, n = 2, 3, 4, 5) in this study was assembled from three component strands (S1, S2 and S3) and two fluorescently modified strands (S4 and S5).



S1		GCCTGGAGATACATGCACATTACGGCTTTCCCTATTAGAAGGTCTCAGGTGC
		GCGTTTCGGTAAGTAGACGGGACCAGTTCGCC
S2		CGCGCACCTGAGACCTTCTAATAGGGTTTGCGACAGTCGTTCAACTAGAAT
		GCCCTTTGGGCTGTTCCGGGTGTGGCTCGTCGG
\$3		GGCCGAGGACTCCGTCTCCGCTGCGG <b>TTT</b> GGCGAACTGGTCCCGTCTACTT
		ACCGTTTCCGACGAGCCACACCCGGAACAGCCC
TDF-(C5T3C4)2	S4	Су3-
with 1T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGGA
		GAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTCCCCCTTT
		CCCC-Cy5
$TDF-(C_5T_3C_4)_2$	S4	Cy3-
with 2T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGG
		AGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTCCCCCTTT
		CCCC-Cy5
TDF-(C5T3C4)2	S4	Cy3-
with 3T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCG
		GAGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCCCCTT
		TCCCC-Cy5
TDF-(C5T3C4)2	S4	Cy3-
with 4T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGC
		GGAGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTTCCCCCCT
		TTCCCC-Cy5
TDF-(C5T3C4)2	S4	Cy3-
with 5T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTTTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAG
		CGGAGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTTTCCCCCC
		TTTCCCC-Cy5
$TDF-(C_5T_3C_4)_2$	S4	Cy3-
with 6T spacer		CCCCCTTTCCCCTTTTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCA
		GCGGAGAC

	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTTTTCCCCC
		CTTTCCCC-Cy5
$TDF-(C_5T_3C_5)_2$	S4	Cy3-
		CCCCCTTTCCCCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGC
		GGAGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCCCCTT
		TCCCCC-Cy5
TDF-(C4T3C4)2	S4	Cy3-
		CCCCTTTCCCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGG
		AGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCCCTTT
		CCCC-Cy5
TDF-(C <sub>3</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	S4	Cy3-
		CCCTTTCCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGGAG
		AC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCCTTTC
		CC-Cy5
TDF-(C <sub>3</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	S4	Cy3-
		CCCTTTCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGGAGA
		С
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCCTTTC
		C-Cy5
$TDF-(C_2T_3C_2)_2$	S4	Cy3-
		CCTTTCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCGGAGAC
	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGCTTTCCTTTCC
		-Cy5
TDF-C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub>	S4	CCCCCTTTCCCCTTTGCCGTAATGTGCATGTATCTCCAGGCTTTCCGCAGCG
(control TDF in		GAGAC
PAGE assay)	S5	GGAGTCCTCGGCCTTTGGGCATTCTAGTTGAACGACTGTCGC

Duplex	Sequence (5'->3')	$\Delta G^0$ (kcal/mol)
length		
3-bp	<u>CGC</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>GCG</u>	-2.7
4-bp	<u>CGGC</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>GCCG</u>	-4.6
5-bp	<u>CGCAG</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CTGCG</u>	-5.5
6-bp	CGCAACTC5T3C4 / C5T3C4TGTTGCG	-6.8
7-bp	<u>GCAGTCG</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGACTGC</u>	-8.4
8-bp	<u>AGCACTCG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGAGTGCT</u>	-9.6
9-bp	<u>GCATCAACG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGTTGATGC</u>	-10.5
10-bp	<u>GCATCAGACG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGTCTGATGC</u>	-12.1
11-bp	<u>GCTGTTATGCG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGCATAACAGC</u>	-13.4
12-bp	<u>GCTAGTCAGACG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGTCTGACTAGC</u>	-14.5

**Table S2.** Sequences of dimeric  $C_5T_3C_4$  i-motifs with duplexes of different lengths. Fragments composing complementary sequences are underlined.

GC% of	Sequence (5'->3')	$\Delta G^0$ (kcal/mol)
8bp-duplex		
0	<u>ATAAAATA</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>ATTTTAT</u>	-4.1
13	<u>ATACTATA</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>TATAGTAT</u>	-4.9
25	$\underline{AATTCATC}TC_5T_3C_4 / C_5T_3C_4T\underline{GATGAATT}$	-6.1
38	<u>ACTTCATC</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>GATGAAGT</u>	-7.1
50	<u>GAACAACG</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGTTGTTC</u>	-8.3
63	<u>AGCACTCG</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGAGTGCT</u>	-9.6
75	<u>GCACGACG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGTCGTGC</u>	-10.8
88	<u>GCACGGCG</u> TC5T3C4 / C5T3C4T <u>CGCCGTGC</u>	-12.2
100	<u>GCGCCGCC</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> / C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>GGCGGCGC</u>	-13.3

**Table S3.** Sequences of dimeric  $C_5T_3C_4$  i-motifs with duplexes of different GC contents. Fragments composing complementary sequences are underlined.

Number of T in	Sequence (5'->3')
PolyT spacer	
1	<u>GCATCAGACG</u> TC5T3C4-Cy5 / Cy3-C5T3C4T <u>CGTCTGATGC</u>
2	$\underline{GCATCAGACG}TTC_5T_3C_4-Cy5 \ / \ Cy3-C_5T_3C_4TT\underline{CGTCTGATGC}$
3	$\underline{GCATCAGACG}TTTC_5T_3C_4-Cy5\ /\ Cy3-C_5T_3C_4TTT\underline{CGTCTGATGC}$
4	$\underline{GCATCAGACG}TTTTC_5T_3C_4-Cy5\ /\ Cy3-C_5T_3C_4TTTT\underline{CGTCTGATGC}$
5	<u>GCATCAGACG</u> TTTTTC5T3C4-Cy5 / Cy3-C5T3C4TTTTT <u>CGTCTGATGC</u>
6	<u>GCATCAGACG</u> TTTTTTC5T3C4-Cy5 / Cy3-
	C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> TTTTTT <u>CGTCTGATGC</u>

**Table S4.** Sequences of duplexed i-motifs with polyT spacers of different lengths. Fragments composing complementary sequences are underlined.

