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## 1. General information

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification, THF was distilled over sodium/benzophenone.

Isolation of products was performed using column chromatography (Acros Organics, silica gel 0.060.200 mm ) or using preparative flash chromatograph InterChim PuriFlash; DCM-MeOH binary system was used as an eluent. All details about particular chromatographic parameters are provided with the description of each compound.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, and ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ spectra were recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ on Bruker Avance 300 , Bruker Avance 400 , or Varian Inova 400 spectrometers. Chemical shifts are reported in parts per million relative to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ (7.26 and 77.16 ppm for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ respectively). The following abbreviations were used to designate chemical shift multiplicities: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of dublets, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, quint. = quintet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad, sept $=$ septet; coupling constants are given in Hertz $(\mathrm{Hz})$. NMR yields were determined with reference to an internal standard (dimethyl formamide).

High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were registered on a Bruker Daltonics micrOTOF-Q II hybrid quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer using electrospray ionization (ESI); measurements were done in a positive ion mode. The voltage on the capillary was 4500 V ; range of scanned masses, $m / z$ 50-3000; external calibration (Electrospray Calibrant Solution; Fluka, Germany); nebulizer pressure: 0.4 bar; flow rate: $3 \mu \mathrm{l} / \mathrm{min}$; nitrogen as dry gas ( $61 / \mathrm{min}$ ); interface temperature: $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Analytical gas chromatography (GC) was performed using a Chromatec Crystal 5000.2 gas chromatograph fitted with a flame ionization detector (He was used as the carrier gas, $37 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) and a MS detector. Chromatec CR-5 and Chromatec CR-5MS (30 meters) capillary column were used.

GC settings for the yield determination using FID detector and CR5 column:
The injector temperature was $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split ratio of $50: 1$ at the moment of injection, the FID temperature was $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Column compartment temperature program: $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $2 \mathrm{~min}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, 280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min . Flow rate $2 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, column CR-5. Retention time for $4-$ methoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)aniline is 10.2 min ; for $\mathrm{N}, 1$-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine 10.4 min ; for 4-methoxyaniline 5.5 min .

GC settings for the qualitative analysis using MS detector and CR5-ms column:
The injector temperature was $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, split ratio of $75: 1$ at the moment of injection. Column compartment temperature program: $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4 \mathrm{~min}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}, 250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 min . Flow rate $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. MSD parameters: ion source temperature $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, transfer line temperature $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Retention times ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ) and integrated ratios were obtained using Chromatec Analytic Software.

Reactions with pressure were carried out in autoclaves made from either stainless steel or titanium. The autoclave material had no effect on the reactions.

## 2. Synthesis of osmium complexes

Complexes Os1 [(Cymene) $\left.\mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}{ }^{1}$, Os7 (2, ${ }^{\prime}$ '-bipyridine) $\mathrm{OsCl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}{ }^{2}$, Os9 $\quad$ (2, $2^{\prime}$ bipyridine $)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}{ }^{3}, \mathbf{O s 1 0}\left[\left(2,2^{\prime} \text {-bipyridine }\right)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}^{3}$ were prepared as described in the literature.



A mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}), 1.5 \mathrm{ml}$ of $\gamma$-terpinene $(9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated in a sealed tube at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 hours under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and evaporated to dryness in vacuo. The orange product was crushed in hexane, filtered off, washed with water and dried. Yield:209 mg (79 \%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 2.80$ (sept, $\left.J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{(M e})_{2}\right), 2.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.31\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{1}$

## $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene) } \text { OsI }_{2}\right]_{2}(\mathbf{O s 2})\right.$



A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.126 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaI} * 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in acetone $(5 \mathrm{ml})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 24 hours. The solvent was removed from the resulting dark-brown reaction mixture in vacuo and the residue was washed with water and dried. The product was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, precipitated by adding $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, filtered off and air-dried to afford 128 mg ( $88 \%$ ) of red-brown crystals.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 5.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}), 2.84$ (sept, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.
 $\left(\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 22.8\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$.

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NOsI}^{+}$, $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene) } \mathrm{Os}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) \mathrm{I}\right]^{+} 494.0015\right.$, found 494.0013

## $\left[\left(\eta^{6}-c y m e n e\right) \mathrm{Os}(2,2\right.$ '-bipyridine) $\mathbf{C l}] \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{O s 3})$



A solution of $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 ml ) was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solvent volume was reduced in vacuo to $\sim 0.5 \mathrm{ml}$ and product crystallization was induced by the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. A formed yellow precipitate was recovered by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and air-dried. Yield $61 \mathrm{mg}(86 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 7.84$ (d, $J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar-H}(\mathrm{Bipy})$ ), 7.03 (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (Bipy)), 6.64 (t, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (Bipy)), 6.14 (t, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H (Bipy)), 4.75 (d, $J=5.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene) ), 4.43 (d, $J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene)), 0.90 (sept, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathbf{C H}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 0.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right),-0.61\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 157.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ (Bipy)), $156.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ (Bipy)), $141.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ (Bipy)), $129.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ (Bipy)), $125.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right.$ (Bipy)), $99.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (cymene)), $96.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (cymene)), $79.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $75.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $32.6\left(\underline{\mathrm{CH}}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 22.6\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right)$.

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$517.1071, found 517.1068.

## $\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) Os(Bphen)Cl]Cl (Os4)



A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bathophenanthroline ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081$ mmol ) was stirred in acetone ( 3 ml ) for 1 hour at room temperature. The reaction mixture was evaporated in vacuo to dryness and the product was recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. A formed yellow precipitate fwas recovered by filtration, washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and air-dried. Yield 61 mg ( $72 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 6.59$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in the central ring), 6.43 (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H} C H}=\mathrm{N}$ ), $6.17-5.97$ (m, 10H, Ph-rings), 4.93 (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene)), 4.64 (d, $J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H (cymene)), $1.09-0.88\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right),-0.58\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ ) $\delta 156.5,152.8,148.6,136.5,131.2,131.1,130.4,130.1,128.2,127.1$, $79.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $76.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene) ), $\left.32.5(\mathbf{C} \underline{(M e})_{2}\right), 22.7\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ (cymene)).

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$693.1698, found 693.1701.
$\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) Os(Bphen)Cl]BPh $\mathbf{H}_{4}($ Os5)


A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bathophenanthroline $(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.081$ mmol ) was stirred in acetone ( 3 ml ) for 1 hour at room temperature. Solution of $\mathrm{NaBPh}_{4}(40 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.117 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ was added and acetone was removed in vacuo. The yellow product was filtered off, washed with water and air-dried. Subsequent recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave 64 mg of the product ( $86 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 8.04 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in the central ring), $7.62-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene)), 5.31 (d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene)), $2.38-2.31$ (m, 1H, CH(Me) 2 ), $2.02\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.93\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 163.2$ ( m appears as 4 peaks with equel intensity $\left.-\underline{\mathbf{C}}(\mathrm{Ph})-\mathrm{B}\right), 154.4$, $151.3,147.3,136.5,134.7,130.3,130.0,129.4,128.7,127.4,126.0,125.9,122.2,96.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{C}}\right.$ (cymene)), 94.9 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{C}$ (cymene)), $77.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $75.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $31.3\left(\underline{\mathbf{C H}}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right)$, $22.5\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ (cymene)).

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$693.1698, found 693.1699.
$\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene)Os(Bphen)I] PF $_{6}$ (Os6)


A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsI}_{2}\right]_{2}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol})$ and bathophenanthroline $(19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.057 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred in acetone ( 3 ml ) for 1 hour at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then a solution on $\mathrm{KPF}_{6}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol})$ in
water ( 10 ml ) was added and acetone was removed in vacuo. The red-orange product was filtered off, washed with water and air-dried. Subsequent recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ gave 46 mg of the iodide ( $92 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.63$ (d, $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), 8.09 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in the central ring), $7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H C H}}=\mathrm{N}), 7.58(\mathrm{~m}$, appears as br s, $10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}$ rings), $6.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H(cymene)), 6.07 (d, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene)), 2.81 (sept, $\left.J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H}}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 2.40$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 1.08\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 155.7,150.7,147.0,134.6,129.8,129.0,128.5,126.9,125.7,98.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}-\mathrm{C}}\right.$ (cymene) ), $93.66\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{C}\right.$ (cymene)), $76.52\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene)), $76.48\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene) ), 31.6 $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{C H A r}(\right.$ Cymene $\left.)\right), 22.1\left(\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHAr}(\right.$ Cymene $\left.)\right), 19.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ (cymene)).

