# Enhanced photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction by constructing In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO heterojunction with CuO as cocatalyst

Ke Chen<sup>a</sup>, Xin Zhao<sup>b</sup>, Xiao-Jing Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Weng-Sheng Zhang<sup>a</sup>, Zhi-Fang Wu<sup>a</sup>, Hao-Yu Wang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Dong-Xue Han<sup>a,\*</sup>, Li Niu<sup>a</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> school of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangzhou University, Guangzhou 510006, China.

<sup>b</sup> State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry, C/o Engineering Laboratory for Modern Analytical Techniques, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, 130022, China

*E-mail: hywang@gzhu.edu.cn (Haoyu Wang), dxhan@gzhu.edu.cn (Dongxue Han), Tel & Fax:* +86-20-39366902.

# 1. Experimental section

#### **1.1 Materials**

Sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, >99%) were purchased obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Sodium sulfite anhydrous (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>, >98%), ammonium hydroxide solution (NH<sub>3</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, AR, 25-28%) hexamethylenetetramine (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, ≥99%) and copper nitrate hydrate (Cu(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, AR) were purchased from Shanghai Macklin Biochemical Co., Ltd. Ethanol (C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>5</sub>OH) was gained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. Sodium citrate tribasic dihydrate (Na<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>7</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, ≥99%) and indium nitrate hydrate (InCl<sub>3</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O, >99.99) was obtained from Beijing Innochem Co., Ltd. All chemicals were used directly as received without further purification.

## **1.2 Experimental procedure**

1.2.1 Preparation of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sphere.

In a typical procedure, 0.005 mol  $InCl_3 \cdot 4H_2O$  and 0.005 mol  $Na_3C_6H_5O_7 \cdot 2H_2O$ was added into a solution of 15 mL H<sub>2</sub>O. After vigorous stirring for 30 min, The pH value of the solution was adjusted to 6.4-6.6 by 0.5 mol/L  $NH_3 \cdot H_2O$ . The mixture was transferred into a 25-mL Teflon-lined autoclave, sealed and heated at 130 °C for 24 h. The system was allowed to cool down to room temperature naturally, and the final product was collected by centrifuging the mixture, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and ethanol for three times, and then dried at 65 °C for 6 h. The product was further annealed at 500 °C in air for 3 h and cool down to room temperature naturally.

#### 1.2.2 Preparation of CuO flowers.

In a typical procedure, 0.05 mol  $C_6H_{12}N_4$  was added into a solution of 50 mL  $H_2O$  (Solution A) and 0.0025 mol  $Cu(NO_3)_3 \cdot 3H_2O$  was added into a solution of 50 mL  $H_2O$  (Solution B). After vigorous stirring for 30 min, add solution A drop by drop to solution B. Then, the mixed solution was heated at 70 °C for 3 hours. The system was allowed to cool down to room temperature naturally, and the final product was collected by centrifuging the mixture, washed with  $H_2O$  and ethanol for three times, and then dried at 65 °C for 6 h.

#### 1.2.3 Preparation of CuO flowers/In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sphere Heterostructures.

In a typical procedure, 0.05 mol  $C_6H_{12}N_4$  was added into a solution of 50 ml  $H_2O$ (Solution A) and 0.0015 mol  $In_2O_3$ -sphere 0.0025 mol  $Cu(NO_3)_3$ ·3 $H_2O$  was added into a solution of 50 ml  $H_2O$  (Solution B). After vigorous stirring for 30 min, add solution A drop by drop to solution B. Then, the mixed solution was heated at 70°C for 3 hours. The system was allowed to cool down to room temperature naturally, and the final product was collected by centrifuging the mixture, washed with H<sub>2</sub>O and ethanol for three times, and then dried at 65°C for 6 h. The other  $In_2O_3$ -CuO sample was synthesized in the same way by different input of  $In_2O_3$ -sphere (0.0045mol  $In_2O_3$  (IC-2-1); 0.0035mol  $In_2O_3$  (IC-3-2); 0.0025mol  $In_2O_3$  (IC-1-1); 0.0015mol  $In_2O_3$  (IC-2-3); 0.0005mol  $In_2O_3$  (IC-1-2)).