#### Positive cooperativity: intermediate states and preorganization

Positive cooperativity presents a fundamental property of multivalent biological systems in implementing molecular recognition and macromolecular self-assembly.<sup>16</sup> Cooperativity is intrinsically associated with the amount of intermediate states in the binding/folding process: receptor-ligands binding/folding with weak positive cooperativity experiences a significant amount of partially bound/folded states, while strong positive cooperativity shift the receptor population from predominantly free to predominantly bound/folded over only a small change in ligand concentration (Figure S1). <sup>16,17,18</sup>

As for bimolecular i-motif, Leroy<sup>19</sup> speculated that it undergoes a complicated three-step folding pathway: (i) two C-rich oligonucleotides 'nucleate' by forming several hemiprotonated CH<sup>+</sup>-C base pairs, (ii) a third C-tract intercalates into the parallel duplex during the zippering of the remaining bonds, (iii) a fourth C-tract hybridizes with the third one in parallel orientation which leads to the final thermodynamically most stable structure (Figure S1c). In fact, a partially folded three-strands species was recently identified to coexist with i-motif tetraplex in the pH range of 5.5-7.0.<sup>20</sup> The complexity of this multi-step folding pathway increases the occurrence possibility of intermediate species, and any mispairing that fails to contribute to the correct folding should diminish the folding cooperativity. Indeed, weak positive cooperativity was observed for commonly used bimolecular i-motifs in this study.

The folding cooperativity will be enhanced when the folding is pre-organized, in which some structural components assemble early in the folding process, resembling the nucleus in crystallization, which can greatly reduce the number of nonproductive conformations that the assembly can occupy. Watson-Crick duplex folds with an association rate of  $10^{6}$ - $10^{7}$  M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, much faster than bimolecular i-motif (1-100 M<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>).<sup>19,21</sup> In this study, three 'preorganization elements' based on Watson-Crick base-paring, namely, small DNA hairpins, short duplexes and TDF, were integrated in the bimolecular i-motif system in order to enhance and regulate its folding cooperativity (Figure S2 and Figure S3).

a. Bimolecular receptor-ligand cooperative binding/folding:



b. Free-bound/unfolded-folded state switching:

Weak positive cooperativity

(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	(3) (3) (4) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4		22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22
Strong po	ositive coop	erativity		
(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)	(5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5) (5)	(2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (2)	88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88 88	82 88 89 82 89 89 83 89 89 83 89 89
	Inci	reasing ligar	nds	$\longrightarrow$

c. Speculated folding pathway of bimolecular i-motif:



Figure S1. Preorganization can reduce the number of intermediate states in the folding of bimolecular i-motif and thus enhance its folding cooperativity. (a) Representative divalent receptor-ligand models with positive cooperativity ( $K_{d2} < K_{d1}$ ) for bimolecular receptors. (b) Weak positive cooperativity was observed in this study for dimeric DNA i-motif proton-binding, in which the receptors switch from mainly free to mainly bound over a broad change in ligand concentration; Strong positive cooperativity can be achieved by introducing preorganization in receptor-ligand binding, which is characterized by steeper sigmoidal binding curve and narrower transition width. (c) Speculated folding pathway of bimolecular i-motif: (i) two C-rich oligonucleotides 'nucleate' by forming several hemiprotonated CH<sup>+</sup>-C base pairs, (ii) a third C-tract collides with the nucleus, along with the 'zippering' of the nucleus, (iii) a fourth C-tract hybridizes with the third one in parallel orientation, which leads to the final thermodynamically most stable i-motif. Cytosines are drawn as red triangles, thymines as green circles, and proton ions as gray circles.



**Figure S2. Preorganization effect of TDF in the folding of dimeric i-motif.** (a) Left: In the folding pathway of dimeric i-motif, it is possible for strand A to base-pair with multiple strands (strand B, C, D, etc.), which leads to a significant number of intermediates; Right: After anchoring at the vertex, strand A preferentially base-pairs with strand B (the other strand at the same vertex), and no longer with other strands in their surroundings (strand C, D, etc.), which is indicated by PAGE analysis (Figure 1d). (b) Left: intermediates that can lead to head-to-head and head-to-tail conformations coexist in the folding pathway of dimeric i-motif; Right: As predicted from Monte Carlo simulations, the mean distance between endpoints of ployT spacer on TDF (1.04-1.85 nm, from 1T to 5T, Figure 3c) is smaller than the height of folded i-motif (eg., 2.48 nm for i-motif with 8 CH<sup>+</sup>-C base pairs), and thus prevent the complete folding of i-motif structure with head-to-tail conformation on TDF. In this way, TDF is able to diminish the intermediate species leading to head-to-tail conformation, and promote the formation of a single conformation (i.e., head-to-head). Cytosines are drawn as red triangles, thymines as green circles, and proton ions as gray circles.



**Figure S3**. **Proposed folding pathway of dimeric i-motif pre-organized by (a) small hairpin, (b) short duplex, and (c) TDF.** Structures based on Watson-Crick base-pairing formed firstly, which brings the two C-rich strands into close proximity, and thereby leads to the final folded i-motif. The color coding of residues is as follows: red for cytidine, green for thymidine, orange for guanine, and purple for adenine.



Figure S4. Electrophoresis characterization of the assembly of TDF containing i-motifforming strands by 8% native PAGE.



Figure S5. TEM images of TDF-(C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> prepared in PBS buffer of pH 5.5 (a) and 7.5 (b).



