Hg₃(Te₃O₈)(SO₄): A New Sulfate Tellurite with Novel Structure and

Large Birefringence Explored from d¹⁰ Metal Compounds

Peng-Fei Li^{a,b}, Chun-Li Hu^a, Ya-Ping Gong^a, Fang Kong^{*,a}, Jiang-Gao Mao^a

^a State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou 350002, P. R. China.

^b College of Chemical Engineering, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, Fujian 350116, P. R. China.

E-mail: kongfang@fjirsm.ac.cn;

Supporting Information

S1. Experimental Section	.2
S2. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction	.3
S3.Computational Method	.3
Table S1 Summary of crystal data and structural refinements for the four compounds	.5
Table S2 Calculated bond valences for the four compounds	.6
Table S3 The state energies (eV) of the lowest conduction band (L-CB) and the highest valence	ce
band (H-VB) of the four compounds.	.9
Figure S1. Simulated and experimental XRD powder patterns of Ga2(TeO3)(SO4)(OH)2 (a	a),
$Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).	10
Figure S2. View of the layered structure of Ga ₂ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄)(OH) ₂ along c- (a) and a-axis (b)1	11
Figure S3. The indium oxide layer of In ₂ (TeO ₃) ₂ (SO ₄)(H ₂ O).	11
Figure S4. The $[Zn_4(SO_4)_2(H_2O)]^{4+}$ cluster in $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$	12
Figure S5. The coordination modes of $Hg(1)$, $Hg(2)$ and $Hg(3)$ (b), the Te_3O_8 trimer (a), and the set of $Hg(1)$ and $Hg(3)$ (b) and $Hg(3)$ (b) are the set of $Hg(1)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ and $Hg(2)$ and $Hg(3)$ (b) are the set of $Hg(1)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ and $Hg(2)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ and $Hg(2)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ and $Hg(2)$ are the set of $Hg(1)$ are the set	he
mercury oxide chains and layer (c) of Hg ₃ (Te ₃ O ₈)(SO ₄)1	13
Figure S6. The ladder-shaped double chain structure in $Tl_2(Te_3O_7)$ (a), the zigzag chain	in
$Fe(Te_3O_7)X (X = Cl, Br) (b)$, the 2D layered structure in $Ba(Te_3O_7) (c)$.	14
Figure S7. TGA and DSC curves of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (c) and $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2$	nd
$Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c)	15
Figure S8. IR spectra of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $SO_4(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (b) $SO_4(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (c) $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (c) $SO_4(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (c) $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (c) $Zn_4(TeO_3)(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (c) $Zn_4(TeO_3)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (c) $Zn_4(TeO_3)_2(TeO_3)_$	nd
$Hg_{3}(Te_{3}O_{8})(SO_{4})$ (c)	16
Figure S9. UV–Vis–NIR diffuse reflectance spectrum of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (at the second seco	a),
$Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).	17
Figure S10. Calculated band structures of the four compounds1	18
Figure S11. Calculated total and partial density of states for the four compounds	19
Figure S12. Calculated refractive indices and birefringence of the four compounds	20
Figure S13. Calculated refractive (n) and birefringence (Δn) indices of In ₂ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄)(OH) ₂ (H ₂ O ₄)(H ₂)(H ₂)(H ₂ O ₄)(H ₂)(C)
and In ₃ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄)F ₃ (H ₂ O)	21
References	22

S1. Experimental Section

Materials and Instrumentations.

All the chemicals were analytically pure from commercial sources and used without further purification: TeO₂ (Aladdin, >99.99%, AR), HgO (Tansoole, >99%, AR), ZnO (Aladdin, >99.99%, AR), Ga₂O₃ (Aladdin, 99.9%, AR), In₂O₃ (Aladdin, 99.9%, AR), H₂SO₄ (Sinopharm, 95.0~98.0%, AR). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns of the three compounds were collected on the Miniflex 600 powder X-ray diffractometer using Cu K α radiation at room temperature in the angular range of 2 θ = 5-70° with a scan step size of 0.02°. IR spectra were carried out on a Magna 750 FT-IR spectrometer using air as background in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ at room temperature. The UV-vis-NIR spectra were obtained at 2000–200 nm by a PerkinElmer Lambda 900 spectrophotometer using BaSO₄ as the reference, and the reflection spectra were converted into an absorption spectrum using the Kubelka-Munk function. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) were measured by Netzsch STA 499C installation. The samples about 2.0-5.0 mg were placed in alumina crucibles and heated in 25-1200 °C at a rate of 15 °C/min under N₂ atmosphere.

