Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. This journal is © the Owner Societies 2021

Electronic Supporting Information

Photo-isomerization of the isolated photoactive yellow protein

chromophore: What comes before the primary step?

Cate S. Anstöter, Basile F. E. Curchod, and Jan R. R. Verlet

Department of Chemistry, Durham University, Durham DH1 3LE, United Kingdom.

Experimental Details

Time-resolved photoelectron imaging of mass-selected *para*-coumaric ketone (pCK) was performed using an instrument that has been described previously.^{1–3} Deprotonated anions of pCK, pCK⁻, were produced by negative-mode electrospray ionisation of a $\sim 1 \text{ mM}$ solution of *p*CK in methanol. Ions were transferred into vacuum through a stainless steel capillary and guided through 3 differentially pumped vacuum regions using a series of ringelectrode RF ion guides. Ions were trapped at the end of these guides and extracted collinearly into a time-of-flight mass-spectrometer at 100 Hz. At the focus of the massspectrometer (1.3 m from extraction), the mass-selected ion packet was irradiated with femtosecond pulses at the centre of a perpendicular velocity-map imaging photoelectron spectrometer.² Emitted electrons were detected in the spectrometer using a position sensitive detector (dual multichannel plate coupled to phosphor screen with output monitored using a CMOS camera). Images were analysed using polar onion peeling⁴ to yield the central slice through the 3D electron distribution, which offered the photoelectron spectrum and photoelectron angular distributions. The spectra were calibrated using the well-known photoelectron spectrum of iodide and the spectral resolution as $\sim 5\%$ of the electron kinetic energy (eKE). The raw data is available from at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3750967

Femtosecond pulses were derived from a commercial femtosecond laser system (2.5 W, 800 nm, 35 fs pulses, 1 kHz). Part of the output was used directly as probe pulses (~450 μ J pulse⁻¹). Pump pulses were produced by 4th harmonic generation of the idler output of an optical parametric amplifier, yielding ~5 μ J pulse⁻¹ at 444 nm. Probe pulses were delayed relative to the pump pulses using a motorized optical delay line and recombined collinearly using a dichroic mirror before being directed into the instrument unfocussed (beam diameter ~ 3 mm). Pump and probe polarizations were set parallel to the detector.

Computational Details

Energetic minima corresponding to the critical points on the pCK^-S_0 and S_1 potential energy surfaces were optimized using $\omega B97X$ -D/aug-cc-pVDZ.⁵ Specifically, Density Functional Theory (DFT) was used to optimize the Franck-Condon minimum of the S_0 state, FC(S_0), while linear response time-dependent DFT (LR-TDDFT) with the Tamm-Dancoff Approximation (TDA)⁶ was used to optimize the S_1 planar minimum, $S_{1,PM}$. The nature of each stationary point located was confirmed by frequency calculations. All optimization and frequency calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09 (rev D.01).⁷

The vertical excitation energies of the S₀, S₁ and D₀ states were recalculated using multi-state extended multi-configurational quasi-degenerate perturbation theory (MS-XMCQDPT2).⁸ An (aug)-cc-pVTZ basis set⁹ was used, in which the augmented function was affixed to only the oxygen atoms. Inclusion of the D₀ state in the active space (and therefore detachment to the D₀ plus free electron continuum was) was achieved through addition of an orbital characterised by an extremely diffuse p-function ($\alpha = 1E-10$), transitions to this state were included in the 6-state averaging procedure to mimic detachment to the continuum. Following previously established protocols,^{10–12} a DFT/PBE0-based one-electron Fock-type matrix was used to obtain energies of the MCSCF semicanonical orbitals used in perturbation theory. All MS-XMCQDPT calculations were carried out using the Firefly quantum chemistry package.¹³

Dyson Orbitals

The Dyson orbitals were calculated using the equation-of-motion coupled cluster singles and doubles method for electronically excited and ionisation potential energies (EOM-EE/IP-CCSD),^{14,15} using the Pople 6-31+G** basis set.^{16,17} The Dyson orbitals were

then used to model the trends in PADs as a function of changing energy of the photodetached electron. The EOM-EE/IP-CCSD calculations were carried out using the QChem 5.2 computational package¹⁸ and the PADs were modelled using ezDyson v4.¹⁹



Figure S1: Dyson orbitals for S₁ in FC geometry (left) and S_{1,PM} geometry (right).

Energies are calculated for the EOM-EE/IP-CCSD Dyson orbital calculation but,

given the reduced basis set used, these are of lower accuracy as those calculated with the

XMCQDPT2 level of theory. The EOM-EE/IP-CCSD energies were calculated to be

2.8657/2.6407 eV and 2.8041/2.5220 eV, for the FC and S_{1,PM} geometry, respectively.

References

- 1 J. Lecointre, G. M. Roberts, D. A. Horke and J. R. R. Verlet, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 11216–11224.
- D. A. Horke, G. M. Roberts, J. Lecointre and J. R. R. Verlet, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2012, 83, 063101.
- 3 L. H. Stanley, C. S. Anstöter and J. R. R. Verlet, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 3054–3061.
- 4 G. M. Roberts, J. L. Nixon, J. Lecointre, E. Wrede and J. R. R. Verlet, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2009, **80**, 053104.
- 5 J.-D. Chai and M. Head-Gordon, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 6615–6620.
- 6 S. Hirata and M. Head-Gordon, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1999, **314**, 291–299.
- 7 Gaussian 09, Revision D.01, M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson,

D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.*, .