Figure S6. Thermal melting profiles and van't Hoff plots of 10 bp duplex-appended  $C_5T_3C_4$ (2.0 µM) and TDF-( $C_5T_3C_4$ )<sub>2</sub> (0.2 µM) in PBS buffer at pH 6.2 and 7.4, respectively. The 10 bp duplex provided the highest n<sub>H</sub> value among the screened duplexes and its sequence is given in Table S2. Note that in order to acquire UV absorption of appropriate intensity, different concentrations were adopted for the two i-motifs because of large difference in their absorbance coefficients. Please note  $C_5T_3C_4$  assisted by either duplex or TDF will not fold into i-motif structure at pH 7.4 under the current condition, and the melting at pH 7.4 is due to solely the dissociation of the appended duplex/TDF.



Figure S7. Migration behavior of i-motif-tethered TDFs in nondenaturing polyacrylamide gel (8%) at pH 8.0 and 5.5, respectively. 500 bp DNA ladder (Takara) was used as marker. At pH 8.0, both of the two types of TDFs showed single bands, indicating their monomolecular status; while at pH 5.5, bands with slower mobility dominated in the lanes of the control TDF with only one C-rich strand (having two C-tracts) extended from the vertex, abbreviated as TDF-C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>. Based on its mobility pattern with respect to the DNA ladder, the structure adopted by TDF-C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub> in the lower band was assumed to be dimeric TDF assemblies. On the contract, the TDF with two C-rich strands (each having two C-tracts) extended from the vertex, namely TDF-(C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, exhibited neglectable dimerization in the concentration range from 0.1  $\mu$ M to 10  $\mu$ M. Cytosines are drawn as red triangles, and thymines as green circles.



Figure S8. Dimeric i-motif formed by  $C_5T_3C_4$  was chosen as the parent structure to be modified in in this work. (a) Dimeric i-motif can exist in two possible topologies: dimeric i-motifs bearing C-tracts of the same length (eg. CCTTTACC, CCTTTTCC and C4TGTC4) can fold into either head-to-head or head-to-tail topology,<sup>22,23</sup> depending on its loop composition, but if one of the C-tracts contains one more C-residue than that of the other (eg. CCCGTTTCC), only the headto-head arrangement is thermodynamically favored.<sup>24</sup> Therefore, in order to eliminate the complication caused by the potential coexistence of different topologies, bimolecular i-motif formed by a oligonucleotide bearing C-tracts of unequal lengths, C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub> (b), was thus chosen as the parent structure to be modified in different ways in this work. The color coding of residues is as follows: red for cytosine, green for thymine, orange for guanine, purple for adenine, and gray for proton ion.

DNA	pH denaturation profile	$pH_{m}$	Transition width	ΔpH	n <sub>H</sub>
C4AATC4	0.00 0.15- 0.05- 0.005- 0.000 4.5-5.0-5.5-6.0-6.5-7.0-7.5	5.92±0.01	100 80 50 999000 10 20 0 -10 -05 00 0,05 10	0.47±0.03	3.9±0.5
C4AAAC4	0.20 0.15- 0.05- 0.005- 0.000 4.5 5:0 5:5 6:0 6:5 7:0 7:5	5.95±0.02	100 80 97 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	0.65±0.02	3.0±0.1
C4TTTC4	0.15 g 0.10 0.05 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH	6.15±0.02	100 80 95 60 20 0 -1.0 -0.5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 5 1.0	0.53±0.02	3.5±0.3
C5AAAC5	0.20 0.15 0.10 0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0	6.15±0.01	100 80 99 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	0.64±0.02	3.2±0.4
C4TTTC5	0.20 0.15- 0.05- 0.005- 0.000 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5	6.19±0.03	100 80 95 60 20 0 -1.0 -0.5 0.0 pH - pH_ 20 0 0 -1.0 -0.5 1.0	0.51±0.01	3.6±0.4
C4TTAC4	0.15 <u>9</u> 0.10 0.05 0.005 0.00 <u>4.5</u> 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5	6.20±0.02	100 80 95 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.86±0.03	2.1±0.2
C5AATC5	0.15 <u>y</u> 0.10 0.05 <u>5.0</u> <u>5.5</u> <u>6.0</u> <u>6.5</u> <u>7.0</u> <u>7.5</u>	6.27±0.01	100 80 36 60 	0.33±0.01	5.7±0.4

**Table S5.** pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs formed by C-rich oligonucleotides in the form of  $C_mX_3C_n$  (X = A or T; m, n = 4, 5 or 6). The data are arranged with increasing pH<sub>m</sub>. The n<sub>H</sub> data are depicted in Figure S9.

C5TTAC5	0.15 <u>\$</u> 0.10 0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5	6.35±0.02	100 80 % 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.46±0.03	4.2±0.2
C5TTTC4	0.15- 0.05- 0.005- 0.005- 0.005- 0.005- 0.005- 0.00- 5.5- 6.0- 0.5- 7.0- 7.5	6.35±0.02	100 80 95 60 0 10 -05 0.0 0.5 1.0	0.81±0.01	2.4±0.1
C₅TTTC5	0.15 <u>5</u> 0.10 <u>5</u> 0.55 <u>6</u> 0 <u>6</u> 5 <u>7</u> 0 <u>7</u> 5	6.42±0.01	100 80 95 60 -10 -05 0.0 0.5 1.0	0.38±0.01	4.8±0.5
C5TTTC6	0.20 0.15 50 5.5 60 pH 6.5 7.0 7.5	6.47±0.01	100 80 90 90 90 00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.53±0.03	3.5±0.2
C6TTTC5	0.20 0.15 <u>9</u> 0.10 0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 pH 6.5 7.0 7.5	6.53±0.01	100 80 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9	0.34±0.02	5.4±0.4



Figure S9. The folding cooperativity of unmodified bimolecular i-motifs. The observed Hill coefficients  $(n_H)$  of commonly used bimolecular i-motif are within 50% of the theoretical maximum. The observed  $n_H$  value for each oligonucleotide was listed in Table S5. The maximum theoretically possible  $n_H$  value  $(n_H^{\text{theor}})$  equals the number of binding sites.