Syntheses

The four compounds were obtained by mild hydrothermal reactions. The component parts are as follows: TeO₂ (0.319 g, 2 mmol), Ga₂O₃ (0.187 g, 1.0 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.20 mL) and H₂O (2.5 mL) for Ga₂(TeO₃)(SO₄)(OH)₂; TeO₂ (0.319 g, 2 mmol), In₂O₃ (0.277 g, 1.0 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.20 mL) and H₂O (2.5 mL) for In₂(SO₄)(TeO₃)(OH)₂(H₂O); TeO₂ (0.319 g, 2 mmol), ZnO (0.081 g, 1.0 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.20 mL) and H₂O (2.5 mL) for Zn₄(Te₆O₁₄)(SO₄)₂(H₂O); TeO₂ (0.160 g, 1 mmol), HgO (0.325 g, 1.5 mmol), H₂SO₄ (0.15 mL) and H₂O (3 mL) for Hg₃(Te₃O₈)(SO₄). The mixtures were sealed in an autoclave equipped with a Teflon liner (23 mL), which were heated to 220 °C to generate autogenous pressures in 5 h and held for 4 days, followed by cooling to 30 °C at a rate of 3 °C/h. The products were washed with alcohol and dried in air at room temperature. Transparent crystals of Ga₂(TeO₃)(SO₄)(OH)₂, Zn₄(Te₃O₇)₂(SO₄)₂(H₂O) and Hg₃(Te₃O₈)(SO₄), were obtained in yields of 28%, 52% and 35% (based on Te) respectively. The yield of

 $In_2(SO_4)(TeO_3)(OH)_2(H_2O)$ is very low, only several small crystals can be isolated. Powder X-ray diffraction on the polycrystalline samples were in good agreement with the generated patterns from the single crystal structures (Figure S1).

S2. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction

Data collections for the three compounds were performed on Agilent Technologies SuperNova Dual Wavelength CCD diffractometer with a graphitemonochromated Mo-K α radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares fitting on F² using *SHELXTL-*97 crystallographic software package. All of the atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters and finally converged for F₀² $\geq 2\sigma$ (F₀²). The structural data were also checked for possible missing symmetry with the program PLATON, and no higher symmetry was found. The crystallographic data and refinement details for the compounds are given in Table S1. The selected bond lengths are listed in Table S2.

S3.Computational Method

Single-crystal structural data of the four compounds were used for the theoretical calculations. The electronic structures were performed using a plane-wave basis set and pseudo-potentials within density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the total-energy code CASTEP [1]. For the exchange and correlation functional, we chose Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) in the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [2]. The interactions between the ionic cores and the electrons were described by the ultrasoft pseudopotential [3]. The following valence-electron configurations were considered in the computation: Ga-3d¹⁰4s²4p¹, In-5s²5p¹, Zn-3d¹⁰3p²4s², Hg-5d¹⁰5p²6s², Te-5s²5p⁴, O-2s²2p⁴, S-3s²3p⁴ and H-1s¹. The numbers of plane waves included in the basis sets were determined by cutoff energy of 750 eV, 750 eV and 800 eV for the four compounds respectively. The numerical integration of the Brillouin zone was performed using Monkhorst-Pack k-point sampling of $5 \times 3 \times 4$, $2 \times 2 \times 1$, $4 \times 3 \times 3$ and $1 \times 5 \times 2$ for the four compounds respectively. The other parameters and convergent criteria were the default values of CASTEP code.