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsI}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+} 785.1065$, found 785.1064.

## (2,2'-bipyridine) $\mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{C O})_{2}($ Os7 $)$



A 10 ml stainless steel autoclave was charged with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}(61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}), 2,2$ '-bipyridine ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), formic acid ( 1.5 ml ) and $37 \%$ formaldehyde in water $(0.3 \mathrm{ml})$. The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 atm of CO, and then pressurized with 30 atm of CO. The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After 20h, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The solvent was removed from the reaction mixture in vacuo and the crude product was extracted by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Organic extracts were concentrated and the residue was purified using column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ was used as stationary phase), eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After concentrating the mixture in vacuo to $\sim 1 \mathrm{ml}$, the complex was crystallized by addition of hexane. The formed yellow solid was filtered off and air-dried. Yield 14 mg (22\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.17-8.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.79-7.53$ (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.4,155.6,153.1,139.9,128.1,123.5$.
HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{ClOsO}_{2}{ }^{+}[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Cl}]^{+} 438.9873$, found 438.9863 .
(Bphen) $\mathrm{OsCl}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{2}(\mathbf{O s 8})$


A 10 ml stainless steel autoclave was charged with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ ( $61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.136 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), bathophenanthroline ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 0.138 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), formic acid ( 1.5 ml ) and $37 \%$ formaldehyde in water ( 0.3 $\mathrm{ml})$. The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 atm of CO, and then pressurized with 30 atm of CO . The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath. After 20h, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The solvent was removed from the reaction mixture in vacuo and the crude product was extracted by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Organic extracts were concentrated and the residue was purified using column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ was used as stationary phase), eluent: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. After concentrating the mixture in vacuo to $\sim 1 \mathrm{ml}$, the complex was crystallized by addition of hexane. The formed yellow solid was filtered off and air-dried. Yield 38 mg (43\%).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 9.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 8.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in the central ring), $7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} \underline{\mathbf{H} C H}=\mathrm{N}), 7.65-7.61$ (m, 6H, Ph ring), $7.56-7.54$ (m, 4H, Ph ring).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{N}\right), 152.2(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 147.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 135.2\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 130.2$, 129.7, 129.5, 129.4, 126.6, 126.1.

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Na}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 672.9964$, found 672.9985 .

## (2,2'-bipyridine) $\mathbf{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ (Os9)



To a stirred solution of $\left[(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(4 \mathrm{ml})$ a solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(1 \mathrm{ml})$ was added in one portion at room temperature. The color of a solution immediately changed from brown to mulberry-red. The mixture was stirred for 30 $\min .3 \mathrm{ml}$ of water was then added and the reaction mixture was stored at $6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an hour to complete crystallization of a product. Almost black solid precipitate of (bipy) $)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ was filtered off, washed with water, methanol, dried and recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ - hexane mixture. Yield $16 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 8.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 7.11 (s, 2H), 6.88 (s, 2H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 6.12 (s, 2H).

HRMS (ESI-MS) of both (bipy) $)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ and $\left[(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ exhibits the only peak cluster corresponding to $\left[(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]^{+}$. This could be assigned to the oxidation of (bipy) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ during the analysis: calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Os}^{+} 574.0342$, found 574.0364.

## (2,2'-bipyridine) $\mathbf{2}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{3}$ (Os10)



A mixture of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}(147 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine ( $103 \mathrm{mg}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in DMF ( 4 ml ) under argon for 1 hour. The solution was cooled down and the formed NaCl was filtered off. The DMF was removed in vacuo, the product was extracted with methanol from the residue and diethyl ether was added to the extract. The crystallized brown [(bipy) $)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ ] Cl was filtered off and recrystallized from methanol - diethyl ether once again. After filtration, washing with diethyl ether and drying $134 \mathrm{mg}(67 \%)$ of [(bipy) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ was obtained.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ all signals appear as broad singlets) $\delta 21.98,17.97,11.98,7.72,-7.28$, -11.39, -44.00, -51.67.

HRMS (ESI-MS) of both (bipy) $)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}$ and $\left[(\text { bipy })_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right] \mathrm{Cl}$ exhibits the only peak cluster corresponding to [(bipy) $\left.)_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]^{+}$. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Os}^{+} 574.0342$, found 574.0364.

## [(7 ${ }^{6}$-cymene) Os(N,C-napht)Cl] (Os11)



A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(31.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{TlOAc}(21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Schiff base ( 0.084 mmol ) was stirred in methanol $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube and under argon atmosphere for 4 hours. The solvent was removed from the reaction mixture in vacuo, the residue was separated on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent. After removing of the solvents in vacuo the product was obtained as orange-red crystals. Yield 21 mg ( $44 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.44$ (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in ortho-position to Os ), 8.35 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}$ in orthoposition to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ group), 8.13 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}$ ), $7.74-7.67$ (m, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ), $7.42-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-$ H), 5.63 (br s, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cymene})$ ), $5.09-5.01\left(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}\right.$ (cymene) ), $2.23\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right), 2.20$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), 0.95 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ ), 0.75 (br s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.7,163.9,155.5,147.4,136.2,130.8,129.1,127.9,126.7,123.8$, 123.0, 96.6, 90.9, 83.9, 80.6, 72.9, 71.3, $31.2\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C H}} \mathrm{Ar} \text { (Cymene)), } 23.6 \text { (( } \mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHAr}$ (Cymene)), $21.7\left(\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHAr}(\mathrm{Cymene})\right), 18.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ (cymene)).

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{NOsCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$591.1353, found 591.1349.

## $\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) $\left.\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{anis}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ (Os12)



A mixture of $\left[\left(\eta^{6} \text {-cymene }\right) \mathrm{OsCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(31.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.040 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{TlOAc}(21.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.080 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Schiff base ( 0.084 mmol ) was stirred in methanol $(2 \mathrm{ml})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube and under argon atmosphere for 4 hours. The solvent was removed from the reaction mixture in vacuo, the residue was separated on $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as eluent. After removing of the solvents in vacuo the product was obtained as orange-red crystals. Yield 41 mg ( $86 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N}), 7.73-7.41$ (m, 4H, Ar-H in ortho-position to $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{C}$ group $+\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ in ortho position to OMe in the anisaldehyde moiety), $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ar-H in ortho-position to OMe group in anisidine moiety), $6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ in ortho-position to C=N group), $5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ (cymene) ), 5.04 (dd, $J=8.4,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{cymene})$ ), 3.90 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 3.86 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ ), 2.29 (sept, $\left.6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Me})_{2}\right)$, 2.17 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}$ ), $0.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right), 0.81\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 176.4,172.0,161.7,158.6,149.1,140.9,131.1,124.0,122.9,113.8$, 109.2, 95.9, 90.7, 83.2, 79.9, 73.9, 71.9, $55.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 55.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right), 31.3\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{C H} \operatorname{Ar}\right.$ (Cymene)), $23.5\left(\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathbf{C H A r}(\right.$ Cymene $\left.)\right), 21.7\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHAr}($ Cymene $\left.)\right), 18.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Ar}\right.$ (Cymene)).

HRMS (ESI-MS): calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}^{+}[\mathrm{M}]^{+}$601.1415, found 601.1419.

## 3. Catalyst Screening and Conditions Optimization: Reaction of 4methoxybenzaldehyde with 4-methoxyaniline



General procedure: A glass vial in a 10 mL stainless steel or titanium autoclave was charged with the prescribed quantity of the catalyst, co-catalyst, 4-methoxyaniline ( $24.7-98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 50-200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.1$ - 0.4 mmol ), 4-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 0.4 mL of the corresponding solvent if mentioned. The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, then charged with the indicated pressure of CO. The reactor was placed into a preheated oil bath. After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled down to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to 5 mL , and then a sample of the resulting solution was analyzed by GC. Unless otherwise mentioned, the yields were determined by GC.

