Supplementary Information

Pd homogeneous junction enables remarkable CO₂ electroreduction

Yunkai Li,^a Kaifu Zhang,^{*a} Yu Yu,^a Xiaowen Zhan,^a Jiaojiao Gui,^a Jingyu Xue,^a Xin Jin,^a Shan Gao^{a*} and Yi Xie^b

^a·School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Anhui Province Key Laboratory of Chemistry for Inorganic/Organic Hybrid Functionalized Materials, Key Laboratory of Structure and Functional Regulation of Hybrid Materials of Ministry of Education, Anhui University Hefei, Anhui 230601 P. R. China.

^b·Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale, University of Science & Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026 P. R. China.

*Corresponding authors.

E-mail: shangao@ahu.edu.cn, kfzhang@ahu.edu.cn

Table of contents

Experimental section	
Fig. S1-S12	6-12
Table S1-S3	13-14
References	15

Experimental section

Chemicals and materials

Palladium chloride (PdCl₂, 99.0%) was purchased from Energy Chemical, Potassium Bicarbonate (KHCO₃, \geq 99.0%), Sodium borohydride (NaBH₄, \geq 99.0%), Sodium hydroxide (NaOH, \geq 99.0%), and poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP, Mw \approx 55000) were all obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 36.5% ~ 38.0%) was purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co. Ltd.. All the chemicals were used as received. All experiments used Deionized (DI) water with a resistivity of 18.2 MΩ·cm.

Synthesis of Pd nanoparticles

The Pd nanoparticles were synthesized according to previous work with some modification². In a typical synthesis, 60 mg PVP dissolved in 20 ml deionized water and stirred vigorously for 30 min. Then, 0.5 mmol PdCl₂ (dissolved in 0.1 M HCl solution) was added, 2.5 mL of 0.1 M NaBH₄ solution was added into the suspension dropwise under vigorous stirring at room temperature. After the suspension was stirred for 2 h, the black precipitate was collected by centrifugation, washed several times with water and acetone for further use. The resulting Pd nanoparticles was named Pd-0.

Synthesis of Pd aerogels

The as-synthesized Pd nanoparticles were evenly dispersed in the mixture of water and ethanol. An appropriate amount of solid sodium hydroxide is weighed and placed in a 25 mL beaker, puttered it in the muffle furnace, heated from room temperature to 350 °C with a heating rate of 10 °C/min, and then the dispersed Pd nanoparticles were slowly added into the molten sodium hydroxide and kept for 2 min. The beaker was removed and the centrifuged solids were washed until neutral. The content of sodium hydroxide is controlled to produce Pd-x aerogels (where x is the mass of sodium hydroxide).

Materials characterizations

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high-resolution TEM (HRTEM) were carried out on JEOL JEM-F200 microscope at 200 KV. XRD patterns were performed

on a SmartLab X-ray diffraction system (40 KV, 150 mA, Cu K α radiation). The specific surface area was obtained by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method and measured by using a Micromeritics ASAP 2020 with the samples degassing in vacuum at 110 °C for 10 h and then measuring at 77 K. The CO₂ temperature-programmed desorption (CO₂-TPD) measurements were carried out on Micromeritics AutoChem 2920 Version. In situ diffuse Fourier transform infrared (DRIFT) spectroscopy was captured using a Nicolet iS50 FT-IR spectrometer equipped with a MCT detector. The flow rate of CO₂ in this experiment was controlled at 20 mL/min.

Electrochemical measurements

In order to complete the electrochemical reduction of CO₂, the electrochemical measurements were carried out in an H-cell system, which was separated by Nafion 115 membrane. The working electrode was the carbon fiber cloth coated with a catalyst, with a size of 1 cm \times 2 cm. The Pt and the Ag/AgCl electrodes were used as the counter electrode and the reference electrode, respectively. During the test, all potentials were measured against the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The potentials were controlled by an Autolab potentiostat/galvanostat (CHI660e). EIS measurements were carried out at -0.78 V vs. RHE and 5 mV amplitude in a frequency range from 10000 to 0.01 Hz. At room temperature under atmospheric pressure, the electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ was carried out in a 0.5 M KHCO₃ solution saturated with CO₂. After blowing CO₂ into the KHCO₃ solution for at least 30 minutes to remove residual air in the reservoir, controlled potential electrolysis was performed at each potential for 30 minutes. The gas products of electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction were monitored by gas chromatography (Agilent GC 8860). The CO standard curve was obtained by inject different volumes of CO standard gas into the gas chromatography. The peak area of CO standard gas with different volumes can be obtained by the gas chromatograph. The liquid products were quantified by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (Bruker AVANCE AV III 400) spectroscopy, in which 0.5 mL electrolyte was mixed with 0.1 mL D₂O and 0.01 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Sigma, 99.99%) was added as an internal standard. The HCOOH standard curve can be acquire through detecting the peak area of H in the

