# Supporting Information for "Diffusion Monte Carlo evaluation of disiloxane linearization barrier"

Adie Tri Hanindriyo<sup>1,\*</sup>,<sup>\*,†</sup> Amit Kumar Singh Yadav<sup>2</sup>,<sup>†</sup> Tom Ichibha<sup>3</sup>,<sup>†</sup> Ryo

Maezono<sup>4</sup>,<sup>†</sup> Kousuke Nakano<sup>4,5</sup>,<sup>†</sup> and Kenta Hongo<sup>6†</sup>

<sup>†1</sup> School of Materials Science, JAIST, Asahidai 1-1, Nomi, Ishikawa, 923-1292, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Electrical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Gandhinagar, Palaj 382355, Gujarat, India

¶<sup>3</sup> Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA

§<sup>4</sup> School of Information Science, JAIST, Asahidai 1-1, Nomi, Ishikawa, 923-1292, Japan

 $\|^{5}\ Scuola\ Internazionale\ Superiore\ di\ Studi\ Avanzati(SISSA),\ via\ Bonomea,\ 265-34136\ Trieste$ 

ITALY.

 $\perp^6$  Research Center for Advanced Computing Infrastructure, JAIST, Asahidai 1-1, Nomi, Ishikawa 923-1292, Japan

E-mail: adietri@icloud.com

### **Supplementary Information**

#### **Molecular geometry**

Geometry information for the two disiloxane conformers as optimized by the MP2/cc-pVQZ level

is given in Table 1.

 Table 1: MP2/cc-pVQZ geometry optimization results of the two conformers of disiloxane molecule

Conformer	Si-O (Å)	∠ Si-O-Si (°)	Si-H* (Å)	∠ O-Si-H* (°)	∠ H-Si-H* (°)
Linear (straight)	1.630	180.0	1.475	109.6	109.3
Nonlinear (bent)	1.640	146.4	1.475	109.5	109.5
Experiment <sup>1</sup>	1.634	144.1	1.486	109.9	109.1

\* Averaged values

#### Comparison of geometry optimization method

Comparison between two optimized geometries of non-liner disiloxane from at the CCSD(T)/cc-

pVTZ and MP2/cc-pVTZ level is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Disiloxane molecular geometry with CCSD(T) and MP2 at the cc-pVTZ basis-set level

Method	Si-O (Å)	∠ Si-O-Si (°)	Si-H* (Å)	∠ O-Si-H* (°)	∠ H-Si-H* (°)	
Non-linear						
MP2	1.646	147.0	1.477	109.5	109.4	
CCSD(T)	1.644	146.5	1.482	109.6	109.3	
Linear						
MP2	1.636	180.0	1.477	109.6	109.3	
CCSD(T)	1.633	180.0	1.482	109.7	109.2	

\* Averaged values

#### **Time-step bias in FNDMC**

As outlined in main text, linear regression is usually utilized in DMC to obtain expectation values at timestep  $\delta t \rightarrow 0$ . In this work, quadratic regression is instead used in place of linear regression,

Geometry	Linearization barrier (kcal/mol)			
	MP2	CCSD(T)		
MP2	0.38602	0.42539		
CCSD(T)	0.38618	0.42511		
$\Delta E$	-0.00016	0.00028		

Table 3: Disiloxane linearization barrier with CCSD(T) and MP2 geometries at the cc-pVTZ basis-set level



Figure 1: DMC calculation results at different timestep values for delinear disiloxane, using Slater orbitals derived from the cc-pVQZ basis set.

using 3 timestep values of 0.01, 0.005, and 0.001 Bohr<sup>-1</sup>, all of which gives high acceptance ratio in the FNDMC algorithm (> 95%). From testing DMC calculations at various timestep values, a quadratic regression was found to be a better match for the general trend of the data instead of a linear regression, as shown in Figure 1 using the DMC calculation for the delinear structure of disiloxane with the cc-pVQZ basis set as an example.

## References

 Almenningen, A.; Bastiansen, O.; Ewing, V.; Hedberg, K.; Traetteberg, M. The molecular structure of disiloxane (SiH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O. *Acta Chem. Scand* **1963**, *17*, 2455–2460.