All experiments were reproduced at least two times.

Table S1 Comparison of catalytic activity of different complexes.


Os1



Os5


Os2


Os6


Os7


Os8


Os9


Os10


Os11


Os12


| Entry $^{a}$ | Catalyst | Yield $^{b}, \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ | 1 |
| 2 | Os1 | 8 |
| 3 | Os2 | 13 |


| 4 | Os3 | 94 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | Os4 | 84 |
| 6 | Os5 | 98 |
| 7 | Os6 | 80 |
| 8 | Os7 | 81 |
| 9 | Os8 | 99 |
| 10 | Os9 | Traces |
| 11 | Os10 | 2 |
| 12 | Os11 | 10 |
| 13 | Os12 | Traces |

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ [Os] $), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar CO, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S2. Investigation of the effects of ancillary anions.


| Entry | [Os] <br> Loading, <br> mol\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os1 }+ \text { Bphen }^{a}, \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os4 }{ }^{b}, \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Os6 ${ }^{\text {b }}$, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anions | - | Cl | Cl | I, $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ |
| 1 | 0.5 | 98 | 84 | 80 |
| 2 | 0.25 | 99 | 79 | 2 |
| 3 | 0.125 | 85 | 53 | 2 |

${ }^{a} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $0.25-0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, $1.5-$ $0.375 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of bathophenanthroline $\mathbf{L} 32-0,5 \mathrm{mg}(6.0-1.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
${ }^{b} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4-m e t h o x y a n i l i n e, ~ 48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4-m e t h o x y b e n z a l d e h y d e, ~ 0.5-0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, 400 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields of the experiments were determined by GC

Table S3. Investigation of the effects of ancillary ligands.

|  |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { x mol\% 2,2'-bipyridine L1, } \\ 50 \text { bar } \mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 22 \mathrm{~h}}]{0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%[\mathrm{Os}]}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Entry | Catalyst | 2,2'-bipyridine <br> L1, mol\% | Yield ${ }^{c}$, \% |
|  | $1^{a}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ | - | 1 |
|  | $2^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ | 1.5 | 89 |
|  | $3^{a}$ | Os1 | - | 8 |
|  | $4^{b}$ | Os1 | 1.5 | 89 |
|  | $5^{a}$ | Os2 | - | 13 |
|  | $6^{\text {b }}$ | Os2 | 1.5 | 99 |
|  | $7^{a}$ | Os9 | - | Traces |
|  | $8^{b}$ | Os9 | 1.5 | 5 |
|  | $9^{a}$ | Os10 | - | 2 |
|  | $10^{b}$ | Os10 | 1.5 | 2 |
|  | $11^{a}$ | Os11 | - | 10 |
|  | $12^{b}$ | Os11 | 1.5 | 71 |
|  | $13^{a}$ | Os12 | - | Traces |
|  | $14^{b}$ | Os12 | 1.5 | 15 |

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ [Os] $), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar CO, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
 $\mu \mathrm{L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{c}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S4. Investigation of the effect of $\mathbf{2 , 2}$ '-bipyridine amount

${ }^{a} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6} 0.9 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine $\mathbf{L 1} 0.32-3.2 \mathrm{mg}(2.0-20 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar CO$, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
Table S5. Screening of ancillary ligands ( $\mathbf{N a}_{2} \mathbf{O s C l}_{6}$ ).

${ }^{a} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6} 0.9 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of ligand, $98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S6. Screening of ancillary ligands ([(p-cymene)OsCl $\left.\mathbf{2}_{2}\right]_{2} \mathbf{O s} 1$ ).

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of ligand, $98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}), 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4$ mmol) 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $320 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+80 \mu \mathrm{LEtOH}, 50$ bar CO, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S7. Investigation of the temperature effect.

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine $\mathbf{L} 10.32 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar CO , corresponding temperature, 22 h .
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S8. Screening of solvents.


| Entry ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Solvent | Yield ${ }^{c}$, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | THF ( $20 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 12 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(20 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 11 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{MeCN}\left(20 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 10 |
| 4 | Dioxane ( $20 \mathrm{ppm} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 7 |
| 5 | EtOAc ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 25 |
| 6 | $t$-BuOMe ( $0.5 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 10 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 88 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}\left(0.03 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 15 |
| $9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(0.0096 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 65 |
| $10^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(0.014 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 62 |
| $11^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{EtOH}\left(1.4 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 67 |
| $12^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{EtOH}\left(4.86 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 62 |
| $13^{\text {b }}$ | i-PrOH ( $0.0092 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 41 |
| $14^{\text {b }}$ | i-PrOH ( $0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) | 31 |
| 15 | $t-\mathrm{BuOH}\left(0.1 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | 16 |

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine $\mathbf{L} 10.32 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of corresponding solvent, 50 bar $\mathrm{CO}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The proportion of water was measured by Fischer titration.
${ }^{c}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
Table S9. Assessment of ethanol as a cosolvent

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}-$ bipyridine $\mathbf{L 1} 0.32 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{EtOH}$ mixtures, 50 bar $\mathrm{CO}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S10. Investigation of pressure influence on the Os-catalyzed alkylation.

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine $\mathbf{L 1} 0.32 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, corresponding preassure, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
Table S11. Effect of the stoichiometric ratio of reagents.

${ }^{a}$ Osmium catalyst Os1 $0.8 \mathrm{mg}(1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 2,2^{\prime}-$ bipyridine L1 $0.32 \mathrm{mg}(2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 24.7-98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.2-0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4-$ methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$.
${ }^{b}$ The yield was calculated relative to 4-methoxyaniline.
${ }^{c}$ Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.

Table S12. Investigation of the catalysts' loading


| Entry | [Os] <br> Loading, mol\% | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6} \\ +\mathrm{BiPy}^{\mathbf{L 1}{ }^{a}, \%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os1+ BiPy } \\ \mathbf{L 1}^{b}{ }^{b}, \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os2+Bipy } \\ \mathbf{L 1}^{a}, \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Os3 } \\ & c, \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os3 }+ \\ \text { BiPy L1 }{ }^{a}, \\ \% \\ \text {, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os3 } \\ 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{d}, \% \end{gathered}$ | Os4 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, \% | Os7 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, \% | Os8 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, \% | Os9 ${ }^{\text {c }}$, \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Os9+ BiPy } \\ \mathbf{L 1}^{a}, \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0,5 | 89 | 88 | 99 | 94 | - | - | 84 | 81 | 99 | 0 | 5 |
| 2 | 0,25 | 76 | 44 | 97 | 86 | - | - | 79 | 72 | 98 | 0 | - |
| 3 | 0,125 | 64 | 34 | 78 | 23 | 52 | 58 | 61 | 6 | 6 | 0 | - |
| 4 | 0,0625 | 30 | 26 | 54 | 12 | - | - | 18 | 25 | 6 | 0 | - |

${ }^{a} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $0.5-0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 2,2'-bipyridine $0.96 \mathrm{mg}(6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 50 bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h}$. Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
${ }^{b} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $\mathbf{3 2 0} \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{8 0} \boldsymbol{\mu L E t O H}, 0.25-0.03125 \mathrm{~mol} \% \%$ of catalyst, $\mathbf{1 . 5} \mathbf{~ m o l} \%$ of 2,2'-bipyridine L1, Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
${ }^{c} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxybenzaldehyde, $0.5-0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50 \mathrm{bar} \mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 22 \mathrm{~h} . \mathrm{Yields}$ of the experiments were determined by GC.
${ }^{d} 98.8 \mathrm{mg}(0.8 \mathrm{mmol}) 4$-methoxyaniline, $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}(0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ 4-methoxybenzaldehyde, $400 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.5-0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of catalyst, $\mathbf{1 4 0}{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$, Yields of the experiments were determined by GC.
《-»- Experiment was not conducted.


