Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Supporting Information

The effect of Fe (III) ions on oxygen-vacancy-rich BiVO₄ in photocatalytic oxygen evolution reaction

Wenjun Han^{a,1}, Huiwen Lin^{a,1}, Fan Fang^a, Yaqian Zhang^a, Kai Zhang^b, Xu Yu^c, Kun Chang^{a,*}

^a W. Han, Dr. H. Lin, Dr. F. Fang, Y. Zhang, Prof. K. Chang
College of Materials Science and Technology
Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Electrochemical Energy Storage Technologies,
Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics,
Nanjing 210016, P. R. China.
^b Prof. K. Zhang
College of Energy and Power Engineering
Nanjing University of Aeronautics and Astronautics
Nanjing 210016, P. R. China.
^c Prof. X Yu
School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering
Yangzhou University
Yangzhou, 225002, China.



Figure S1. a) XRD patterns, b) the ratio of crystal plane $\{110\}$ to $\{040\}$, c) UV-vis spectra and d) the corresponding Tauc plot of the BiVO₄ particles by different reaction times with the assistance of the urea.



Figure S2. SEM images of the refined $BiVO_4$ particles synthesized within a) 6 h, b) 12 h, c) 24 h and d) 36 h.



Figure S3. High-resolution XPS spectra of the refined $BiVO_4$ particles of a) sum, b) Bi 4f, of the large $BiVO_4$ particles of c) sum, d) Bi 4f.



Figure S4. Photocatalytic O_2 evolution of the refined $BiVO_4$ that were prepared within 24h in AgNO₃ sacrificial agents.



Figure S5. Photocatalytic O₂ evolution of commercial BiVO₄ in AgNO₃ sacrificial agents.



Figure S6. XRD patterns of commercial BiVO₄.



Figure S7. UV-vis spectra of commercial BiVO₄.



Figure S8. Tauc plot for the corresponding UV-vis spectra of commercial BiVO₄.



Figure S9. Images of the colorimetric test for the NO_2^- ion that was generated in the photocatalytic process for the refined BiVO₄ under a) AgNO₃, b) Fe(NO₃)₃, c) Fe₂(SO₄)₃ and d) KNO₃ sacrificial agents.



Figure S10. As mentioned in main text, The BiVO₄ film photoanode was prepared via the electrodeposition method. 0.04 M Bi(NO₃)₃ was dissolved in 50 ml 0.4 M KI solution which pH was adjusted to 1.7 by HNO₃. At the same time, 0.23 M p-benzoquinone was added to 20 ml ethyl alcohol. Then, these two kinds of solution were poured together and mixed under rapid stirring until the solution became clear. The Bi species layer was deposited in the above solution by applying a repetitive sequence of passing 0.1 C/cm² at a potential of -0.1 V versus Ag/AgCl. Then, 200 μ l 0.2M VO(acac)₂ in DMSO was placed on BiOI and calcination at 450°C for 2 h.



Figure S11. Light intensity test of monochromatic filter for AQE. The monochromatic light value was taken an average for 9 points. It is noticeable that when testing the monochrome filter, the cooling water filter is needed and the current density of light source must to be adjusted to minimum, to avoid the monochrome filter broken.



Figure S12. The apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) measurement of BiVO₄ at 420 nm in AgNO₃ solution.

According to the following equations:

$$AQE (\%) = \frac{Number of reacted electrons}{Number of incident photons} \times 100\%$$
$$= \frac{Number of evolved O_2 molecules \times 4}{Number of incident photons} \times 100\%$$

 $=\frac{\mu mol \times N_A \times 10^{-6} \times 4}{\frac{I \times A \times t}{E_g \times J}} \times 100\%$

In which $N_A = 6.02*10^{23}$, I (light intensity) = 3.589 mW/cm², $E_g = 1240/\lambda$, (λ =420nm), A (area) = 3.14 cm², t (time) = 60 s, J = 1.6*10⁻¹⁹ j. It could be calculated that the AQE of BiVO₄ at 420 nm in AgNO₃ aqueous solution is 77.8%.



Figure S13. The apparent quantum efficiency (AQE) measurement of $BiVO_4$ at 420 nm in Fe(NO₃)₃ solution.

According to the above equation, in which $N_A = 6.02 \times 10^{23}$, I (light intensity) = 4.91111 mW/cm², $E_g = 1240/\lambda$, (λ =420nm), A (area) = 3.14 cm², t (time) = 60 s, J = 1.6*10⁻¹⁹ *j*. it could be calculated that the AQE of BiVO₄ at 420 nm in Fe(NO₃)₃ aqueous solution is 19.6%.

Atomic number	Element	RSF
8	0	0.780
23	V	2.116

Table S1. RSF of oxygen and vanadium element.

Photocatalyst	Weight of	Sacrificial	Amount of	Light source	Incident	Oxygen	AQE	Refs.
	photocatalyst(agent	Sacrificial		light(nm)	production		
	g)		agent(mM)			rate(µmol/h)		
BiVO ₄	0.2g	AgNO ₃	12.5	300W Xe lamp	400 nm	680	77.8%(420nm)	This
					cutoff			work
30-faceted	0.1g	NaIO ₃	20	300W Xe lamp	420 nm	57.0	18.3%(430nm)	1
BiVO ₄	C C			-	cutoff			
BiVO ₄ -Cl	0.1g	AgNO ₃	5	300W Xe lamp	400 nm	230.52	34.6%(420nm)	2
	U	0			cutoff			
C02O3-	0.05g	NaIO3	20	300W Xe lamp	400nm cutoff	31	10%(435nm)	3
BiVO ₄)		1				
BiVO ₄	0.003	Fe(NO ₃) ₃	8	300W Xe lamp	400nm cutoff	-	62%(420nm)	4

Table S2. Comparison of oxygen evolution performance and AQE of the $BiVO_4$ samples reported in the recent literatures.

References:

- 1. P. Li, X. Chen, H. He, X. Zhou, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1703119.
- Q. Zhang, M. Liu, W. Zhou, Y. Zhang, W. Hao, Y. Kuang, H. Liu, D. Wang, L. Liu and J. Ye, Nano Energy, 2021, 81, 105651.
- 3. J. Wang and F. E. Osterloh, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2014, 2, 9405-9411.
- Y. Zhao, C. Ding, J. Zhu, W. Qin, X. Tao, F. Fan, R. Li and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, 59, 9653-9658.