DNA	pH denaturation profile	$pH_m$	Transition width	ΔpH	$n_{\mathrm{H}}$
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.35	6.52±0.01	100-	$0.70{\pm}0.0$	2.7±
<u>AC</u> TTT <u>GT</u>	0.25		80 2 <sup>8</sup> 60	4	0.1
<u>C</u> TCCCC	SQ 0.20		bablotin		
	0.15				
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.30	6.36±0.01	100-	0.65±0.0	2.9±
<u>GAC</u> TTT <u>G</u>	0.25-		80- × 60-	4	0.2
TCGTCCC	8:0.20 H		ujopiqed		
С	0.15		<sup>2</sup> 20-		
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_		
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.30-	6.48±0.03	100-	0.65±0.0	2.9±
CGACTTT	0.25-		80- * 60-	6	0.3
<u>GTCGC</u> TC	si 0.20 -		papio		
CCC	0.15-		20		
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.35	6.36±0.03	100	0.66±0.0	3.0±
<u>GCGAC</u> TT	0.30		80- 8-60-	1	0.1
T <u>GTCGCG</u>	se 0.20-		40-		
TCCCC	0.15		<sup>3</sup> 20-		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_m		
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.25	6.43±0.01	100-	$0.68 \pm 0.0$	3.0±
<u>AC</u> TTTT <u>G</u>	0.20		80 - 8 60 -	4	0.1
<u>TC</u> TCCCC	\$0.15		Purpleted		
	0.10-		0		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_m		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.20 -	$6.50 \pm 0.01$	100-	$0.51 \pm 0.0$	$3.7\pm$
<u>GAC</u> TTTT	0.15		80	3	0.1
<u>GTCG</u> TCC	Abs		40-		
CC	0.10 ·		0		
	0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_		
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.30	$6.54 \pm 0.02$	100	$0.63 \pm 0.0$	$3.4\pm$
<u>CGAC</u> TTT	0.25		80 - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	0.1
T <u>GTCGC</u> T	si 0.20		Pappopur		
CCCC	0.15				
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.35-	6.47±0.01	100	$0.67 \pm 0.0$	3.3±
<u>GCGAC</u> TT	0.30		2° 60-	5	0.1
TT <u>GTCGC</u>	¥0.25 4 0.20		-04 du la		
<u>G</u> TCCCC	0.15		- 20		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		

**Table S6.** pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins of different sizes were tested). Fragments composing hairpin stems are underlined.

CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.20	6.63±0.01	100-	$0.61 \pm 0.0$	$3.0\pm$
<u>AC</u> TTTTT	0.15		80 - 8 60 -	2	0.1
<u>GTC</u> TCCC	Abs.		40		
С	0.10-		<sup>3</sup> 20-		
	0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.30	6.49±0.02	100-	0.55±0.0	3.6±
<u>GAC</u> TTTT	0.25		80- * 60-	1	0.1
T <u>GTCG</u> TC	80.20- E		40		
CCC	0.15-		20-		
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_m		
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.25	6.44±0.02	100-	$0.57{\pm}0.0$	3.3±
<u>CGAC</u> TTT	0.20 -		80 - 8 60 -	4	0.2
TT <u>GTCGC</u>	¥0.15-		40 -		
TCCCC	0.10-		20- 0-		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.25	6.38±0.02	100-	0.58±0.0	3.3±
<u>GCGAC</u> TT	0.20 -		80- 3° 60-	4	0.2
TTT <u>GTCG</u>	84 V 0.15		uloided		
<u>CG</u> TCCCC			0		
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_m		



Figure S10. Effects of the integration of small DNA hairpins on the apparent folding cooperativity (in terms of Hill coefficient,  $n_{\rm H}$ ) of the dimeric i-motif formed by  $C_5T_3C_4$ . (a) Small hairpins with stems and loops of different lengths were incorporated in the loop of  $C_5T_3C_4$ , and the  $n_H$  values for the resulting DNA structures were shown in the heatmap (data from Table S6). As for the influence of the hairpin's loop length and stem length on dimeric i-motif's folding cooperativity, tetraloop and pentaloop hairpins generally increased the n<sub>H</sub> value to a larger extent than triloop hairpins, and hairpins whose stem consisting of 4 base pairs exhibited larger n<sub>H</sub> value than those with either shorter (3-bp) or longer (5-bp and 6-bp) stem, probably because though the presence of longer stem gives higher thermodynamic stability, it increases the likehood of self-dimer formation. (b) The influence of hairpin's loop and stem base compositions on the n<sub>H</sub> value (data from Table S7 and S8): hairpin stems of high G-C content generally contributed to large n<sub>H</sub> values, while loops recently screened to be thermodynamically most stable in the whole tetraloop hairpin library (i.e., GGCA, GCCA, GGAA and GCAA)<sup>3</sup> gave somewhat smaller  $n_H$  values than that of those with ploy(dT) loops. Typical pH denaturation curves are included for the parent i-motif (n<sub>H</sub> =2.4), a 4-bp stem/4bp loop-hairpin-modified dimeric i-motif ( $n_{\rm H}$  =3.7), and the control i-motif with 14-bp ploy T loops  $(n_{\rm H} = 2.3)$ . Please note that the maximum theoretically possible  $n_{\rm H}$  value for this group of i-motif structures is 9. The color coding of residues in the structure scheme is as follows: red for cytosine, green for thymine, orange for guanine, purple for adenine, and gray for proton ion. DR denotes dynamic range.