## Finally, optimal conditions are:



Os2 ( $0.0625-0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ 2,2'-bipyridine $\mathbf{L} 1,2$ equiv. of amine, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ bar $\mathrm{CO}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 22 h.

Os2 was selected as the benchmark catalyst due to its low cost and relative simplicity. Moreover, the reaction requires minimal loading of this catalyst. Thus, this catalyst represents the optimal combination of catalytic activity and cost.

Other active catalytic systems are listed in the table below along with their price and optimal conditions for catalysis. The prices were calculated on the basis of Sigma Aldrich catalogue.

Table S13. Comparison of the working catalytic systems.


| Entry | Osmium source | Additive | Minimal [Os] loading required for preparative yield | Number of steps for the osmium precursor preparation | Additive/Ligand cost, EUR for 1 g | Yield at the standard conditions using the mentioned catalytic osmium loading, \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ | Bipy L1 1.5 mol\% | $0.125 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 0 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 64 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{OsCl}_{6}$ | BPhen L3 1.5 mol \% | $0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 0 | 549 EUR/g | 99 |
| 3 | Os1 | Bipy L1 $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \\ & \left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{EtOH}\right. \\ & 4: 1) \end{aligned}$ | 1 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 88 |
| 4 | Os1 | BPhen L3 1.5 mol \% | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline 0.125 \mathrm{~mol} \% \\ \text { with } & 0.3725 \\ \text { mol\% } & \text { Bphen } \end{array}$ | 1 | 549 EUR/g | 85 |
| 5 | Os2 | Bipy L1 1.5 mol \% | $0.0625 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 2 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 54 |
| 6 | Os7 | - | $0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 72 |
| 7 | Os8 | - | $0.25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 1 | 549 EUR/g | 98 |
| 8 | Os3 | $1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ Bipy L1 | $0.125 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ | 2 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 52 |
| 9 | Os3 | - | $\begin{aligned} & 0.125 \mathrm{~mol} \% \text {, } \\ & 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ | 2 | $11 \mathrm{EUR} / \mathrm{g}$ | 58 |
| 10 | Os4 | - | 0.125 | 2 | 549 EUR/g | 61 |
| 11 | Os6 | - | 0.5 | 2 | 549 EUR/g | 80 |

## 4. Mechanistic investigations



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s} \mathbf{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 50$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and water ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of Ar , and then charged with $\operatorname{Ar}(50 \mathrm{bar})$. The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 18 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR and LCMS. The main component is CymeneOsBiPyI ${ }_{2}$.

Reaction mixture ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, signal of water was supressed)



Os2
L1
A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s} \mathbf{2}(5.2 \mathrm{mg}, 4.5 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 50$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $1.4 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and water ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 18 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR and LCMS. The main component is $\mathrm{CymeneOsBiPyI}_{2}$, and $(\mathrm{BiPy})_{2} \mathrm{OsCOI}_{2}$ was identified by MS.

Reaction mixture ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, signal of water was supressed)



A high pressure NMR tube was charged with catalyst Os2 ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 8.7 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $2.7 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, $17 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), 4methoxybenzaldehyde $(2.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The tube was sealed,
flushed three times with 3 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 3 bar). The tube was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 16 h . After the indicated time, the tube was cooled to room temperature and the reaction mixture was analyzed by NMR.

Reaction mixture ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ )


Hydrogenation under the developed reaction conditions


A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s} 2(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{N}, 1$-bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methanimine ( 96.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$, water or THF $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, and then charged with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR.

## 5. Synthesis and characterization of the RA products <br> 4-methoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)aniline (1)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4 -methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, water $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $93 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography using InterChim PuriFlash chromatograph in hexane-ethyl acetate gradient system ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.5$ hexane/ethyl acetate/trimethylamine $=4 / 1 / 0.05)$. Isolated as a white solid - $93 \%(90.9 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.21\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.75$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 158.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\left.\mathrm{Ar}^{-}-\mathrm{O}\right), 152.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{O}\right), 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{N}\right), 131.8\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 128.9}\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.06\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{4}$
4-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzyl)aniline (2)


A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, water $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 3-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $86 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $10 / 1 / 0.1(\mathrm{Rf}=0.11)$. Isolated as a yellow oil - $71 \%(69.6 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.05-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.89-6.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.81\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 160.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{O}\right), 152.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{O}\right), 142.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{N}\right), 141.5\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 129.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 119.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 113.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 112.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 49.3$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{4}$

## 4-methoxy-N-(2-methoxybenzyl)aniline (3)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ water $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 2-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $48.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. 86\% NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $10 / 1 / 0.1(\mathrm{Rf}=0.11)$. Isolated as a yellow oil - $80 \%(77.7 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.26(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.98-$ $6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$, 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH $)_{3}$ ), $3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{O}\right), 152.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{O}\right), 142.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{N}), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right),}\right.$ $127.7\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}_{\text {ar }}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 120.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.54\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 110.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 55.4\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 44.6$ $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in an agreement with literature data. ${ }^{4}$

## N -(4-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (4)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s} 2(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ 4-chlorobenzaldehyde ( $56.4 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled
to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $80 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine 20/1/0.1 $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.11)$ to hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $10 / 1 / 0.1(\mathrm{Rf}=0.2)$. Isolated as a yellow oil. Isolated as a yellow oil - $70 \%(69 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.31$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ar} \underline{\mathbf{H}}\right), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.27\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{O}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{N}\right), 138.4\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathrm{ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 132.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{Cl}\right), 128.83$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.78\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.6\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{5}$

## N -(3-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (5)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4 -methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, water $(400 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and 3 -chlorobenzaldehyde ( $45.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $78 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine 10/1/0.1 $(\mathrm{Rf}=0.2)$. Isolated as a yellow oil - $73 \%(72.5 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ar} \underline{\mathbf{H}}), 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ar} \underline{\mathbf{H}}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{ArH}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.28\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{O}\right), 142.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{N}\right), 134.6\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.7\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{6}$

## N-(4-(benzyloxy)benzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (6)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ mmol ), 4-(benzyloxy)benzaldehyde ( $84.9 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO , and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $68 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography using InterChim PuriFlash chromatograph in hexane-DCM gradient system ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.16 \mathrm{DCM}$ ). Isolated as a yellowish solid - $56 \%$ ( 71.2 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.56$ - $7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 4.22\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ).
 $\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\underline{A r}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 115.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 70.1$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 48.8\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{4}$

## N -isopropyl-4-methoxyaniline (7)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(2.32 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $1.92 \mathrm{mg}, 12.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( $98.8 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ water $(800 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and acetone ( $1164 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2000 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$. The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $87 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $10 / 1 / 0.1(\mathrm{Rf}=0.22)$. Isolated as a yellow oil - $67 \%(88 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.79$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.58 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.75 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.55\left(\mathrm{sept}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \underline{\mathbf{C H}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 1.20\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\underline{\mathbf{C H}_{3}}\right)_{2}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 152.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{O}\right), 141.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{N}\right), 115.0\left(2 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 55.9\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 45.3$ $(\mathrm{NCH}), 23.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report ${ }^{7}$

## N-(4-methoxyphenyl)adamantan-2-amine (8)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}$ ( $1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 4-methoxyaniline ( 98.8 mg , $200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-adamantanoe ( $60.5 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $82 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography using InterChim PuriFlash chromatograph in $\mathrm{DCM}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ gradient system ( $\mathrm{Rf}=0.3 \mathrm{DCM}$ ). Isolated as a brown solid - $70 \%$ ( 72.5 mg ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.78$ (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 6.59 (d, $J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), 3.75 (s, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.48(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}), 2.10-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$, Adamantyl), $1.59(\mathrm{~m}$ appears as d, $J=12.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H , Adamantyl).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 151.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{O}\right), 141.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{N}\right), 115.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 114.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 57.9(\mathrm{NCH})$, $56.0\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 37.9$ (Adamantyl), 37.6 (Adamantyl), 31.7 (Adamantyl), 31.6 (Adamantyl), 27.6 (Adamantyl), 27.5 (Adamantyl).