The calculations of linear optical properties in terms of the complex dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega) = \varepsilon_1(\omega) + i\varepsilon_2(\omega)$ were made. The imaginary part of the dielectric function ε_2 was given in the following equation:

$$\frac{8\pi^{2}h^{2}e^{2}}{\epsilon^{ij}_{2}(\omega)} = \frac{8\pi^{2}h^{2}e^{2}}{(m^{2}V)}\sum_{k}\sum_{cv}(f_{c}-f_{v})\frac{p_{cv}^{i}(k)p_{cv}^{j}(k)}{E_{vc}^{2}}\delta\left[\frac{E_{c}(k)-E_{v}(k)-h\omega}{E_{v}(k)-h\omega}\right]$$

The f_c and f_v represent the Fermi distribution functions of the conduction and valence band. The term $p^{i}{}_{cv}(k)$ denotes the momentum matrix element transition from the energy level c of the conduction band to the level v of the valence band at the *k*th point in the Brillouin zone (BZ), and *V* is the volume of the unit cell.

The real part $\varepsilon_1(\omega)$ of the dielectric function $\varepsilon(\omega)$ follows from the Kramer–Kronig relationship. All the other optical constants may be derived from $\varepsilon_1(\omega)$ and $\varepsilon_2(\omega)$. For example, the refractive index $n(\omega)$ can be calculated using the following expression [4]:

$$\frac{1}{n(\omega)=(\sqrt{2})[\sqrt{\varepsilon_1^2(\omega) + \varepsilon_2^2(\omega)} + \varepsilon_1(\omega)]^{1/2}}$$

molecular formula	Ga ₂ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄) (OH) ₂	In ₂ (TeO ₃) ₂ (SO ₄) (H ₂ O)	$Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2$ (H ₂ O)	Hg ₃ (Te ₃ O ₈)(SO ₄)	
Formula Weight	445.12	694.92	1461.22	1208.64	
crystal system	monoclinic	monoclinic	monoclinic	triclinic	
space group	$P2_{1}/m$	C2/c	C2/c	<i>P</i> -1	
Temperature(K)	99.97(17)	291(1)	290.55(10)	290.62(10)	
F(000)	408	2464	2600	1016	
a/Å	4.9825(7)	17.6356(14)	22.8452(16)	6.4986(6)	
b/Å	9.7519(12)	6.7576(4)	5.4594(3)	9.7848(9)	
c/Å	6.9741(10)	16.4550(12)	15.6547(13)	10.7128(10)	
a(deg)	90.00	90.00	90.00	67.178(9)	
β(deg)	93.550(12)	107.394(8)	99.598(7)	72.622(9)	
γ(deg)	90.00	90.00	90.00	73.471(8)	
$V/Å^3$	338.21(8)	1871.3(2)	1925.1(2)	588.10(9)	
Ζ	2	8	4	2	
$Dc(g.cm^{-3})$	4.371	4.933	5.042	6.825	
GOF on F ²	1.056	0.992	1.093	1.059	
$R_1, wR_2[I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$	0.0345,0.0710	0.0246,0.0567	0.0289,0.0659	0.0398,0.0889	
R_1 , w R_2 (all data) ^{<i>a</i>}	0.0448,0.0782	0.0289, 0.0598	0.0311,0.0672	0.0497,0.0958	
${}^{a}R_{1} = \sum F_{o} - F_{c} / \sum F_{o} , \ wR_{2} = \{\sum w[(F_{o})^{2} - (F_{c})^{2}]^{2} / \sum w[(F_{o})^{2}]^{2}\}^{1/2}$					

Table S1 Summary of crystal data and structural refinements for the four compounds.

