# Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)

# Metal ions responsive nanochannel by H<sub>2</sub>S absorbed in Metal-Organic Framework-based micropipette

Shiyi Tan,<sup>ab</sup> Chenglong Liang,<sup>b</sup> Yue Zhu,<sup>b</sup> Nannan Liu,<sup>\*abc</sup> Jinzheng Zhang,<sup>ab</sup> Tingyan Ye,<sup>ab</sup> Kangyan Yi,<sup>ab</sup> Xingxing Tang <sup>d</sup> and Qian Shi<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Carbon Materials of Zhejiang Province, College of Chemistry & Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325027, P. R. China.

<sup>b</sup> College of Chemistry and Materials Engineering, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325000, P. R. China.

<sup>c</sup> Institute of New Materials & Industry Technology, Wenzhou University, Wenzhou 325000, P. R. China.

<sup>d</sup> College of optoelectronic manufacturing, Zhejiang Industry & Trade Vocational College, Wenzhou, 325003, China

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed: liunannan@wzu.edu.cn, shiq@wzu.edu.cn

**Table of Content** 

- 1. Materials and reagents.
- 2. Parameters of preparation for glass micropipettes.
- 3. SEM and Microscopic photograph.
- 4. Current measurement after absorbing H<sub>2</sub>S.
- 5. Current measurement of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP respond to Zn<sup>2+</sup>.
- 6. Energy Dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDS) pattern.
- 7. XPS patterns.
- 8. Current measurement of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP respond to Hg<sup>2+</sup>.
- 9. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern.
- 10. N<sub>2</sub> absorption isotherms of MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In).
- 11. Current measuring device.
- 12. SEM of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) powder combine with Hg<sup>2+</sup>.
- 13. Comparison with other sensor.

#### 1. Materials and reagents

All reagents are purchased and used directly without further purification. p-Phthalic acid (PTA 99%), N,N-Dimethylformamide (DMF AR 99.5%), Isopropyl Alcohol (99.8%), Ethanol absolute (99.8%), Copper nitrate trihydrate (99%), Potassium chloride (99.5%), Mercury solution (analytical standard), Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (99%), Lead nitrate (99%) was purchased from Shanghai Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. Indium nitrate hydrate (InNO<sub>3</sub>·xH<sub>2</sub>O 99.9%) was purchased from Sarne Chemistry Technology (Shanghai) Co., Ltd. Acetonitrile (99.8%) was purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. 1,3,5-Benzenetricarboxylic acid (99%), 3-(Triethoxysilyl) propylsuccinic anhydride (TESP-SA 95%) was purchased from J&K Chemical Ltd.

Ag/AgCl electrodes (0.50\*37 mm) are purchased from Shanghai YueCi Electronic Technology Co., Ltd. Borosilicate glass (10 cm length, outer diameter: 1.2 mm, inner diameter: 0.60 mm) are purchased from Sutter Instrument Co., U.S.A.

HEAT	PULL	VEL	TIME
645	5	5	150
645	5	5	150
645	5	5	150
645	40	5	150
645	60	5	150

2. Parameters of preparation for glass micropipettes.

**Table 1.** Parameters of preparation for glass micropipettes. The borosilicate glass was fixed on the

 P-97 micropipette puller, and the glass micropipettes with fixed radius can be prepared by

 adjusting the parameters.

3. SEM and Microscopic photograph.



Fig. S1 SEM image of blank glass micropipette with diameter is  $20 \pm 1 \ \mu m$ .



Fig. S2 After the inner surface modification of the glass micropipette, the carboxyl groups exist on the inner surface of the glass micropipette, which promotes the *in-suit* growth of MOFs in the micropipette.



Fig. S3 Microscopic photographs of HKUST-1-MP (a) and MIL-68(In)-MP (b).

#### 4. Current measurement after absorbing H<sub>2</sub>S.

HKUST-1-MP and MIL-68(In)-MP were used to absorb  $H_2S$  for 10 hours, and the I-V curves were tested respectively.



**Fig. S4** (a) I-V curves in 0.1 M KCl of HKUST-1-MP and H<sub>2</sub>S@HKUST-1-MP. (b) I-V curves in 0.1 M KCl of MIL-68(In)-MP and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP. The shaded area is the margin of error.

5. Current measurement of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP respond to Zn<sup>2+</sup>.



Fig. S5 I-V curves in 0.1M KCl of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP and after treated with 0.5  $\mu$ M Zn<sup>2+</sup> solution. The current returns to initial current after 5 % HCl treatment. The shaded area is the margin of error.

6. Energy Dispersive X-Ray spectroscopy (EDS) pattern.



Fig. S6 Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy of  $H_2S@MIL-68(In)-MP$  after treating with a mixture of mercury, lead and zinc ions solution.

Elem	Wt%	At%
C	2.30	4.68
Ν	8.52	14.88
О	34.04	52.04
Si	22.03	19.18
S	5.47	4.17
In	17.44	3.71
Hg	5.07	0.62
Pb	4.74	0.56
Zn	0.41	0.15
Total	100.00	100.00

Table 2. The contents and percentages of various elements of EDS shown.

#### 7. XPS patterns.

The S 2p spectra analyses of the H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) and after treatment with mixed ions solution were shown. The peaks located at 162.98 and 161.88 eV after treatment. The peak of 162.98 eV was attributed to  $S_2^{2-}$ . The peak of 161.88 eV was attributed to the S<sup>2-</sup> because of H<sub>2</sub>S and the formation of HgS, PbS and ZnS.<sup>1</sup> After soaking in the mixed ions solution, the peak of  $S_2^{2-}$  shifted to the direction of decreasing the binding energy. This indicates that more sulfur and metal ions precipitate, resulting in sulfur gaining electrons and increasing electron density. Compared to H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In), the binding energy of the peak decreases.