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{4}$

## 4-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-morpholine (9)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}$ ( $1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), , 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), morpholine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutan-2-one ( $60.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $83 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent/hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=4 / 1 / 0.1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.19)$ to afford $62 \mathrm{mg}(71 \%)$ of the product as a yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.79-3.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 2.81-2.24(\mathrm{~m}$, $7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \underline{\mathbf{C H N}}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $1.90-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CC} \underline{\mathbf{H} H C}), 1.65-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CCH} \underline{\mathrm{HC}}), 1.02$ (d, $J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 67.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $58.5(\mathrm{CHN}), 48.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 35.2\left(\mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathbf{C}\right), 32.9\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 13.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{10}$

## N-benzyl-4-phenylbutan-2-amine (10)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}$ ( $1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), phenylmethanamine ( 87.6 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4-phenylbutan-2-one ( $60.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $84 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent/hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=4 / 1 / 0.1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.17)$ to afford $79.7 \mathrm{mg}(83 \%)$ of the product as a yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.47-7.00(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.79\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right), 2.80-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{NCHCH}_{3}$ ), $2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NH}), 1.88-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CCH} \underline{\mathrm{HC}}), 1.74-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CC} \underline{\mathbf{H} H C})$, $1.16\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 140.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.46\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.45\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 52.1(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 51.4(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}), 38.8\left(\mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 32.4\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right), 20.5$ ( $\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ ).

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{8}$

## N-benzylcyclopentanamine (11)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), cyclopentanone ( $35.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and phenylmethanamine ( $87.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $72 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent/hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=20 / 1 / 1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.33)$ to afford $49.5 \mathrm{mg}(65 \%)$ of the product as a yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), 3.14 (quint., $J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{NCH}$ ), $1.93-1.81$ (m, 2H, Cyclopentyl), 1.78 - 1.65 (m, 2H, Cyclopentyl), $1.62-1.48$ (m, 2H, Cyclopentyl), $1.46-1.34$ (m, 3H, NH, Cyclopentyl).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{C}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 59.2(\mathrm{NCH})$, $52.9\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 33.3$ (Cyclopentyl), 24.2 (Cyclopentyl).

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{9}$

## 4-(2-phenylpropyl)morpholine (12)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}$ ( $1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), , 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), morpholine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2-phenylpropanal ( $48.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $71 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent/hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=10 / 1 / 0.1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.13)$ to afford $50.9 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%)$ of the product as a yellowish oil.

```
'1}\mp@subsup{}{}{1}\textrm{NMR}(400 MHz, CDCl ) \delta 7.34-7.16 (m, 5H, ArH), 3.74-3.63 (m, 4H, OCH2CH2 N), 3.03 -
```



```
=6.6 Hz, 3H, CHCH
```

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 146.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 67.2$ $\left(\mathbf{O C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 66.7\left(\mathbf{N C H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\right), 54.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 37.2\left(\mathbf{C H C H}_{3}\right), 20.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{\underline{\mathbf{C H}_{3}}}\right)$.
The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{10}$

## 4-(3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropyl)morpholine (13)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2, 2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), morpholine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropanal ( $80.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $71 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under
reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=4 / 1 / 0.1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.27$ ), dissolved in diluted HCl and washed with diethyl ether (2 $x 3 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The water solution was basified with KOH , the product extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 3$ $\mathrm{ml})$ and dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After removal of the solvent in vacuo $69 \mathrm{mg}(66 \%)$ of the product was obtained as a yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.73(\mathrm{t}$, $\left.J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.95-2.83\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \underline{\mathbf{C H}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 2.82-2.74\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\underline{\mathbf{C H}}}^{2} 2\right), 2.43$ (br. s, $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ ), $2.35-2.19\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right), 2.18-2.10\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\underline{-1}}^{2}\right), 2.05-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $\left.1 \mathrm{H}, \mathbf{C H C H}_{3}\right), 1.25\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3} 2_{2}\right), 0.87\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\underline{\mathbf{C H}}}^{3} \mathbf{)}\right.\right.$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 146.3\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{ar}}}-\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 138.3\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}_{\underline{\operatorname{ar}}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right)$, $67.2\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 65.5\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}\right), 54.1\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 40.9\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 33.8(\mathrm{CH}), 32.1(\mathrm{CH}), 24.2$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{2}\right), 18.2\left(\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}\right)$.

HRMS (TOF ESI + ): for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NO}^{+}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$calculated $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 262.2165$, found $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 262.2165$.

## 4-(4-methoxybenzyl)morpholine (14)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s 2}(0.29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.0625$ mol\%), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), morpholine ( $70 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 200 \mathrm{~mol}$ $\%, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde ( $48.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO (50 bar). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $80 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using column chromatography, eluent hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $4 / 1 / 0.05(\mathrm{Rf}=0.13)$. Isolated as a yellow oil $-75 \%(65.5 \mathrm{mg})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.23$ (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}$ ), $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 3.79$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), $3.70\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right.$ ), $3.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ph}_{\left.\underline{\mathbf{C H}_{2}} \mathrm{~N}\right), 2.45-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, ~}^{\mathbf{2}}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 158.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{O}\right)$, $130.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}^{-}} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $129.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 113.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 67.1$ $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathbf{C H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 63.0\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{\underline{\mathbf{C H}_{2}}} \mathrm{~N}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 53.6\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \underline{\mathbf{C H}_{2}} \mathbf{N}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{11}$

## 2-methyl-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)propan-2-amine (15)



A glass vial in a 10 mL titanium autoclave was charged with catalyst $\mathbf{O s} 2(1.16 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 0.25$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), 2,2'-bipyridine ( $0.96 \mathrm{mg}, 6.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), water ( $400 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), 1-naphthaldehyde ( $54 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $100 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and tert-butylamine ( $421.6 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 500 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The autoclave was sealed, flushed three times with 10 bar of CO, and then charged with CO ( 50 bar ). The reactor was placed into a preheated to $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ oil bath for 22 h . After the indicated time, the reactor was cooled to room temperature and depressurized. The reaction mixture was transferred into a measuring flask and diluted with dichloromethane to $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{ml}$ aliquot was analyzed by NMR. $63 \%$ NMR yield. Purification: DCM fraction was washed with water, after that solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (eluent/hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine $=20 / 1 / 1, \mathrm{Rf}=0.41)$ to afford $43.8 \mathrm{mg}(51 \%)$ of the product as a yellowish oil.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.17$ (d, $\left.J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}\right), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.78$ (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 7.62-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 4.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right), 1.30\left(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 137.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 134.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 132.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}-\mathrm{C}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 127.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 126.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 125.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 123.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Ar}}\right), 51.0\left(\underline{\mathbf{C}}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 44.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, $29.3\left(\mathrm{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)$.

The obtained NMR data are in agreement with the literature report. ${ }^{12}$

## 6. Details of DFT calculations

Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations for the decarboxylation stage of the WGSR catalyzed by osmium complexes were carried out using Gaussian 09 software (revision D.01) ${ }^{13}$ applying the M06-L functional ${ }^{14}$ with SDD basis set. Water solvation was included using SMD model. ${ }^{15}$ The optimized geometries were verified to have no negative frequencies for all intermediates and only one negative frequency for the transition states.