mixture (0.5 mL HCOOH standard solutions with different concentration, 0.1 mL D_2O and 0.01 mL dimethyl sulfoxide) by NMR.

CO stripping

The CO adsorption process in the measurement of the CO stripping voltammogram was accomplished by polarizing the electrode at 0.14 V and bubbling a 0.5 M H_2SO_4 electrolyte solution with CO for 10 min. Subsequently, the Ar was bubbled for another 30 min to remove excess CO in the solution. Then, the cyclic voltammogram was measured from 0.65 to 1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl at a scan rate of 10 mV/s.

We used the stripped charge of the saturated CO adsorption layer on the catalyst to determine the electrochemically active surface area of the catalyst. It can be seen from previous studies that CO adsorption takes place in the range between 0.65 and 1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). Then, the net Faraday charge for oxidation of the saturated CO adsorption layer is determined by the difference between the integral of 0.65 and 1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl) CV with and without CO adsorption divided by the scan rate. The ECSA of the Pd catalysts were evaluated by the following formula:

$$ECSA = Q/(Q_{ref} G),$$

where Q is the coulombic charges (mC) of the Pd oxides reduction, Q_{ref} is a conversion coefficient of 424 μ C cm⁻² and G is the total mass of Pd on the electrode, respectively¹³. The ECSA is calculated to correct the partial current density for CO production.



Fig. S1 (a) TEM image of the Pd-0. (b) Size distribution of the Pd-0. The inset in panel (a) shows the HRTEM image of the Pd-0.



Fig. S2 TEM (a, c, e) and corresponding HRTEM (b, d, f) images of the Pd-1, Pd-2 and Pd-4, respectively.



Fig. S3 Refined XRD patterns of the (a) Pd-0, (b) Pd-1, (c) Pd-2 and (d) Pd-4.



Fig. S4 Polarization curve of Pd-2 in Ar- or CO₂-saturated 0.5 M KHCO₃ solution.



Fig. S5 Faraday efficiency of the (a) Pd-0, (b) Pd-1 and (c) Pd-4.



Fig. S6 The standard curve for the detection of (a) CO and (b) HCOOH products.



Fig. S7 Current density of different Pd catalysts for CO production.



Fig. S8 Electrochemical impedance spectra of different Pd catalysts.



Fig. S9 Electrochemical stability measured at -0.78 V vs. RHE.



Fig. S10 (a) The XRD patterns, (b) Refined XRD pattern, (c) EIS, (d) LSV curves, (e) TEM and (f) its corresponding HRTEM image of Pd-2 after electrolysis.



Fig. S11 (a) CO stripping voltammetry, (b) N_2 adsorption-desorption curves, (c) ECSA-corrected and (d) BET-corrected the CO partial current density of the Pd-0, Pd-1, Pd-2 and Pd-4 catalysts.



Fig. S12 (a) *In situ* DRIFT of CO₂-saturated adsorption. (b) CO₂-TPD spectra of the Pd-0, Pd-1, Pd-2 and Pd-4, respectively.

Sample	Atom	Space group	$\mathbf{Pd}_{\mathbf{A}}$	$\mathbf{Pd}_{\mathbf{B}}$	Ratio of Pd _A	Ratio of Pd _B	2Pd _x (X=A, B)	R_{wp}	R_p	X ²
Pd-0	Pd0	Fm-3m	3.998	/	100.0%	0	0	6.34%	5.39%	6.899
Pd-1	Pd0	Fm-3m	3.994	3.904	75.33%	24.67%	49.34%	7.08%	5.61%	2.648
Pd-2	Pd0	Fm-3m	3.987	3.906	61.04%	38.96%	77.92%	6.42%	4.78%	8.282
Pd-4	Pd0	Fm-3m	3.996	3.911	29.93%	70.07%	59.86%	5.88%	4.63%	7.956
Pd-2 after catalysis	Pd0	Fm-3m	3.987	3.906	61.14%	38.86%	77.72%	9.78%	7.67%	1.762

Table S1. The obtained parameters in XRD refinement.

