Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Catalysis Science & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

Electronic Supplementary Information

Reactivity of Pd-MO encapsulated catalytic systems for CO oxidation

Laura Paz Herrera,^{a‡} Lucas Freitas de Lima e Freitas,^{b‡} Jiyun Hong,^c Adam S. Hoffman,^c Simon R. Bare,^c

Eranda Nikolla*^b and J. Will Medlin*^a

^a Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA

80303

^b Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, USA

48202

^c Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park, CA, USA 94025

*Corresponding authors

Email: medlin@colorado.edu (J. Will Medlin)

Email: erandan@wayne.edu (E. Nikolla)

*Equal Contributions

 Table of Contents

 Supplementary Figures

 2

 Supplementary Tables

 14



Figure S1: Transmission electron micrographs (TEM) of (a) Pd_{PEG} NPs and (b) Pd_{TOP} NPs in solution along with the histograms of the corresponding particle size distribution (panels (c), and (d), respectively).



Figure S2: XRD spectra of (a) TiO_2 -based catalysts, (b) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$, and (c) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ using an RP-M pretreatment within tetragonal anatase TiO_2 , cubic cerianite CeO_2 , and tetragonal ZrO_2 as a reference, respectively.



Figure S3: N₂ physisorption isotherms and pore size distribution plots of TiO₂ films on Pd NPs a) $Pd_{TOP}@TiO_2$, b) $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$, c) Pd_{TOP}/TiO_2 , d) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$, and e) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ using an RP-M pretreatment.



Figure S4: Light-off curves for CO oxidation reaction on encapsulated ($Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$, $Pd_{TOP}@TiO_2$) and supported catalysts (Pd_{TOP}/TiO_2), performed in gas-phase reactor. Before reaction, the catalysts underwent RP-M pretreatment.



Figure S5: Pd K-edge XANES spectra for CO oxidation recorded during heating processes.



Figure S6: XANES spectra of Pd_{TOP} @TiO₂ before and after CO oxidation.



Figure S7: CO conversion during CO oxidation over $Pd_{TOP}@TiO_2$ and $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$, as measured by MS during XAS experiments.



8

Figure S8: CO DRIFTS spectra of the carbonyl stretch region (1800 – 2200 cm⁻¹) obtained during minute 5 of CO purge in Ar of (i) $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$ before in-situ CO oxidation reaction, (ii) $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$ after reaction, (iii) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ before insitu CO oxidation reaction, (iv) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ after reaction, (v) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ before in-situ CO oxidation reaction, and (vi) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ after reaction.



Figure S9: CO DRIFTS full spectra obtained during minute 5 of CO purge in Ar of (i) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ before in-situ CO oxidation reaction, (ii) $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ after reaction, (iii) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ before in-situ CO oxidation reaction, (iv) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ after reaction, (v) $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$ after reaction, (v) $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$ before in-situ CO oxidation reaction, and (vi) $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$ after reaction.



Figure S10: High-resolution scanning transmission electron micrographs (STEM) using high-angle annular dark-field imaging (HAADF) of (a.1) Pd_{PEG} @TiO₂, (b.1) Pd_{PEG} @CeO₂ and (c.1) Pd_{PEG} @ZrO₂. (a.2), (b.2) and (c.2) show the related energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) elemental maps of these HAADF-STEM images (Pd: blue, Ti: magenta, Ce: red and Zr: yellow). A redox pretreatment of 400 °C for the oxidation step and 200 °C for the reduction step was used for all samples prior to analysis.



 2θ (degrees) Figure S11: XRD spectrum of Pd_{PEG}@ZrO₂ using a redox pretreatment at 400 °C for the oxidation step and 200 °C for the reduction step within tetragonal ZrO₂ as reference.



Figure S12: HD exchange rate for $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$, $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$, and $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ catalysts before pretreatment, after RP-M (moderate-temperature oxidative-reductive treatment) and after RP-H (high-temperature oxidative-reductive treatment). HD exchange activity is normalized to the scrambling rates obtained with the control catalyst (5 wt.% Pd/Al_2O_3).

Sample Name		LCF Results (%)		LCF Parameters		
		Pd foil	PdO	R-factor	χ-2	Reduced χ^{-2}
Pd _{TOP} @TiO ₂	After pretreatment	86	14	0.0031	0.15	0.00050
	Postreaction in He	91	9	0.0017	0.08	0.00027
	Postreaction in H_2	87	13	0.0067	0.32	0.0011
Pd _{PEG} @TiO ₂	After pretreatment	99	1	0.00042	0.020	0.000072
	Postreaction in He	14	86	0.00068	0.038	0.00012
	Postreaction in H_2	35	65	0.00064	0.034	0.00011

Table S1: Summary of linear combination fit (LCF) results of the Pd K-edge XANES spectra of $Pd_{TOP}@TiO_2$ and $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$.

Sample Name	Light off at T ₅₀ , °C (RP-M)	E _{a^{app}, kJ mol⁻¹ (RP-M)}	Light off at T ₅₀ , °C (RP-H)	E _a ^{app} , kJ mol ⁻¹ (RP-H)
Pd _{PEG} @ZrO ₂	194 ± 4.64	43.8 ± 0.04	217 ± 0.47	52.0 ± 0.10
Pd _{PEG} @TiO ₂	227 ± 1.89	55.0 ± 0.04	229 ± 5.66	59.8 ± 0.08
$Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$	234 ± 1.25	57.1 ± 0.05	242 ± 1.70	63.7 ± 0.07

Table S2: Summary of CO oxidation light-off temperatures and apparent activation energies at moderate temperature (RP-M) and high temperature (RP-H) pretreatments.

Uncertainties indicate standard deviation of $T_{\rm 50}$ and $E_a{}^{\rm app}$ values for three temperature ramps.

Table S3: Summary of H_2/D_2 exchange rates and estimation of metal surface area and dispersion for $Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$, $Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$, and $Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$ catalysts before pretreatment, after RP-M (moderate-temperature oxidative-reductive treatment) and after RP-H (high-temperature oxidative-reductive treatment).

Sample Name	Treatment	H ₂ /D ₂ scrambling rate [conversion/mg _{Pd}]	Metallic Surface Area [m²/g _{Pd}]	Apparent Dispersion ^b [%]
Control Pd/Al ₂ O ₃	After treatment ^a	9.8 ± 0.3	187.0	42
$Pd_{PEG}@ZrO_2$	Before RP	4.8 ± 0.1	91.2 ± 1.5	20.5 ± 0.2
	After RP-M	7.9 ± 0.1	151.0 ± 0.3	33.9 ± 0.3
	After RP-H	16.5 ± 0.5	315.5 ± 2.2	70.9 ± 2.2
$Pd_{PEG}@TiO_2$	Before RP	3.8 ± 0.1	71.5 ± 2.3	16.1 ± 0.5
	After RP-M	8.4 ± 0.2	160.8 ± 0.8	36.1 ± 0.8
	After RP-H	15.9 ± 0.1	302.9 ± 0.6	68.0 ± 0.6
$Pd_{PEG}@CeO_2$	Before RP	0.5 ± 0.3	9.8 ± 0.3	2.2 ± 1.5
	After RP-M	12.7 ± 0.5	12.7 ± 0.5	54.4 ± 1.9
	After RP-H	16.8 ± 0.3	16.8 ± 0.3	71.9 ± 1.5

Uncertainties indicate standard deviations of triplicate runs.

^aThe control catalyst was treated at 250 °C in H₂/He for one hour, same treatment used before CO chemisorption to obtain percent dispersion. ^bDispersion is defined as the fraction of exposed metal active sites obtained from H_2/D_2 scrambling activity.