## Cartesian coordinates optimized at the M06-L/SDD level

$\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$(the COOH group is opposite to the CO ligand)

| 76 | -0.039379000 | 0.483018000 | 0.509308000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | -1.961343000 | 1.528528000 | 1.853353000 |
| 17 | -0.230623000 | -1.607191000 | 1.938434000 |
| 6 | 0.138747000 | 2.121886000 | -0.412878000 |
| 6 | 1.384612000 | -0.328525000 | -0.416960000 |
| 8 | 0.248253000 | 3.157063000 | -0.970186000 |
| 8 | 2.277853000 | -0.853790000 | -0.980577000 |
| 6 | 1.348368000 | 1.141693000 | 1.998951000 |
| 8 | 2.582833000 | 0.905886000 | 2.016470000 |
| 6 | -1.438457000 | -0.249070000 | -0.698049000 |
| 8 | -2.294741000 | -0.670181000 | -1.378457000 |
| 8 | 0.870267000 | 1.869436000 | 3.098687000 |
| 1 | -0.109252000 | 2.004692000 | 3.027172000 |

TS for decarboxylation of $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$(the COOH group is opposite to the CO ligand)

| 76 | -0.153717000 | 0.468903000 | 0.512197000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | -2.097293000 | 1.445752000 | 1.853487000 |
| 17 | -0.357340000 | -1.655231000 | 1.858846000 |
| 6 | -0.009043000 | 2.131270000 | -0.386945000 |
| 6 | 1.363605000 | -0.256690000 | -0.361020000 |
| 8 | 0.068853000 | 3.172354000 | -0.932286000 |
| 8 | 2.309766000 | -0.717526000 | -0.883603000 |
| 6 | 1.428155000 | 1.146112000 | 2.118479000 |
| 8 | 2.461396000 | 0.441430000 | 2.190531000 |
| 6 | -1.399091000 | -0.290494000 | -0.786483000 |
| 8 | -2.167942000 | -0.738916000 | -1.547307000 |
| 8 | 1.152050000 | 2.250196000 | 2.850317000 |
| 1 | 0.121531000 | 1.553019000 | 2.146242000 |

$\left[\mathrm{Os}(\mathrm{CO})_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$(the COOH group is opposite to $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$)

| 76 | -0.059202000 | 0.502054000 | 0.545961000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | -1.966647000 | 1.560066000 | 1.967406000 |
| 17 | -0.337246000 | -1.645307000 | 1.932046000 |
| 6 | 0.126090000 | 2.071888000 | -0.448696000 |
| 6 | 1.480763000 | -0.394373000 | -0.551938000 |
| 8 | 0.234292000 | 3.074236000 | -1.071118000 |
| 8 | 1.996423000 | 0.044332000 | -1.607465000 |
| 6 | 1.257481000 | 1.079777000 | 1.895659000 |
| 8 | 2.035092000 | 1.396380000 | 2.711199000 |
| 6 | -1.416797000 | -0.203391000 | -0.687981000 |
| 8 | 2.029197000 | -1.591387000 | -0.068146000 |
| 1 | 1.530910000 | -1.906869000 | 0.729315000 |
| 8 | -2.249517000 | -0.637496000 | -1.387397000 |


| 76 | 0.015794000 | 0.431533000 | 0.642004000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | -2.008767000 | 1.609772000 | 1.775251000 |
| 17 | -0.578471000 | -1.688431000 | 1.914368000 |
| 6 | 0.439670000 | 2.005238000 | -0.319322000 |
| 6 | 1.356583000 | -0.513658000 | -0.881732000 |
| 8 | 0.699204000 | 2.987180000 | -0.916845000 |
| 8 | 1.204633000 | -0.298324000 | -2.104912000 |
| 6 | 1.129450000 | 1.062656000 | 2.124872000 |
| 8 | 1.735257000 | 1.436677000 | 3.052848000 |
| 6 | -1.359261000 | -0.282160000 | -0.639704000 |
| 8 | 2.265112000 | -1.278307000 | -0.288900000 |
| 1 | 1.456027000 | -0.565249000 | 0.782505000 |
| 8 | -2.196147000 | -0.713044000 | -1.323907000 |

## $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$

| 76 | 1.286658000 | 5.599103000 | 13.014583000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 2.631154000 | 6.185324000 | 15.065689000 |
| 17 | 0.043374000 | 5.229799000 | 10.831790000 |
| 8 | 3.811447000 | 3.990614000 | 12.660532000 |
| 8 | -0.562230000 | 3.718585000 | 14.537181000 |
| 7 | 2.416905000 | 7.129628000 | 11.948940000 |
| 7 | 0.201301000 | 7.436694000 | 13.378228000 |
| 6 | 1.958013000 | 8.419924000 | 12.064845000 |
| 6 | 3.508294000 | 6.878289000 | 11.180471000 |
| 1 | 3.822375000 | 5.845229000 | 11.113258000 |
| 6 | 4.196262000 | 7.893257000 | 10.513476000 |
| 1 | 5.059098000 | 7.647104000 | 9.911732000 |
| 6 | 3.746358000 | 9.214563000 | 10.640844000 |
| 6 | 2.616914000 | 9.477033000 | 11.420138000 |
| 6 | 0.149001000 | 9.842027000 | 13.112614000 |
| 6 | -1.014749000 | 9.915569000 | 13.882716000 |
| 6 | -1.564441000 | 8.732291000 | 14.396789000 |
| 1 | -2.463613000 | 8.743040000 | 14.996064000 |
| 6 | -0.933306000 | 7.518137000 | 14.126427000 |
| 1 | -1.329061000 | 6.585587000 | 14.504989000 |
| 6 | 0.745043000 | 8.595177000 | 12.871358000 |
| 6 | 2.552405000 | 4.072208000 | 12.529238000 |
| 6 | 0.187524000 | 4.451746000 | 13.931413000 |
| 1 | 2.250145000 | 10.488706000 | 11.519165000 |
| 1 | 4.259979000 | 10.022782000 | 10.137858000 |
| 1 | 0.588095000 | 10.743228000 | 12.707258000 |
| 1 | -1.480585000 | 10.872513000 | 14.075105000 |
| 8 | 2.001820000 | 2.898330000 | 11.910165000 |
| 1 | 1.050282000 | 3.061176000 | 11.712958000 |

## TS for decarboxylation of $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$

| 76 | 1.230096000 | 5.544666000 | 12.980380000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 2.491333000 | 6.157784000 | 15.053170000 |
| 17 | -0.322130000 | 5.599919000 | 10.943510000 |
| 8 | 3.928798000 | 4.014807000 | 13.155565000 |
| 8 | -0.502927000 | 3.587535000 | 14.545831000 |
| 7 | 2.376846000 | 7.061737000 | 11.922031000 |
| 7 | 0.161942000 | 7.423061000 | 13.377487000 |
| 6 | 1.936144000 | 8.358907000 | 12.042429000 |
| 6 | 3.465674000 | 6.797532000 | 11.153831000 |
| 1 | 3.765916000 | 5.761996000 | 11.074344000 |
| 6 | 4.167320000 | 7.803627000 | 10.489027000 |
| 1 | 5.027515000 | 7.546065000 | 9.888286000 |


| 6 | 3.735229000 | 9.129425000 | 10.618599000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 6 | 2.608907000 | 9.406366000 | 11.398291000 |
| 6 | 0.167990000 | 9.818046000 | 13.110240000 |
| 6 | -0.986325000 | 9.914228000 | 13.893326000 |
| 6 | -1.560713000 | 8.747225000 | 14.413864000 |
| 1 | -2.452545000 | 8.779557000 | 15.022948000 |
| 6 | -0.958053000 | 7.518867000 | 14.135920000 |
| 1 | -1.363911000 | 6.592846000 | 14.519867000 |
| 6 | 0.730791000 | 8.558548000 | 12.859447000 |
| 6 | 2.764384000 | 4.085506000 | 12.655133000 |
| 6 | 0.186900000 | 4.349916000 | 13.923566000 |
| 1 | 2.257387000 | 10.423356000 | 11.497881000 |
| 1 | 4.260731000 | 9.931744000 | 10.118805000 |
| 1 | 0.622508000 | 10.711968000 | 12.707032000 |
| 1 | -1.425977000 | 10.881633000 | 14.094032000 |
| 8 | 2.365337000 | 3.201428000 | 11.682641000 |
| 1 | 1.293192000 | 4.231265000 | 11.837567000 |