Compound	Dand	Bond	Dand valance	BVS	
Compound	Bolla	lengths	Bond-valence		
	Te(1)-O(1)#1	1.895(4)	1.245		
	Te(1)-O(1)	1.895(4)	1.245		
	Te(1)-O(2)#2	1.949(6)	1.073	3.575	
	Te(1)…O(3)#2	2.609(4)	0.181	-	
	Te(1)…O(3)#3	2.609(4)	0.181		
	Ga(1)-O(1)	1.949(4)	0.553	_	
	Ga(1)-O(1)#5	2.012(4)	0.468		
Ga ₂ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄)(OH) ₂	Ga(1)-O(2)	1.932(3)	0.581	2 2 2 0	
	Ga(1)-O(3)#4	1.953(4)	0.546	3.230	
	Ga(1)-O(3)	1.983(4)	0.506		
	Ga(1)-O(4)	1.935(4)	0.576		
	S(1)-O(4)#1	1.491(5)	1.433		
	S(1)-O(4)	1.491(5)	1.433	(122	
	S(1)-O(5)	1.443(6)	1.627	0.133	
	S(1)-O(6)	1.441(7)	1.640		
	Te(1)-O(1)	1.908(3)	1.205		
	Te(1)-O(2)	1.889(3)	1.269	3.907	
	Te(1)-O(3)	1.844(3)	1.433		
	Te(2)-O(4)	1.872(3)	1.328	3.690	
	Te(2)-O(6)	1.909(3)	1.202		
	Te(2)-O(5)	1.922(3)	1.160		
	In(1)-O(2)#1	2.201(3)	0.407	2.978	
	In(1)-O(2)#2	2.207(3)	0.439		
	In(1)-O(3)	2.184(3)	0.467		
	In(1)-O(3)#1	2.550(4)	0.174		
	In(1)-O(5)#3	2.158(3)	0.501		
$In_2(TeO_3)_2(SO_4)(H_2O)$	In(1)-O(7)	2.237(4)	0.404		
	In(1)-O(11)#4	2.100(4)	0.586		
	In(2)-O(1)#5	2.118(3)	0.558	3.062	
	In(2)-O(1)#6	2.215(3)	0.429		
	In(2)-O(4)	2.170(3)	0.485		
	In(2)-O(5)	2.212(3)	0.433		
	In(2)-O(8)#7	2.122(4)	0.552		
	In(2)-O(11)	2.088(3)	0.605		
	S(1)-O(7)	1.468(4)	1.524	6 122	
	S(1)-O(8)	1.487(4)	1.448		
	S(1)-O(9)	1.460(4)	1.558	0.122	
	S(1)-O(10)	1.452(4)	1.592		
$Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$	Te(1)-O(1)	1.851(4)	1.406	3.828	

 Table S2 Calculated bond valences for the four compounds.

	Te(1)-O(2)	1.870(5)	1.335		
	Te(1)-O(3)	1.946(4)	1.087	-	
	Te(2)-O(4)	2.022(5)	0.885		
	Te(2)-O(5)	1.931(4)	1.132		
	Te(2)-O(6)	1.901(4)	1.228	3.734	
	Te(2)-O(6)#1	2.242(5)	0.489	-	
	Te(3)-O(3)#2	2.104(5)	0.709	3.946	
	Te(3)-O(4)	1.900(4)	1.231	-	
	Te(3)-O(5)#3	2.117(5)	0.685	-	
	Te(3)-O(8)	1.874(4)	1.321		
	Zn(1)-O(1)	2.020(5)	0.426		
	Zn(1)-O(3)#4	2.169(4)	0.285	-	
	Zn(1)-O(7)	2.209(3)	0.255	2.054	
	Zn(1)-O(8)#5	2.079(5)	0.363	2.054	
	Zn(1)-O(10)#5	2.067(4)	0.375	-	
	Zn(1)-O(12)#6	2.092(5)	0.350	-	
	Zn(2)-O(2)	1.957(5)	0.505		
	Zn(2)-O(5)#3	2.155(4)	0.296	1 010	
	Zn(2)-O(6)#7	1.953(4)	0.510	1.810	
	Zn(2)-O(8)	1.961(5)	0.499		
	S(1)-O(9)	1.478(5)	1.484		
	S(1)-O(9)	1.478(5)	1.484		
	S(1)-O(10)	1.481(5)	1.472	6.003	
	S(1)-O(11)	1.454(5)	1.583		
	S(1)-O(12)	1.483(5)	1.464		
	Te(1)-O(1)	2.072(7)	0.774		
	Te(1)-O(2)	1.881(7)	1.296	2 002	
	Te(1)-O(3)	1.864(9)	1.357	3.882	
	Te(1)-O(4)	2.268(8)	0.455		
	Te(2)-O(4)	1.909(8)	1.202		
	Te(2)-O(5)	1.874(8)	1.321	3.902	
	Te(2)-O(6)	1.858(9)	1.379		
	Te(3)-O(1)	1.917(8)	1.176		
$Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$	Te(3)-O(7)	1.838(9)	1.456	1 004	
	Te(3)-O(8)	1.860(8)	1.372	4.004	
	Te(3)-O(10)#1	2.601(8)	0.185		
	Hg(1)-O(1)#1	2.442(7)	0.251		
	Hg(1)-O(2)#1	2.572(9)	0.176		
	Hg(1)-O(2)	2.287(10)	0.381	1 712	
	Hg(1)-O(3)#2	2.521(9)	0.202	1./13	
	Hg(1)-O(3)	2.517(9)	0.205		
	Hg(1)-O(4)#2	2.252(9)	0.419		

