The dual function of impurity on protein crystallization

Jie Liu, Chen-Yan Zhang^{*}, Yue Liu, Xiang-Long Wu, Tuo-Di Zhang, Feng-Zhu Zhao, Liang-Liang Chen, Xiao-Qian Jin, Jin-Liang He, Da-Chuan Yin^{*}

Institute for Special Environmental Biophysics, Key Laboratory for Space Bioscience and Biotechnology, School of Life Sciences, Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an 710072, Shaanxi, PR China

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

^{*} To whom correspondence may be addressed.

Chen-Yan Zhang, zhangchenyan@nwpu.edu.cn, Tel: 86-29-88460543, Fax: 86-29-88460543; Da-Chuan Yin, yindc@nwpu.edu.cn, Tel: 86-29-88460254, Fax: 86-29-88460254.

Supporting Information

SI Figures		
SI 1	Figure S1. The SDS-PAGE results of crystals and crystallization solution supernatant.	P1
SI 2	Figure S2. Images of concanavalin crystallization droplets.	P2
SI 3	Figure S3. Comparison of lysozyme and catalase hits using different impurities.	Р3
SI 4	Figure S4. Comparison of crystallization reproducibility of lysozyme and catalase with additional of impurities with and without sound.	P4
SI 5	Figure S5. Comparison of lysozyme and catalase hits with additional of impurities with and without sound.	P5
SI 6	Figure S6. Comparison of the crystallization success rate of lysozyme under different sound intensities.	P6



Figure S1. The SDS-PAGE results of crystals and crystallization solution supernatant. (a) 20 mg/ml lysozyme crystallization solution with 10 mg/ml impurities (α -chymotrypsinogen A and pepsin) (b) 8 mg/ml catalase crystallization solution with 4 mg/ml impurities (α -chymotrypsinogen A and pepsin).



Figure S2. Images of concanavalin crystallization droplets. The concentration of concanavalin was 0.2 mg/ml \sim 1.2 mg/ml. α -chymotrypsinogen A was used as an impurity.



Figure S3. Comparison of lysozyme and catalase hits using different impurities. (a) 20 mg/ml lysozyme with α -chymotrypsinogen A as impurity (5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml, and 20 mg/ml); (b) 20 mg/ml lysozyme with pepsin as impurity (5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml, and 20 mg/ml); (c) comparison of effect of impurities on lysozyme hits; (d) 8 mg/ml catalase with α -chymotrypsinogen A as impurity (2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml, and 8 mg/ml); (e) 8 mg/ml catalase with pepsin as impurity (2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml, and 8 mg/ml); (f) comparison of effect of impurities on catalase hits. *p* < 0.05 indicated by **, and *p* < 0.001 indicated by ***.



Figure S4. Comparison of crystallization reproducibility of lysozyme and catalase with additional of impurities with and without sound. (a) 20 mg/ml lysozyme, α -chymotrypsinogen A was impurity (its concentration was 5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml and 20 mg/ml); (b) 20 mg/ml lysozyme, pepsin was impurity (its concentration was 5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml and 20 mg/ml); (c) 8 mg/ml catalase, α -chymotrypsinogen A was impurity (its concentration was 2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml and 8 mg/ml); (d) 8 mg/ml catalase, pepsin was impurity (its concentration was 2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml and 8 mg/ml). p < 0.05 indicated by *, p < 0.01 indicated by **, and p < 0.001 indicated by ***.



Figure S5. Comparison of lysozyme and catalase hits with additional of impurities with and without sound. (a) 20 mg/ml lysozyme, α -chymotrypsinogen A was impurity (its concentration was 5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml and 20 mg/ml); (b) 20 mg/ml lysozyme, pepsin was impurity (its concentration was 5 mg/ml, 10 mg/ml and 20 mg/ml); (c) 8 mg/ml catalase, α -chymotrypsinogen A was impurity (its concentration was 2 mg/ml); (d) 8 mg/ml catalase, pepsin was impurity (its concentration was 2 mg/ml, 4 mg/ml and 8 mg/ml). p < 0.05 indicated by *, p < 0.01 indicated by **.



Figure S6. Comparison of the crystallization success rate of lysozyme under different sound intensities. Lysozyme concentration was 20 mg/ml, 20 mg/ml α -chymotrypsinogen A was used as impurities, 80 mg/ml NaCl was crystallization reagent. 5000 Hz audible sound was applied during all the crystallization processes, and the intensity was 87 dB and 107 dB, respectively. p < 0.05 indicated by *.