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## Calibration Curves for the Concentration of Paracetamol in a $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ (v/v) Mixture of Ethanol and Water

The linear regression equation, variables and parameters for each calibration curve are presented in Table S.1. Five validation parameters were used to validate each calibration curve: linearity, precision, accuracy, limit of detection and limit of quantitation. The methodology used to assess each validation parameter is presented in Table S. $\mathbf{2}$ and the result for each validation parameter is presented in Table S.3.

Table S. 1 - Linear regression: equation, variables and parameters.

| Linear regression equation: $y=\left(a \pm t s_{a}\right) x+\left(b+t s_{b}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Definition |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $y$ | Dependent variable: absorbance ( $A b s$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $x$ | Independent variable: concentration of paracetamol ( ${ }^{C}$ ) in $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Definition | Value |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $T=10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | T $=15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $T=20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | T $=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $T=30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $T=35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $n$ | Number of calibration points | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| $a$ | Slope ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) | 0.00236 | 0.00250 | 0.00232 | 0.00249 | 0.00241 | 0.00231 |
| $b$ | Intercept | -0.001 | 0.003 | -0.001 | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.01 |
| $t$ | t -statistic for $n-2$ degrees of freedom | 2.7764 wi | a significa | e level $\alpha=$ |  |  |  |
| $s_{a}$ | Standard deviation of the slope ( $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{g}^{-1}$ ) | 0.00003 | 0.00003 | 0.00003 | 0.00004 | 0.00002 | 0.00005 |
| $s_{b}$ | Standard deviation of the intercept | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.01 |
| $t s_{a}$ | Confidence interval of $a\left(\mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~g}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.00009 | 0.00008 | 0.00007 | 0.00012 | 0.00007 | 0.00013 |
| $t s_{b}$ | Confidence interval of $b$ | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.011 | 0.008 | 0.02 |

Table S. 2 - Methodology used to assess each validation parameter

| Parameter | Methodology |
| :---: | :---: |
| Linearity | Linearity was assessed based on the coefficient of determination $\left(R^{2}\right)$ and the statistical significance of $a$ and $b$. $R^{2}>0.995$ is generally considered as evidence of acceptable linearity. In addition, $a$ should differ statistically and significantly from 0 , whilst $b$ should not differ statistically and significantly from 0 . Therefore, the t-statistics of $a\left({ }^{t_{a}}\right.$ ) should be higher than the critical value $\left({ }_{c r i t}=2.7764\right.$ ) and the t -statistics of $b\left({ }^{t_{b}}\right)$ should be lower than $t_{c r i t}$. |
| Precision | Precision was assessed based on repeatability. The concentrations of 3 replicates of 3 standard solutions of paracetamol were calculated from the calibration curve. The average coefficient of variation of the three series ( ${ }^{C V_{r e p}}$ ) was calculated to express precision. |
| Accuracy | Accuracy was assessed based on percent recovery $(R E)$, calculated as the ratio between the concentration obtained from the calibration curve and the actual concentration of a standard solution of paracetamol. The concentrations of 3 replicates of 3 standard solutions of paracetamol were calculated from the calibration curve. The average $R E$ of the three series was calculated to express accuracy. |
| Limit of detection | LOD was calculated based on $s_{b}$ and $a$ as $L O D=3.3 s_{b} / a$. |
| Limit of quantitation | LOQ was calculated based on $s_{b}$ and $a_{\text {as }} L O Q=10 s_{b} / a$. |

Table S. 3 - Result for each validation parameter.

|  |  |  |  | Result |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Parameter | $T=\mathbf{1 0}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $T=\mathbf{1 5}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $T=\mathbf{2 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $T=\mathbf{2 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $T=\mathbf{3 0}^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ | $T=\mathbf{3 5}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ |
| Linearity | $R^{2}=0.999$ | $R^{2}=0.999$ | $R^{2}=0.999$ | $R^{2}=0.999$ | $R^{2}=1.000$ | $R^{2}=0.998$ |
|  | $t_{a}=75.321$ | $t_{a}=84.318$ | $t_{a}=87.603$ | $t_{a}=57.678$ | $t_{a}=101.011$ | $t_{a}=47.719$ |
|  | $t_{b}=-0.93$ | $t_{b}=1.468$ | $t_{b}=-0.247$ | $t_{b}=0.364$ | $t_{b}=0.555$ | $t_{b}=1.048$ |
| Precision | $C V_{\text {rep }}=4 \%$ | $C V_{\text {rep }}=6 \%$ | $C V_{\text {rep }}=1 \%$ | $C V_{\text {rep }}=1 \%$ | $C V_{\text {rep }}=1 \%$ | $C V_{\text {rep }}=1 \%$ |
| Accuracy | $R E=100 \%$ | $R E=101 \%$ | $R E=100 \%$ | $R E=100 \%$ | $R E=101 \%$ | $R E=100 \%$ |
| Limit of detection | $L O D=3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O D=2 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O D=3 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O D=5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O D=4 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O D=8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ |
| Limit of | $L O Q=8 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O Q=7 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O Q=11 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O Q=16 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O Q=12 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $L O Q=25 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ |
| quantitation |  |  |  |  |  |  |