Table S2. Comparison of the catalytic performance of as-prepared Pd catalysts and other previously reported catalysts for electrochemical CO_2RR .

Catalyst	Media	Current density at maximum FE _{co} (mA cm ⁻²)	Maximum FE _{co} (%)	Ref.
Pd/C	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-0.7	60	[1]
6.2 nm Pd NPs	0.1 M KHCO3	-1.7	65	[2]
Te-Pd NCs/C	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-0.6	95.8	[3]
Pd-NC	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-0.65	55	[4]
Pd Octahedra	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-17	94	[5]
10% Pd/TaC	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-0.5	50	[6]
Pd/VN	0.5 M NaHCO ₃	-0.2	77	[7]
Pd-50	0.1 M KHCO ₃	-25	93	[8]
$Pd_2 DAC$	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-17.7	98.2	[9]
Pd/PANI-CP(I)	0.1 M KCI	-16	84.1	[10]
Pd@UiO-67	1 M KOH	-12	78.3	[11]
Au@Pd CCs	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-6	87	[12]
Pd-2	0.5 M KHCO ₃	-8.3	92	This work

Sample	ECSA (F·cm⁻²)	ECSA (m ² ·g ⁻¹)
Pd-0	0.0093	12.03
Pd-1	0.0161	22.55
Pd-2	0.0165	23.11
Pd-4	0.0162	22.70

Table S3. Electrochemically active surface area of the Pd catalysts.

References

- W. Sheng, S. Kattel, S. Yao, B. Yan, Z. Liang, C. J. Hawxhurst, Q. Wu and J. G. Chen, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, 10, 1180-1185.
- D. Gao, H. Zhou, J. Wang, S. Miao, F. Yang, G. Wang, J. Wang and X. Bao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 4288-4291.
- Y. Feng, H. Yang, Y. Zhang, X. Huang, L. Li, T. Cheng and Q. Shao, *Nano Lett.*, 2020, 20, 8282-8289.
- Q. He, J. H. Lee, D. Liu, Y. Liu, Z. Lin, Z. Xie, S. Hwang, S. Kattel, L. Song and J. G. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2020, **30**, 2000407.
- 5. W. Zhu, S. Kattel, F. Jiao and J. G. Chen, Adv. Energy Mater., 2019, 9, 1802840.
- J. Wang, S. Kattel, C. J. Hawxhurst, J. H. Lee, B. M. Tackett, K. Chang, N. Rui, C.-J. Liu and J. G. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 6271-6275.
- Y. Liu, D. Tian, A. N. Biswas, Z. Xie, S. Hwang, J. H. Lee, H. Meng and J. G. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 11345-11348.
- Y. Zhao, X. Tan, W. Yang, C. Jia, X. Chen, W. Ren, S. C. Smith and C. Zhao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 21493-21498.
- N. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Kang, C. Ye, R. Jin, H. Yan, R. Lin, J. Yang, Q. Xu, Y. Wang, Q. Zhang, L. Gu, L. Liu, W. Song, J. Liu, D. Wang and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, 60, 13388-13393.
- S. Jia, Q. Zhu, M. Chu, S. Hao, R. Feng, J. Zhai, W. Xia, M. He, H. Wu and B. Han, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2021, 60, 10977-10982.
- Y. Liang, J. Zhao, H. Zhang, A. Zhang, S. Wang, J. Li, M. Shakouri, Q. Xiao, Y. Hu, Z. Liu, Z. Geng, F. Li and J. Zeng, *Nano Lett.*, 2021, DOI: 10.1021/acs.nanolett.1c02719.
- F. Li, X. V. Medvedeva, J. J. Medvedeva, E. Khairullina, H. Engelhardt, S. Chandrasekar, Y. Guo, J. Jin, A. Lee, H. T.- Aubin, A. Ahmed, Y. Pang and A. Klinkova, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021, 4, 479-487.
- Y. Zhou, M. Niu, S. Zhu, Y. Liang, Z. Cui, X. Yang, A. Inoue, *Electrochim Acta*, 2019, **296**, 397-406.