Hg(1)-O(6)#2	2.870(9)	0.079	
Hg(2)-O(3)	2.426(8)	0.262	
Hg(2)-O(5)#2	2.723(10)	0.117	
Hg(2)-O(6)#2	2.609(8)	0.160	
Hg(2)-O(7)	2.137(9)	0.572	2.065
Hg(2)-O(7)#3	2.472(8)	0.231	
Hg(2)-O(8)#4	2.111(9)	0.613	
Hg(2)-O(9)#5	2.743(10)	0.111	
Hg(3)-O(2)	2.466(8)	0.235	
Hg(3)-O(5)	2.099(8)	0.633	
Hg(3)-O(6)#6	2.086(8)	0.656	1.899
Hg(3)-O(8)#7	2.490(10)	0.220	
Hg(3)-O(9)	2.621(9)	0.154	
S(1)-O(9)	1.466(10)	1.533	
S(1)-O(10)	1.465(8)	1.537	5 067
S(1)-O(11)	1.479(11)	1.480	5.707
S(1)-O(12)	1.495(9)	1.417	

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

For Ga₂(TeO₃)(SO₄)(OH)₂: #1 x,-y+1/2,z; #2 x+1,y,z; #3 x+1,-y+1/2,z; #4 -x,-y,-z+1; #5 -x-1,-y,-z+1; #6 x-1,y,z

For In₂(TeO₃)₂(SO₄)(H₂O): #1 -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; #2 x, y+1, z; #3 x-1/2, y+1/2, z; #4 -x+1, y, -z+1/2; #5 x+1/2, y+1/2, z; #6 -x+1, -y+1, -z; #7 -x+1, -y+2, -z

For $Zn_4(Te_6O_{14})(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$: #1 -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z-1; #2 x, -y, z-1/2; #3 -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z-1/2; #4 x, y+1, z; #5 x, -y+1, z+1/2; #6 -x, -y+1, -z; #7 -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z-1/2

For Hg₃(Te₃O₈)(SO₄): #1 -x+1, -y+1, -z; #2 -x, -y+1, -z; #3 -x+1, -y+1, -z-1; #4 x-1, y, z; #5 x, y, z-1; #6 x+1, y, z