Fig. S7 The S 2p XPS spectra of the H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) and after treating with  $5 \times 10^4$  nM mixed ions solution.

### 8. Current measurement of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP respond to Hg<sup>2+</sup>.

The prepared MIL-68(In)-MP was measured with 0.1M KCl, and then used to absorb H<sub>2</sub>S for 10 hours. After the absorption of H<sub>2</sub>S (H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP), the tip of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP was placed in 0.5  $\mu$ M mercury solution for 30 minutes. After taking it out, the current of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP combining with mercury ions was detected. Fig. S8a shows that the H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP sensitively responds to mercury ions and the rate of current decrease was 87%, while the MIL-68(In)-MP was only 15% (Fig. S8b). Below this threshold, the signal may stem from some non-specific interactions.



Fig. S8 I-V curves of MIL-68(In)-MP and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP after treated with 0.5  $\mu$ M Hg<sup>2+</sup> solution.

1 mM Na<sub>2</sub>S acts as a recoverable agent for the nanochannel. Stability and reversible switching properties were further tested based on this property. However, due to the alkaline nature of the Na<sub>2</sub>S solution, the stability of the MIL-68(In) was challenged during this process. In the first time, the H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP was used to detect 0.5 nM Hg<sup>2+</sup> which the rate of current decrease was 25.88%. The current increased 16.81% compared with the initial current when the nanochannel was opened by Na<sub>2</sub>S. This indicates that alkaline Na<sub>2</sub>S has a slight destructive effect on the MIL-68(In) which were modified at the tip of micropipette. In the second time, H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP was used to detect 0.5 nM Hg<sup>2+</sup>, the rate of current decrease was 26.64%, which prove that H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In)-MP still has the ability to detect mercury. However, compared with the initial current, the rate of current decrease was only 14.30%, which was lower than rate of non-specific interactions (15%).



Fig. S9 The cycle performance of  $Hg^{2+}$  at the detection limit of 0.5 nM.



Fig. S10 Current decrease rate of  $H_2S@MIL-68(In)$ -MP bound with 0.5  $\mu$ M  $Hg^{2+}$  after absorbing  $H_2S$  for 10 hours and leave for 36 hours. All signals decrease by about 55 %, which is down from 87 % in the previous test.

#### 9. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern.

Both HKUST-1 and MIL-68(In) powders were dried before X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. The instrument used for XRD analysis is Germany Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer. Cu K $\alpha$  radiation source was used ( $\lambda = 1.5$  Å). The analysis was operated at 40 mA and 40 kV, and the 2 $\theta$  was varied from 5.0 to 50° with a step of 1.0°/min. By comparing the following crystal standard spectra, it was confirmed that HKUST-1 and MIL-68(In) were synthesized in the experiment.



Fig. S11 XRD pattern of simulated HKUST-1 and MIL-68(In).

#### 10. N<sub>2</sub> absorption isotherms of MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In).

Compared the N<sub>2</sub> absorption isotherms of MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In), the N<sub>2</sub> absorption volume of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL -68 (In) was 92.73cm<sup>3</sup>/g higher than that of MIL-68(In). This may be due to the chemical reaction between MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S. In particular, before the N<sub>2</sub> absorption isotherms test, the H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) were activated for 10 hours at 100 °C, which may have exacerbated the reaction and caused the change of N<sub>2</sub> absorption behavior. Pore size distribution of MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) show that most pore are still at the sizes of 2-6 nm.



Fig. S12 N<sub>2</sub> absorption isotherms of MIL-68(In) and H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In).



#### 11. Current measuring device.

All I-V tests were performed using the following apparatus, which using two Ag/AgCl electrodes. One electrode was directly immersed in the 0.1 M KCl electrolyte, another electrode was inserted into the micropipette from the base. Keithley 6487 picoammeter (Keithley Instruments, Cleveland, OH, USA) was connected to form a closed circuit. Before the test, the electrodes were soaked overnight in sodium hypochlorite solution.



Fig. S14 Device diagram for testing the current through the micropipettes.

#### 12. SEM of H<sub>2</sub>S@MIL-68(In) powder combine with Hg<sup>2+</sup>.

The prepared  $H_2S@MIL-68(In)$  powder was added to 0.5 µM mercury solution for soaking 30 minutes, then centrifuged and dried at 80 °C. The powder was characterized by SEM. Fig. S15 confirmed that many flocculent precipitates appear in MIL-68(In) crystals after binding with mercury.



Fig. S15 SEM image of  $H_2S@MIL-68(In)$  powder combine with 0.5  $\mu$ M  $Hg^{2+}$ .

#### 13. Comparison with other sensor.

Referenc e	Materials	Detection Technique	Detection Limit
2	PET and AIE property organics	fluorescence and ion current signal	1 µM
3	AAO and DNA	ion current signal	1 nM
4	DNA and Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Au magnetic nanoparticles	Square wave voltammograms	1.7 nM
This work	Glass micropipets and MOFs	ion current signal	0.5 nM

Table 3. Comparison of different sensors used for mercury detection.

## References

- Z. Yang, H. Li, C. Liao, J. Zhao, S. Feng, P. Li, X. Liu, J. Yang and K. Shih, ACS Appl. Nano Mater, 2018, 1, 4726-4736.
- 2. X. Xu, R. Hou, P. Gao, M. Miao, X. Lou, B. Liu and F. Xia, Anal. Chem., 2016, 88, 2386-2391.
- 3. H. Wang, S. Hou, Q. Wang, Z. Wang, F. Xia and J. Zhan, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2015, 3, 1699.
- 4. P. Miao, Y. Tang and L. Wang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 3940-3947.