#### **1.3 Material characterization**

The morphology and structure of the samples were characterized by field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JSM-7001F, Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; jeol, JEM-2010). The crystalline phases of the samples were determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD, PANalytical X'Pert Powder, Netherlands) at room temperature. The X-ray diffraction pattern was described in the scanning range of 10°-80° with the scanning rate of 10° min<sup>-1</sup>. The elemental states of samples were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (VG ESCALABMK II).

The Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) diffuse reflectance spectra (UV–vis DRS) were obtained on a UV–vis spectrophotometer (HITACHI, U-3900) with pure BaSO<sub>4</sub> as a reflectance standard. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and  $CO_2$  adsorption tests was measured by nitrogen adsorption and desorption isotherms with a Quantachrome ASiQwin-Autosorb Station 1.. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra were acquired on a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Hitachi, F-4600) with a xenon lamp as

an excitation source (excitation wavelength: 300 nm).

## 1.4 Photocatalytic CO<sub>2</sub> reduction Measurement

As shown in Figure S1, the equipment for photocatalytic  $CO_2$  reduction reaction is a closed vacuum glass reaction system, which is composed of reaction chamber, constant temperature condensate cooling reaction system and circulating pump.



Figure S1. Schematic diagram of the photocatalytic reduction CO<sub>2</sub> system.

Typically, 30 mg of photocatalyst, 20mL of 0.01 mo $\cdot$ L<sup>-1</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>3</sub> were added into a gas-closed glass reactor (400 mL in capacity). Then, high purity CO<sub>2</sub> was introduced into the reactor with a partial pressure of 0.4 atm. A 300W Xe lamp was used as the light source and the wavelength of the lamp source is 200-780 nm in the reaction process. During the photocatalytic process, the reaction system was vigorously stirred by a magnetic stirrer. After each reaction, the generated products were quantified by GC (GC2019, 7920-TF2A, China). Other reaction conditions were the same as those of the typical reaction.

#### **1.5 Photoelectrochemical characterizations**

1.5.1Transient photocurrent

The transient photocurrent experiment was carried out using a domestic three electrode system in light (3w, perfectlight LS sxe300 +, China) at electrochemical workstation (CHI 760E, Chenhua Instrument, China)

1.5.2 Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS)

The Nyquist plots were measured on a frequency response analyzer (gamery interface 5000, UK). The working electrode was CuO /  $In_2O_3$  (similar to  $In_2O_3$ ), the counter electrode was platinum mesh, and the reference electrode was Ag / AgCl (3M KCl). Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M, pH = 7) was used as electrolyte.

1.5.3 Mott-Schottky experiment

A Mott–Schottky experiment was in progress in  $0.1 \text{ M Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  with the potential window ranged from - 0.5 to + 0.5 eV, using 1000 Hz and an AC amplitude of 10 mV at each of the potentials.



Figure S2. CO<sub>2</sub> photoreduction activities of different proportions of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO.



Figure S3. XRD pattern of In(OH)<sub>3</sub>.



Figure S4. XRD pattern of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sphere.



Figure S5. XRD pattern of CuO flower.



Figure S6. (a) TEM images, (b-c) HRTEM image (d-e) EDX mappings of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> sphere.



Figure S7. (a) TEM images, (b-c) HRTEM image (d-e) EDX mappings of CuO flower



Figure S8. XRD pattern of different proportions of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO.



Figure S9. XPS spectra of (a) survey, (b) In 3d, (c) Cu 2p and O 1s of different samples.



**Figure S10.** (a) N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms, pore size distributions (inset) and (b) CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption curves of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO.



Fig. S11. (a) Transient photocurrent spectra, (b) EIS spectra of  $In_2O_3$  and  $In_2O_3$ -CuO, and (c) steady-state PL spectra (d) Time-resolved transient PL decay of  $In_2O_3$ , CuO and  $In_2O_3$ -CuO.



Figure S12. DRS of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CuO and In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO.



Figure S13. Tauc plots of (a)  $In_2O_3$ , (b) CuO and Mott-Schottky plots of (a)  $In_2O_3$ , (b) CuO.



**Figure S14.** Z-scheme model of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-CuO photocatalyst and its charge transfer process under light irradiation.