DNA	pH denaturation profile	pHm	Transition width	ΔpH	$n_{\rm H}$
CCCCCT <u>G</u>	0.20 -	6.43±0.02	100-	$0.57{\pm}0.0$	$3.3\pm$
<u>CGC</u> TTTT			80- 3 <sup>e</sup> 60-	4	0.2
<u>GCGC</u> TCC	si0.15 -		40 · · · ·		
CC (100%)	0.10-		20		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.30	6.50±0.01	100-	0.58±0.0	$3.5\pm$
<u>GAC</u> TTTT	0.25		80- 3 <sup>e</sup> 60-	2	0.1
<u>GTCG</u> TCC	890.20-		40-		
CC (75%)	0.15		0		
	0.10 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_n		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.20-	6.34±0.02	100	$0.62{\pm}0.0$	3.1±
<u>AAC</u> TTTT			80- * 60-	3	0.2
<u>GTTG</u> TCC	vi 0.15 - K		40-		
CC (50%)	0.10-		20		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH	)	-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.20-	6.35±0.02	100	$0.76{\pm}0.0$	$2.5\pm$
<u>AAT</u> TTTT	0.15-		3, 60-	4	0.1
<u>ATTG</u> TCC	See .		aprojun 20		
CC (25%)	- Andrew		0		
	0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>T</u>		6.18±0.02	100-	$0.81{\pm}0.0$	$2.4\pm$
<u>AAT</u> TTTT	020-		80-	4	0.2
<u>ATTA</u> TCC	0.15 g		* 60- po 40-		
CC (0%)	0.10-		<sup>9</sup> <sub>5</sub> <sub>20</sub> .		
	0.05 50 55 60 65 70 75		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50		
	рН		pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCTT	0.20	$5.52 \pm 0.01$	100-	$0.83{\pm}0.0$	$2.3\pm$
TTTTTTT	0.15		2°,60.	3	0.1
TTTTTCC	Abs.		apigun		
CC	0.10		20		
(control)	0.05 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_m		

**Table S7.** pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins with stems of different GCcontents were tested). Fragments composing hairpin stems are underlined.

**Table S8.** pH denaturation data of hairpin-assisted i-motifs (hairpins with loops of different base compositions were tested). Adopted were loops recently screened to be thermodynamically most stable in the whole tetraloop hairpin library (i.e., GGCA, GCCA, GGAA and GCAA).<sup>3</sup> Fragments composing hairpin stems are underlined.

DNA	pH denaturation profile	$pH_m$	Transition widths	ΔpH	$n_{\rm H}$
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.25	6.18±0.02	100-	$0.61 \pm 0.0$	3.1±
<u>GAC</u> GGC	0.20 -		80- ¥ 60-	5	0.3
A <u>GTCG</u> TC	g 0.15 -		40		
CCC	0.10-		0		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.25	6.24±0.03	100-	$0.68 \pm 0.0$	2.8±
<u>GAC</u> GCC	0.20 -		80-	6	0.2
A <u>GTCG</u> TC	₩ 0.15 -		Unfolded		
CCC	0.10		20		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.25	$6.08 \pm 0.04$	100-	$0.74{\pm}0.1$	2.6±
<u>GAC</u> GGA	0.20		80 8 60		0.4
A <u>GTCG</u> TC	<sup>g</sup> 0.15 -		40		
CCC	0.10		0		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
CCCCCT <u>C</u>	0.25	6.25±0.04	100-	$0.74{\pm}0.0$	2.6±
<u>GAC</u> GCA	0.20		80- \$ 60-	1	0.1
A <u>GTCG</u> TC	<sup>si</sup> ∉ 0.15 -		pepiou		
CCC	0.10-		- 20 0		
	5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_		



**Figure S11.** The observed Hill coefficient ( $n_H$ ) of hairpin-assisted i-motifs showed dependence on hairpin stem's GC content (top) and loop's base composition (bottom). The full sequences of hairpins integrated in the two loops of dimeric  $C_5T_3C_4$  i-motif are illustrated. It should be noted that the maximum theoretically possible  $n_H$  value for this group of i-motifs is 9.

DNA	$\Delta G^0$ of	pH denaturation	$pH_{m}$	Transition width	ΔpH	Dynamic	$n_{\rm H}$
	duplex	profile				range	
CGCTC5T3C4	-2.7	0.20	6.42	100-	0.60	3.98	3.1
/	(3-bp)	0.15-	$\pm 0.01$	80- % g 60-	$\pm 0.06$	±1.15	±0.2
C5T3C4T <u>GCG</u>		<sup>42</sup> 0.10-		00040 20			
		5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5		0 0,25 0,00 0,25 0,50			
		рН		pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
CGGCTC5T3	-4.6	0.20	6.53	100-	0.54	3.47	3.6
	(4-bp)		$\pm 0.02$	% 60. <b></b>	$\pm 0.05$	±1.12	±0.4
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>GCC</u>		0.10		10 Jun 20			
<u>G</u>		0.05 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH_			
CGCAGTC	-5.5	0.20	6 64		0.46	2.88	41
<u></u>	(5-bn)		$\pm 0.02$	80-	$\pm 0.07$	±1.17	±0.5
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> TCTG	(C SP)	Abs.	-0.02	6 'peppoy	_0107		_0.0
CG		0.10-		5 20- 0			
		0.05 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH_			
CGCAACTC	-6.8	0.20	6.71	100	0.41	2.57	4.5
5T3C4 /	(6-bp)	0.15-	$\pm 0.01$	80 \$ <sup>2</sup> 60	$\pm 0.04$	$\pm 1.10$	±0.4
C5T3C4T <u>GTT</u>		99 0.10		Unfolded			
<u>GCG</u>		0.05 60 65 70 75 80					
		0.0 0.3 r.5 r.5 0.8		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
<u>GCAGTCG</u> T	-8.4	0.20	6.84	100-	0.36	2.29	5.4
C5T3C4 /	(7-bp)	0.15	$\pm 0.02$	80- 3 <sup>6</sup> 60-	$\pm 0.04$	±1.10	±0.4
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGA</u>		v₹ 0.10-		\$00000 20.			
CTGC		0.05 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5			
AGCACTCG	-9.6		6.9/	statoletumu.	0.32	2.11	5.0
$TC_5T_3C_4$ /	-9.0 (8-hn)	0.20	+0.01	80-	+0.02	+1.05	+0.7
C5T3C4TCGA	(0 0 )	0.15- Š	-0.01	poplo 40-	-0.02	-1.05	-0.7
GTGCT		0.10		5 20.			
		0.05 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH_			
GCATCAAC	-10.5		7.04	100-	0.30	1.99	6.3
<u>G</u> TC5T3C4 /	(9-bp)	0.20	±0.02	80 8 60	$\pm 0.02$	±1.04	±0.6
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGT</u>		0.10 0.10		Ontrolded			
TGATGC		0.05					
		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 6.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH_			
<u>GCATCAGA</u>	-12.1	0.20-	7.09	100-	0.27	1.86	7.1
<u>CG</u> TC5T3C4 /	(10-bp)	0.15	$\pm 0.02$	80 9 <sup>6</sup> 60	$\pm 0.03$	±1.07	±0.6
C5T3C4T <u>CGT</u>		운 0.10-		piojun 20			
CTGATGC		0.05 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0		0 -0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
		μη		per-per <sub>m</sub>			

**Table S9.** pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by short duplexes of varying length.Fragments composing complementary sequences are underlined.