Compound	k-point	L-CB	H-VB
Ga ₂ (TeO ₃)(SO ₄)(OH) ₂	Z(0.000,0.000,0.500)	4.251806	-0.15029
	G(0.000,0.000,0.000)	4.20144	-0.04276
	Y(0.000,0.500,0.000)	4.04888	-0.09845
	A(-0.500,0.500,0.000)	4.08615	-0.02805
	B(-0.500,0.000,0.000)	4.139393	-0.00024
	D(-0.500,0.000,0.500)	4.062739	-0.00279
	E(-0.500,0.500,0.500)	4.038734	-0.01996
	C(0.000,0.500,0.500)	4.000253	-0.17692
	L(-0.500,0.000,0.500)	2.78749	0
	M(-0.500,-0.500,0.500)	2.28126	-0.00221
	A(-0.500,0.000,0.000)	2.81736	-0.02240
$In_2(100_3)_2(50_4)(H_20)$	G(0.000,0.000,0.000)	2.30201	-0.03162
	Z(0.000,-0.500,0.500)	2.78748	0
	V(0.000,0.000,0.500)	2.31287	-0.05191
	Z(0.000,0.000,0.500)	3.541378	-0.03716
	G(0.000,0.000,0.000)	3.540361	-0.0065
	Y(0.000,0.500,0.000)	3.535651	-0.05669
$7_{\rm T}$ (T ₂ O) (9O) (U O)	A(-0.500,0.500,0.000)	3.530576	-0.04883
$Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$	B(-0.500,0.000,0.000)	3.55145	-0.00964
	D(-0.500,0.000,0.500)	3.545388	-0.04608
	E(-0.500,0.500,0.500)	3.558094	-0.04754
	C(0.000,0.500,0.500)	3.572216	-0.05551
	G(0.000,0.000,0.000)	2.467756	0
	F(0.000,0.500,0.000)	2.477729	-0.07055
Hg ₃ (Te ₃ O ₈)(SO ₄)	Q(0.000,0.500,0.500)	2.623512	-0.1444
	Z(0.000,0.000,0.500)	2.583891	-0.05208
	G(0.000,0.000,0.000)	2.467756	0

Table S3 The state energies (eV) of the lowest conduction band (L-CB) and thehighest valence band (H-VB) of the four compounds.



Figure S1. Simulated and experimental XRD powder patterns of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).



Figure S2. View of the layered structure of Ga₂(TeO₃)(SO₄)(OH)₂ along c- (a) and a-axis (b).



Figure S3. The indium oxide layer of In₂(TeO₃)₂(SO₄)(H₂O).



Figure S4. The $[Zn_4(SO_4)_2(H_2O)]^{4+}$ cluster in $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$.





Figure S5. The coordination modes of Hg(1), Hg(2) and Hg(3) (b), the Te_3O_8 trimer (a), and the mercury oxide chains and layer (c) of Hg₃(Te_3O_8)(SO₄).





(b)



Figure S6. The ladder-shaped double chain structure in $Tl_2(Te_3O_7)$ (a), the zigzag chain in Fe(Te₃O₇)X (X = Cl, Br) (b), the 2D layered structure in Ba(Te₃O₇) (c).



Figure S7. TGA and DSC curves of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).



Figure S8. IR spectra of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).









Figure S9. UV–Vis–NIR diffuse reflectance spectrum of $Ga_2(TeO_3)(SO_4)(OH)_2$ (a), $Zn_4(Te_3O_7)_2(SO_4)_2(H_2O)$ (b) and $Hg_3(Te_3O_8)(SO_4)$ (c).



Figure S10. Calculated band structures of the four compounds.



Figure S11. Calculated total and partial density of states for the four compounds.



Figure S12. Calculated refractive indices and birefringence of the four compounds.



Figure S13. Calculated refractive (n) and birefringence (Δ n) indices of In₂(TeO₃)(SO₄)(OH)₂(H₂O) and In₃(TeO₃)(SO₄)F₃(H₂O).

References

- [1] M. D. Segall, P. J. D. Lindan, M. J. Probert, C. J. Pickard, P. J. Hasnip, S. J. Clark and M. C. J. Payne, First-principles simulation: ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code, *Phys-Condens Mat.*, 2002, 14, 2717.
- [2] V. Milman, B. Winkler, J. A. White, C. J. Pickard, M. C. Payne, E. V. Akhmatskaya and R. H. Nobes, Electronic structure, properties, and phase stability of inorganic crystals: A pseudopotential plane-wave study, *Int. J. Quantum. Chem.*, 2000, 77, 895-910.
- [3] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, 77, 3865.
- [4] S. Saha and T. P. Sinha, Electronic structure, chemical bonding, and optical properties of paraelectric BaTiO₃, 2000, 62, 8828-8834.