## $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{COOH} . . . \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$

| 76 | 1.529749000 | 5.654959000 | 12.852308000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 2.758381000 | 6.218530000 | 14.981326000 |
| 17 | 0.424258000 | 5.457471000 | 10.565649000 |
| 8 | 4.170258000 | 4.330343000 | 12.390433000 |
| 8 | -0.280679000 | 3.696604000 | 14.313919000 |
| 7 | 2.614992000 | 7.322007000 | 11.938665000 |
| 7 | 0.314477000 | 7.405751000 | 13.261149000 |
| 6 | 2.053040000 | 8.568967000 | 12.081248000 |
| 6 | 3.769301000 | 7.191397000 | 11.235968000 |
| 1 | 4.166066000 | 6.188109000 | 11.156268000 |
| 6 | 4.417979000 | 8.285549000 | 10.660370000 |
| 1 | 5.336396000 | 8.132971000 | 10.111691000 |
| 6 | 3.857769000 | 9.561215000 | 10.809341000 |
| 6 | 2.664600000 | 9.701063000 | 11.522866000 |
| 6 | 0.093156000 | 9.808438000 | 13.092776000 |
| 6 | -1.104884000 | 9.761411000 | 13.810633000 |
| 6 | -1.584808000 | 8.519740000 | 14.251652000 |
| 1 | -2.506659000 | 8.437572000 | 14.809746000 |
| 6 | -0.851733000 | 7.369320000 | 13.961582000 |
| 1 | -1.190772000 | 6.393626000 | 14.282991000 |
| 6 | 0.790887000 | 8.620275000 | 12.827636000 |
| 6 | 2.899150000 | 4.209094000 | 12.371941000 |
| 6 | 0.453725000 | 4.441462000 | 13.698628000 |
| 1 | 2.213467000 | 10.676429000 | 11.639633000 |
| 1 | 4.336129000 | 10.428757000 | 10.375130000 |
| 1 | 0.480952000 | 10.756736000 | 12.747137000 |
| 1 | -1.649513000 | 10.671492000 | 14.022007000 |
| 8 | 2.499848000 | 2.918603000 | 11.952487000 |
| 1 | 1.503227000 | 2.807594000 | 11.861834000 |
| 8 | -0.699339000 | 2.487594000 | 11.432287000 |
| 1 | 2.306523000 | 12.187812000 |  |
| 1 | 3.37506000 | 3.376276000 | 11.065088000 |

## TS for decarboxylation of $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{COOH} . . . \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]^{-}$

| 76 | 1.375686000 | 5.645407000 | 12.863627000 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 17 | 2.392848000 | 6.214699000 | 15.116608000 |
| 17 | 0.154519000 | 5.678658000 | 10.624772000 |
| 8 | 4.198645000 | 4.698062000 | 12.722669000 |
| 8 | -0.569773000 | 3.673134000 | 14.107010000 |
| 7 | 2.501763000 | 7.270903000 | 11.906166000 |
| 7 | 0.229945000 | 7.470971000 | 13.280845000 |
| 6 | 2.006094000 | 8.541280000 | 12.068872000 |

3.546105000 3.879917000 4.165804000 4.994846000 3.693917000 2.598827000 0.233433000 -0.929508000 -1. 512408000 -2.413218000 -0.904774000 $-1.317289000$ 0.799664000 3.002184000 0.225909000 2.198698000 4.155503000 0.698489000
$-1.368817000$ 2.819249000 1.774566000 1.564809000 0.672224000 1.674245000
7.072044000 6.048714000 8.125061000 7.919025000 9.429534000 9.636316000 9.884221000 9.894706000 8.676019000 8.641183000 7.485936000 6.523499000 8.658168000 4.244186000 4.442485000 10.630536000 10.266162000 10.814165000 10.834055000 3.008372000 2.374166000 3.004176000 2.870206000 4.099295000
11.062518000 10.965526000 10.385370000 9.723480000 10.580740000 11.424639000 13.278795000 14.054329000 14.428786000 15.024532000 14.024855000 14.297977000 12.899124000 12.954701000 13.619934000 11.565332000 10.073628000 12.981412000 14.361368000 13.391499000 11.842079000 11.105505000 10.729065000 11.698284000

## $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$

| 76 | 1.269484000 | 5.589536000 | 12.952008000 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 53 | 2.693304000 | 6.188665000 | 15.329637000 |
| 53 | -0.171936000 | 5.158142000 | 10.532890000 |
| 8 | 3.841891000 | 4.099654000 | 12.518353000 |
| 8 | -0.639859000 | 3.732276000 | 14.424517000 |
| 7 | 2.411002000 | 7.143786000 | 11.909080000 |
| 7 | 0.182853000 | 7.440397000 | 13.326066000 |
| 6 | 1.962322000 | 8.436222000 | 12.052444000 |
| 6 | 3.496040000 | 6.902623000 | 11.126297000 |
| 1 | 3.800184000 | 5.868435000 | 11.039509000 |
| 6 | 4.190336000 | 7.926216000 | 10.480334000 |
| 1 | 5.047458000 | 7.685277000 | 9.868031000 |
| 6 | 3.756175000 | 9.248594000 | 10.643822000 |
| 6 | 2.630795000 | 9.502130000 | 11.432087000 |
| 6 | 0.181344000 | 9.853800000 | 13.151623000 |
| 6 | -0.979341000 | 9.922493000 | 13.925863000 |
| 6 | -1.557359000 | 8.732336000 | 14.391737000 |
| 1 | -2.456306000 | 8.739396000 | 14.991591000 |
| 6 | -0.953295000 | 7.516512000 | 14.073268000 |
| 1 | -1.367260000 | 6.577742000 | 14.415466000 |
| 6 | 0.750225000 | 8.604384000 | 12.860831000 |
| 6 | 2.570717000 | 4.074082000 | 12.520128000 |
| 6 | 0.139793000 | 4.450430000 | 13.838393000 |
| 1 | 2.273023000 | 10.514610000 | 11.555551000 |
| 1 | 4.277763000 | 10.064319000 | 10.162134000 |
| 1 | 0.640899000 | 10.760486000 | 12.783297000 |
| 1 | -1.421085000 | 10.881229000 | 14.160798000 |
| 8 | 2.072154000 | 2.779739000 | 12.153771000 |
| 1 | 1.093140000 | 2.814154000 | 12.076292000 |

## TS for decarboxylation of $\left[\mathrm{Os}(\text { bipy })(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})\right]^{-}$

| 76 | -0.178519000 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 53 | -2.841577000 |
| 53 | 2.631437000 |
| 8 | -1.775000000 |

-0.656415000
0.386862000
-1.011151000
$-2.154449000$
$-0.136874000$ $-0.041999000$
$-0.535045000$
2.047498000
-2.252960000
0.650008000
1.285971000
1.951168000
0.229527000
-0.806105000
1.078767000
0.696998000
2.410643000
2.846941000
3.611109000
3.863511000
2.808194000
2.961668000
1.534778000
0.693917000
2.311854000
-2.173732000
-1.637973000
3.866693000
3.092673000
4.413914000
4.862824000
-3.240066000
-2.188460000

## 7. X-ray investigations

Crystals of complexes Os8 and Os12 were obtained by slow interdiffusion of a two-phase system comprising hexane and a solution of the complex in dichloromethane (for $\mathbf{O s 8}$ ) and acetone (for Os12). X-ray diffraction data were collected at 120 K with APEX2 DUO CCD diffractometer using graphite monochromic $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $1 \lambda=0.71073 \AA, \omega$-scans). Using Olex2, ${ }^{16}$ the structures were solved with the ShelXT structure solution program ${ }^{17}$ using Intrinsic Phasing and refined with the XL refinement package ${ }^{18}$ using Least Squares minimization. Positions of hydrogen atoms were calculated, and they all were refined in the isotropic approximation within the riding model. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters are listed in Table S14. CCDC 2075332 and 2075331 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for Os8 and Os12, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Table S14. Crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for Os8 and Os12.