<u>GCTGTTAT</u>	-13.4	0.25	7.06±	100-	0.28	1.91	6.9
<u>GCG</u> TC5T3C4	(11-bp)	0.20	0.01	% 60 -	±0.03	$\pm 1.07$	±0.6
/		9 0.15 -		00 40 20			
C5T3C4T <u>CGC</u>		0.10		0 -0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH			
ATAACAGC		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH					
<u>GCTAGTCA</u>	-14.5	0.25	7.05±	100	0.29	1.95	6.8
<u>GACG</u> TC5T3	(12-bp)	0.20	0.01	80- 3 <sup>8</sup> 60	$\pm 0.04$	$\pm 1.09$	±0.5
C4 /		<sup>₽</sup> 0.15		Putrologed			
C5T3C4T <u>CGT</u>		0.10		0			
CTGACTAG		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_			
<u>C</u>							
<u>TTTTTTTTT</u>	Control	0.20	6.21	100-	0.70	5.01	2.7
<u>T</u> TC5T3C4 /		0.15	$\pm 0.02$	80-	±0.09	±1.23	±0.4
$C_5T_3C_4T\underline{TTT}$		0.10		ntoided,			
<u>TTTTTTT</u>		0.05		0			
		5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH_			



Figure S12. CD spectra comparison for i-motifs before and after integration of short duplex. CD spectra of (a) parent i-motif formed by  $C_5T_3C_4$  (5  $\mu$ M) and (b) its 10-bp duplex assisted variation, <u>GCATCAGACG</u>TC<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>/C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub>T<u>CGTCTGATGC</u>, (2.5  $\mu$ M for each oligonucleotide) were recorded at varying pH. In acidic buffer, a positive band at 287 nm and a negative band at 264 nm were observed, indicating the formation of i-motif structure.<sup>25,26</sup> When the pH was increased, the positive band was shifted to 277 nm. (c) The molar ellipticity at 287 nm was normalized and plotted against pH value. The n<sub>H</sub> for the C<sub>5</sub>T<sub>3</sub>C<sub>4</sub> i-motif before and after the duplex integration was calculated to be 2.6 and 7.4, respectively, which was in accordance with the UV study.

DNA	$\Delta G^0  of$	pH denaturation	$pH_{m}$	Transition width	ΔpH	Dynamic	n <sub>H</sub>
	duplex	profile				range	
ATAAAAT	-4.1	0.20	6.52	100	0.51	3.24	3.6
<u>A</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> /	(0%GC)	0.15-	±0.01	50 7 m	±0.05	±1.12	±0.3
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>TA</u>		<sup>2</sup> 90.10-		utoded			
TTTTAT		0.05		<sup>3</sup> 20 0			
		5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_			
ATACTAT	-4.9	0.20	6.64	100	0.49	3.08	3.9
$\underline{A}TC_5T_3C_4$ /	(13%G	0.15-	±0.02	80-	±0.04	±1.09	±0.3
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>TA</u>	C)	9 0 10-		upolded.			
TAGTAT				5 20.			
		0.05 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH			
AATTCAT	-6.1		6.71	100	0.45	2.84	4.2
$\underline{C}TC_5T_3C_4$ /	(25%G	0.20	±0.01	% 60 90	±0.05	±1.12	±0.5
$C_5T_3C_4TG$	C)	0.15 - S		10 40 - 20 -			
ATGAATT		0.10		0.50 0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
		0.05 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		pri - pri,			
ACTTCAT	-7.1		6.84	100	0.42	2.65	4.5
$\underline{C}TC_5T_3C_4$ /	(38%G	0.20	$\pm 0.01$	8 60- 9	±0.01	±1.01	±0.2
$\overline{C}_{5}T_{3}C_{4}T\underline{G}$	C)	0.15- SQ		P0 40- 20-			
ATGAAGT		0.10		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0			
		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		hard hard			
GAACAA	-8.3		6.87	100-	0.36	2.30	5.3
CGTC5T3C	(50%G	0.20	±0.02	% 60	±0.03	±1.07	±0.5
4 /	C)	Provide a second		10 20 -			
$C_5T_3C_4T\underline{C}$		0.05		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
<u>GTTGTTC</u>		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH					
AGCACTC	-9.6	0.20	6.94	100	0.32	2.11	5.9
<u>G</u> TC5T3C4 /	(63%G	0.20	$\pm 0.01$	-00 %	±0.02	±1.05	$\pm 0.7$
$C_5T_3C_4T\underline{C}$	C)	sige 0 10		101 20.			
GAGTGCT				-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.					
GCACGA	-10.8	0.20	7.02	100- 60-	0.30	2.01	6.3
CGTC5T3C	(75%G	0.15	$\pm 0.02$	% 60.	±0.03	$\pm 1.07$	$\pm 0.7$
4 /	C)	0.10		20-			
$C_5T_3C_4T\underline{C}$		0.05		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
<u>GTCGTGC</u>		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH					
GCACGG	-12.2	0.20	7.06	100	0.27	1.87	7.0
CGTC5T3C	(88%G	015	$\pm 0.01$	\$ 60.	$\pm 0.02$	±1.04	±0.5
4 /	C)	97 97 0 10		10 ID 20-			
C5T3C4T <u>C</u>		0.05		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50			
<u>GCCGTGC</u>		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH					