- 8 A. A. Granovsky, J. Chem. Phys., 2011, **134**, 214113.
- 9 T. H. Dunning, J. Chem. Phys., 1989, 90, 1007–1023.
- 10 A. V. Bochenkova, B. Klærke, D. B. Rahbek, J. Rajput, Y. Toker and L. H. Andersen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 9797–9801.
- A. V. Bochenkova, C. R. S. Mooney, M. A. Parkes, J. L. Woodhouse, L. Zhang, R. Lewin, J. M. Ward, H. C. Hailes, L. H. Andersen and H. H. Fielding, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 3154–3163.
- 12 C. S. Anstöter, T. E. Gartmann, L. H. Stanley, A. V. Bochenkova and J. R. R. Verlet, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 24019–24026.
- 13 A. A. Granovsky, .
- 14 C. M. Oana and A. I. Krylov, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, 131, 124114.
- 15 C. Melania Oana and A. I. Krylov, J. Chem. Phys., 2007, 127, 234106.
- 16 R. Krishnan, J. S. Binkley, R. Seeger and J. A. Pople, J. Chem. Phys., 1980, 72, 650– 654.
- 17 M. J. Frisch, J. A. Pople and J. S. Binkley, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 80, 3265–3269.
- Y. Shao, Z. Gan, E. Epifanovsky, A. T. B. Gilbert, M. Wormit, J. Kussmann, A. W. 18 Lange, A. Behn, J. Deng, X. Feng, D. Ghosh, M. Goldey, P. R. Horn, L. D. Jacobson, I. Kaliman, R. Z. Khaliullin, T. Kuś, A. Landau, J. Liu, E. I. Proynov, Y. M. Rhee, R. M. Richard, M. A. Rohrdanz, R. P. Steele, E. J. Sundstrom, H. L. W. III, P. M. Zimmerman, D. Zuev, B. Albrecht, E. Alguire, B. Austin, G. J. O. Beran, Y. A. Bernard, E. Berguist, K. Brandhorst, K. B. Bravaya, S. T. Brown, D. Casanova, C.-M. Chang, Y. Chen, S. H. Chien, K. D. Closser, D. L. Crittenden, M. Diedenhofen, R. A. D. Jr, H. Do, A. D. Dutoi, R. G. Edgar, S. Fatehi, L. Fusti-Molnar, A. Ghysels, A. Golubeva-Zadorozhnaya, J. Gomes, M. W. D. Hanson-Heine, P. H. P. Harbach, A. W. Hauser, E. G. Hohenstein, Z. C. Holden, T.-C. Jagau, H. Ji, B. Kaduk, K. Khistyaev, J. Kim, J. Kim, R. A. King, P. Klunzinger, D. Kosenkov, T. Kowalczyk, C. M. Krauter, K. U. Lao, A. D. Laurent, K. V. Lawler, S. V. Levchenko, C. Y. Lin, F. Liu, E. Livshits, R. C. Lochan, A. Luenser, P. Manohar, S. F. Manzer, S.-P. Mao, N. Mardirossian, A. V. Marenich, S. A. Maurer, N. J. Mayhall, E. Neuscamman, C. M. Oana, R. Olivares-Amaya, D. P. O'Neill, J. A. Parkhill, T. M. Perrine, R. Peverati, A. Prociuk, D. R. Rehn, E. Rosta, N. J. Russ, S. M. Sharada, S. Sharma, D. W. Small, A. Sodt, T. Stein, D. Stück, Y.-C. Su, A. J. W. Thom, T. Tsuchimochi, V. Vanovschi, L. Vogt, O. Vydrov, T. Wang, M. A. Watson, J. Wenzel, A. White, C. F. Williams, J. Yang, S. Yeganeh, S. R. Yost, Z.-Q. You, I. Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, Y. Zhao, B. R. Brooks, G. K. L. Chan, D. M. Chipman, C. J. Cramer, W. A. G. III, M. S. Gordon, W. J. Hehre, A. Klamt, H. F. S. III, M. W. Schmidt, C. D. Sherrill, D. G. Truhlar, A. Warshel, X. Xu, A. Aspuru-Guzik, R. Baer, A. T. Bell, N. A. Besley, J.-D. Chai, A. Dreuw, B. D. Dunietz, T. R. Furlani, S. R. Gwaltney, C.-P. Hsu, Y. Jung, J. Kong, D. S. Lambrecht, W. Liang, C. Ochsenfeld, V. A. Rassolov, L. V. Slipchenko, J. E. Subotnik, T. V. Voorhis, J. M. Herbert, A. I. Krylov, P. M. W. Gill and M. Head-Gordon, Mol. Phys., 2015, 113, 184–215.
- 19 S. Gozem and A. I. Krylov, EzDyson, Http://Iopenshell.Usc.Edu/Downloads/Ezdyson.