| Compound | Os8 | Os12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Os}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{Os}$ |
| Molecular weight | 649.51 | 600.13 |
| Crystal system | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} / n$ | $P 2_{1} / c$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | $6.961(3)$ | $9.4652(9)$ |
| $b(\AA)$ | $19.454(7)$ | $9.6082(9)$ |
| $c(\AA)$ | $16.217(6)$ | $24.647(3)$ |
| $\beta($ deg $)$ | $95.607(8)$ | $90.999(3)$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | $2185.4(14)$ | $2241.1(4)$ |
| $Z$ | 4 | 4 |
| $D_{\text {calcd }}(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}$ |  |  |
| 2$)$ | 1.974 | 1.779 |
| $2 \theta_{\text {max }}($ deg $)$ | 58 | 54 |
| $\mu($ Mo- $K \alpha)\left(\mathrm{cm}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | 5808 | 58.31 |
| Collected reflections | 12741 |  |


| Independent reflections | $5808\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0597\right)$ | $4884\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0670\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Observed reflections $(I>2 \sigma(I))$ | 3768 | 3625 |
| Parameters | 299 | 276 |
| $R_{1}$ (on $F$ for obs. refls) | 0.0765 | 0.0404 |
| $w R_{2}$ (on $F^{2}$ for all refls) | 0.1486 | 1176 |
| $F(000)$ | 1248 | 0.969 |
| GOF | 1.072 | 1.296 and -1.148 |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 1.939 and -1.029 |  |

## 8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and HRMS of the obtained osmium complexes.

$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{6}} \text {-cymene) } \mathbf{O s I}_{\mathbf{2}}\right]_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s 2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s I}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s 2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## Display Report

## Analysis Info

Analysis Name D:Data\Kolotyrkinal2021'Loginovi0119025.d Method
Sample Name
Comment
tune_ $50-1600 . \mathrm{m}$
/NGKO LD-32
C 20 H 2814 O 2 calibrant added CH 3 CN

Acquisition Date 19.01 . 2021 13:24:27
Operator BDAL*DE Instrument/ Ser\# micrOTOF 10248




[ $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s} \mathbf{( 2 , 2} \mathbf{2}$-bipyridine) $\left.\mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{C l}$ Os3 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ )


## Display Report


[( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s}($ Bphen $\left.) \mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{C l}$ Os4 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ )

$\left[\left(\eta^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) Os(Bphen)Cl]Cl Os4 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right)$


## Display Report



[ $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}$-cymene) $\left.\mathbf{O s}(\mathbf{B p h e n}) \mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{B P h} \mathbf{H}_{4} \mathbf{O s 5}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

[ $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s}$ (Bphen) $\left.\mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{B P h}_{4} \mathbf{O s 5}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## Display Report


$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) Os(Bphen)I]PF $\mathbf{6}^{\mathbf{O s 6}}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\left[\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.\right.$-cymene) Os(Bphen)I]PF $\mathbf{6}$ Os6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## Display Report



## Display Report

Analysis Info
Analysis Name D:DataKKolotyrkina\2021 LLoginovi0112011.d Method tune_50-1600.m
Sample Name /NGKO LG-31
Comment
C 34 H 30 N 2 O 31 callbrant added CH 3 CN

Acquisition Date 12.01 .2021 12:19:10
Operator $\quad$ BDAL@DE
Instrument / Serk micrOTOF 10248

(2,2'-bipyridine) $\left.\mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C O}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s} \mathbf{O}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

(2,2'-bipyridine) $\left.\mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C O}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s} \mathbf{7 ~}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )



## Display Report

| Analysis Info |  | Acquisition Date | 17.11.2020 17:25:47 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Analysis Name | D:DatalKolotyrkinal2020:Loginovi1117035.d |  |  |  |  |
| Method | tune $50-1600 . \mathrm{m}$ |  | Operator | BDAL@DE |  |
| Sample Name | NGKO LD-22 | Instrument/Ser\# micrOTOF | 10248 |  |  |
| Comment | C10H8CIOs mH 355.9991clb added CH3CN |  |  |  |  |



(Bphen) $\left.\mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( C O}\right)_{2} \mathbf{O s 8}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

(Bphen) $\mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{C O})_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s 8}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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## Display Report


(2,2'-bipyridine) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{~ O s 9}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ )


## Display Report

Analysis Info
Analysis Name
Data|Kolotyrkinal2020LLoginovi1124031.

Sample Name /NGKO LD-24
Comment

C 20 H 16 Cl 2 N 4 Os m 518.0218 calibrant added CH 3 CN

Acquisition Date 24.11.2020 17:03:08

Operator BDAL@DE Instrument / Ser\# micrOTOF 10248

(2,2'-bipyridine) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O s C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O s} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{~}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ )


## Display Report


[( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{6}}$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}-$ napht) $\mathbf{C l}] \mathbf{O s 1 1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

[( $\boldsymbol{\eta}^{\mathbf{6}}$-cymene) $\mathbf{O s}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}-$ napht) $\mathbf{C l}] \mathbf{O s} \mathbf{1 1}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## Display Report

## Analysis Info

Analysis Name Method
Sample Name Comment

D:Data/Kolotyrkinal20201Loginovi1208041.d
tune_50-1600.m
/NGKO LD-29
C 27 H 26 NOsCl calibrant added CH 3 CN

Acquisition Date 08.12.2020 16:50:53

Operator BDAL@DE
Instrument / Ser\# micrOTOF 10248


[ $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.$-cymene) $\left.\mathbf{O s}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{a n i s}) \mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{O s 1 2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

[ $\left(\boldsymbol{\eta}^{6}\right.$-cymene) $\left.\mathbf{O s}(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{a n i s}) \mathbf{C l}\right] \mathbf{O s 1 2}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


| , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 230 | 220 | 210 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 |  |  | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 0 | -10 |

## Display Report

| Analysis Info |  | Acquisition Date | 17.11.2020 17:39:23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Name | D: DataVKolotyrkina)2020 Loginovi1117036.d |  |  |  |
| Method | tune_50-1600.m | Operator | BDAL*DE |  |
| Sample Name | /NGKO LD-23 | Instrument / Ser* | microtof | 10248 |
| Comment | C 25 H 28 NO 2 Os mH 567.1808 clb added CH 3 CN |  |  |  |



9. $\quad{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the RA products.

4-methoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)aniline (1) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

methoxy-N-(4-methoxybenzyl)aniline (1) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzyl)aniline (2) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-methoxy-N-(3-methoxybenzyl)aniline (2) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-methoxy-N-(2-methoxybenzyl)aniline (3) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-methoxy-N-(2-methoxybenzyl)aniline (3) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\mathbf{N}$-(4-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (4) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-(4-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (4) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


| $T$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | T | 1 | 1 | T | T | 1 | T | , | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| !10 | 200 | 190 | 180 | 170 | 160 | 150 | 140 | 130 | 120 | 110 | 100 | 90 | 80 | 70 | 60 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 20 | 10 | C |

$\mathbf{N}$-(3-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (5) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\mathbf{N}$-(3-chlorobenzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (5) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


[^1]N-(4-(benzyloxy)benzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (6) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

$\mathbf{N}$-(4-(benzyloxy)benzyl)-4-methoxyaniline (6) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-isopropyl-4-methoxyaniline (7) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-isopropyl-4-methoxyaniline (7) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-(4-methoxyphenyl)adamantan-2-amine (8) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


$\mathbf{N}$-(4-methoxyphenyl)adamantan-2-amine (8) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-morpholine (9) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(4-phenylbutan-2-yl)-morpholine (9) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-benzyl-4-phenylbutan-2-amine (10) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-benzyl-4-phenylbutan-2-amine (10) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-benzylcyclopentanamine (11) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


N-benzylcyclopentanamine (11) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(2-phenylpropyl)morpholine (12) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(2-phenylpropyl)morpholine (12) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropyl)morpholine (13) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


4-(3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-2-methylpropyl)morpholine (13) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{(101} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
(

Display Report

Analysis Info
Analysis Name D:Data\Kolotyrkina'2021\Novikov10414023.d
Method
D:DataVKolotyrkina'2021/Novikov10414023.d
tune $50-1600 . \mathrm{m}$ tune_50-1600.m
$/ \mathrm{MNOV}$ LB-05-iPr
C17H27NO mH 262.2165 calibrant added CH 3 CN
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17 H2er


4-(4-methoxybenzyl)morpholine (14) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
(

4-(4-methoxybenzyl)morpholine (14) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


2-methyl-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)propan-2-amine (15) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


2-methyl-N-(naphthalen-1-ylmethyl)propan-2-amine (15) ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $101 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )
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