**Table S10.** pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by 8-bp duplex of different GC contents.

<u>GCGCCGC</u>	-13.3	0.25	6.99	100	0.30	2.01	6.4
<u>C</u> TC <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> /	(100%G	0.20	$\pm 0.02$	80	$\pm 0.01$	$\pm 1.02$	±0.4
$C_5T_3C_4T\underline{G}$	C)	¥ <sup>0.15</sup>		40			
<u>GCGGCG</u>		0.10					
<u>C</u>		6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH_			

DNA	pH denaturation profile <sup>[a]</sup>	pHm	Transition width	ΔрН	nH
<u>GCATCAGACG</u>	0.8	7.01±0.01	100	0.27±0.03	7.1±0.
TC5T3C4 /	0.6		80 * 60 T		6
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> T <u>CGTCT</u>			90 40 - 20 -		
GATGC			-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50		
CCATCACACC	pH	7.07+0.02	pH - pH <sub>n</sub>	0.21+0.02	6.1+0
$\frac{\text{OCATCAOACO}}{\text{TTC}_{4}\text{TC}_{4}}$	0.8	7.07±0.02	80	$0.31\pm0.03$	0.1±0.
C <sub>5</sub> T <sub>3</sub> C <sub>4</sub> TTCGTC	0.6- < 0.6- </td <td></td> <td>% 60 - 1990 - 1900 40 -</td> <td></td> <td>5</td>		% 60 - 1990 - 1900 40 -		5
<u>TGATGC</u>	0.2		5 <sub>20</sub>		
	0.0 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		
GCATCAGACG	1.0	7.10±0.01	100 -	0.42±0.05	4.6±0.
TTTC5T3C4 /	0.8-		80 - <b>*</b> <b>*</b> 60 - <b>*</b>		5
C5T3C4TTT <u>CGT</u>	₫ <sub>04</sub>		popologi 20		
CTGATGC					
	pH		чо.30 чо.23 0.00 0.23 0.3 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>		1.0.0
GCATCAGACG	0.9	7.09±0.02	100-	0.48±0.07	4.3±0.
	≤0.6-		% 60 -		4
CTGATGC	0.3		20 · · ·		
	0.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.5 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>	d	
GCATCAGACG	1.5	7.09±0.01	100	0.46±0.04	4.2±0.
TTTTTC5T3C4 /	12-		80- * 60-		3
C5T3C4TTTTT <u>CG</u>	₫ 0.6		Dop 40		
TCTGATGC					
	0.0 0.3 7.0 7.3 8.0 pH	7.07:0.00	pH - pH <sub>m</sub>	0.45+0.00	12:0
GCATCAGACG	12	/.0/±0.02	100 -	0.45±0.06	4.2±0.
$\begin{array}{c} 111111051304 \\ C_{5}T_{2}C_{4}TTTTTTC \end{array}$	0.9 V		960- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 10- 1		3
GTCTGATGC	0.3		5		
	0.0 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>	2	
			I	l	

**Table S11.** pH denaturation data of dimeric i-motifs assisted by 10-bp duplex with ployT spacer of different lengths. Fragments composing complementary sequences are underlined.



**Figure S13.** The mean distance between endpoints of ployT spacer (a) and FWHM (full width at half maxima, b) derived from Monte Carlo simulation, as a function of the number of T in the spacer.

DNA	pH denaturation profiles	$pH_{m}$	Transition widths	ΔpH	n <sub>H</sub>
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 1T spacer	10 405 00 60 60 65 70 75 80	7.09±0.02	100 80 96 90 90 40 20 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50 0,50	0.43±0.05	4.5±0.5
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 2T spacer	20 15 5 00 60 65 70 75 80	7.10±0.03	100 80 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	0.39±0.05	5.1±0.3
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 3T spacer	20 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.6 6.5 7.0 PH 7.5 8.0 8.5	7.11±0.01	100 80 50 90 40 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	0.29±0.02	6.8±0.4
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 4T spacer	20 15 10 05 00 60 65 70 75 80	7.07±0.04	100 80 80 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 9	0.31±0.03	6.1±0.5
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 5T spacer	20 15 10 05 00 60 65 70 PH 75 80	7.04±0.03	100 8 60 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40	0.41±0.06	4.5±0.6
TDF- (C5T3C4)2 with 6T spacer	20 15 10 05 00 60 65 70 75 80	7.01±0.03	100 8 60 40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.55±0.06	3.6±0.5

**Table S12.** pH denaturation data of TDF-assisted  $C_5T_3C_4$  i-motif with polyT spacer of different lengths.

DNA	pH denaturation profiles	$pH_m$	Transition widths	ΔpH	n <sub>H</sub>	$n_{\rm H}{}^{theor[a]}$
TDF-	3.5	7.27±	100	0.21±0.	9.2±	10
(C5T3C5)2	2.5	0.01	80 - <sup>36</sup> - 60 -	02	0.8	
	Q 1.5		40 -			
	0.0 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 8.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
TDF-	3.0	$7.03\pm$	100-	0.27±0.	7.1±	8
$(C_4T_3C_4)_2$	2.0-	0.01	80 - <sup>8</sup> 60 -	04	0.9	
	<u>1.5</u>		40-			
	0.5					
	0.0		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
TDF-	2.0	$6.66 \pm$	100 -	0.38±0.	5.0±	6
(C3T3C3)2	1.5-	0.01	80 - % 5 60 -	05	0.7	
			spiojun			
	0.5		20 0			
	0.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
TDF-	1.5-	6.22±	100	0.44±0.	4.4±	5
$(C_3T_3C_2)_2$	1.0-	0.01	80 - % 60 -	02	0.2	
			40- 10- 10-			
	0.0 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 7.5 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			
TDF-		$5.98 \pm$	120	0.52±0.	3.7±	4
$(C_2T_3C_2)_2$	1.0	0.02	% <sup>80</sup> 7 60	07	0.5	
	₫ <sub>0.5-</sub>		00 Lunfolde			
			20			
	0.0 + 5.0 5.5 6.0 6.5 7.0 pH		-0.50 -0.25 0.00 0.25 0.50 pH - pH <sub>m</sub>			

Table S13. pH denaturation data of TDF-assisted  $C_mX_3C_n$  (m, n = 2, 3, 4, 5) i-motifs.

Note: [a]  $n_H^{\text{theor}}$  denotes the maximum theoretically possible Hill coefficient.

#### **References:**

- J. L. Mergny, L. Lacroix, Analysis of thermal melting curves. *Oligonucleotides* 2003, *13*, 515–537.
- (2) L. A. Marky, K. J. Breslauer, Calculating thermodynamic data for transitions of any molecularity from equilibrium melting curves. *Biopolymers* 1987, 26, 1601–1620.
- (3) J. Wang, P. Dong, W. Wu, X. Pan, X. Liang. High-throughput thermal stability assessment of DNA hairpins based on high resolution melting. J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn. 2018, 36, 1–13.
- (4) E. M. Moody, P. C. Bevilacqua. Thermodynamic coupling of the loop and stem in unusually stable DNA hairpins closed by CG base pairs. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2032–2033.
- (5) P. M. Vallone, T. M. Paner, J. Hilario, M. J. Lane, B. D. Faldasz, A. S. Benight. Melting studies of short DNA hairpins: Influence of loop sequence and adjoining base pair identity on hairpin thermodynamic stability. *Biopolymers* 1999, 50, 425–442.
- (6) Y. Shen, S. V. Kuznetsov, A. Ansari. Loop dependence of the dynamics of DNA hairpins. J. Phys. Chem. B 2001, 105, 12202–12211.
- (7) S. V. Kuznetsov, C. C. Ren, S. A. Woodson, A. Ansari. Loop dependence of the stability and dynamics of nucleic acid hairpins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2008, *36*, 1098–1112.
- (8) S. V. Kuznetsov, Y. Shen, A. S. Benight, A. Ansari. A semiflexible polymer model applied to loop formation in DNA hairpins. *Biophys. J.* 2001, *81*, 2864–2875.
- (9) J. L. Mergny, L. Lacroix, C. Hélène, X. Han, J. L. Leroy, Intramolecular folding of pyrimidine oligodeoxynucleotides into an I-DNA motif. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8887–8898.
- (10) T. Li, M. Famulok, I-motif-programmed functionalization of DNA nanocircles. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1593–1599.
- A. M. Fleming, Y. Ding, R. A. Rogers, J. Zhu, J. Zhu, A. D. Burton, C. B. Carlisle, C. J. Burrows, 4n-1 Is a "sweet spot" in DNA i-motif folding of 2'-deoxycytidine homopolymers. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 4682–4689.
- (12) P. Wright, M. A. S. Abdelhamid, M. O. Ehiabor, C. Grigg, K. Irving, N. M. Smith, Epigenetic modification of cytosines fine tunes the stability of i-motif DNA. 2020, 48, 55–62.
- (13) S. P. Gurung, C. Schwarz, J. P. Hall, C. J. Cardin, J. A. Brazier, The importance of loop length on the stability of i-motif structures. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 5630–5632.
- (14) J. L. Mergny, L. Lacroix, Kinetics and thermodynamics of i-DNA formation: phosphodiester versus modified oligodeoxynucleotides. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1998, 26, 4797–4803.
- (15) A. J. Simon, A. Vallée-Bélisle, F. Ricci, H. M. Watkins, K. W. Plaxco, Using the populationshift mechanism to rationally introduce "Hill-type" cooperativity into a normally noncooperative receptor, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2014, 53, 9471-9475.
- (16) C. A. Hunter, H. L. Anderson. What is cooperativity? *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 7488–7499.
- (17) M. A. Mullen, S. M. Assmann, P. C. Bevilacqua. Toward a digital gene response: RNA Gquadruplexes with fewer quartets fold with higher cooperativity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 812–815.
- (18) C. K. Kwok, M. E. Sherlock, P. C. Bevilacqua. Decrease in RNA folding cooperativity by deliberate population of intermediates in RNA G-quadruplexes, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2013, 52, 683-686.
- (19) M. Canalia, J. L. Leroy. Structure, internal motions and association-dissociation kinetics of the i-motif dimer of d(5mCCTCACTCC). *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2005, *33*, 5471–5481.

- (20) G. Devi, L. He, B. Xu, T. Li, F. Shao, In-stem thiazole orange reveals the same triplex intermediate for pH and thermal unfolding of i-motifs. *Chem. Commun.* **2016**, *52*, 7261–7264.
- (21) T. E. Ouldridge, P. Šulc, F. Romano, J. P. K. Doye, A. A. Louis. DNA hybridization kinetics: Zippering, internal displacement and sequence dependence. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2013, 41, 8886– 8895.
- (22) S. Nonin, A. T. Phan, J. L. Leroy. Solution structure and base pair opening kinetics of the i-motif dimer of d(5mCCTTTACC): A noncanonical structure with possible roles in chromosome stability. *Structure* 1997, *5*, 1231–1247.
- (23) P. Catasti, X. Chen, L. L. Deaven, R. K. Moyzis, E. M. Bradbury, G. Gupta. Cystosine-rich strands of the insulin minisatellite adopt hairpins with intercalated cytosine+·cytosine pairs. J. Mol. Biol. 1997, 272, 369–382.
- (24) J. Gallego, S. H. Chou, B. R. Reid. Centromeric pyrimidine strands fold into an intercalated motif by forming a double hairpin with a novel T:G:G:T tetrad: Solution structure of the d(TCCCGTTTCCA) dimer. J. Mol. Biol. 1997, 273, 840–856.
- (25) E. P. Wright, J. L. Huppert, Z. A. E. Waller, Identification of multiple genomic DNA sequences which form i-motif structures at neutral pH. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2017**, *45*, 2951–2959.
- (26) J. Kypr, I. Kejnovská, D. Renčiuk, M. Vorlíčková, Circular dichroism and conformational polymorphism of DNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009, 37, 1713–1725.