Supplementary Information

Designing the water-quenching resistant high luminescent europium complexes by regulating the orthogonal arrangement of bis- β -diketone ligands

Mei Li, Yanyan Zhou, Yuan Yao, Ting Gao, Pengfei Yan,* and Hongfeng Li*

Key Laboratory of Functional Inorganic Material Chemistry, Ministry of Education, P. R. China; School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Heilongjiang University, Harbin 150080, P. R. China.

Experimental Section.

Synthesis of Gd₂(BTDA)₃.

To a 7 mL methanol solution of 1,8-bis(4,4,4-trifluoro-1,3-dioxobutyl)anthracene BTDA (0.10 g, 0.22 mmol), Et₃N (0.05 g, 0.44 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to stir for 5 min. To this solution, GdCl₃·6H₂O (0.06 g, 0.15 mmol) in methanol (3 mL) was added dropwise and refluxed under reflux for 2 h. The product was filtered and washed with H₂O (2 \times 10 mL) and dried under vacuum to give the desired product.

Gd₂(BTDA)₃. Yield: 83%. Anal. Calc. for $C_{66}H_{30}F_{18}O_{12}Gd_2$ (1671.43): C, 47.43; H, 1.81. Found: C, 47.61; H, 1.99. ESI-MS: m/z = 1672.4331 [Gd₂(**BTDA**)₃ + H]⁺.

Synthesis of (HNEt₃)[Ln(BTFA)₄].

To a solution of Ligand 4,4,4-trifluoro-1-phenyl-2,4-butanedionate BTFA (0.10 g, 0.46 mmol) and dry Et_3N (0.09 g, 0.93 mmol) in CH₃CN (5 mL), a solution of Ln(OTf)₃ (Ln = Eu, Gd; 0.11 mmol) in 2 mL of CH₃CN was added while stirring, the mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature, and then the precipitates ware formed after the addition of water. Finally, the produce was filtered and dried in vacuum.

(HNEt₃)[Eu(BTFA)₄]. Yield: 81%. Anal. Calc. for C₄₆H₄₀EuF₁₂NO₈ (1115.18): C, 52.33; H, 3.12; N, 1.26. Found: C, 52.26; H, 3.24; N, 1.08. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H). ESI-MS *m*/*z* = 1012.9412 [Eu(BTFA)₄]⁻.



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of 1,8-diacetylanthracene in CD₃CN.



Figure S2. ESI-TOF-MS of 1,8-diacetylanthracene.



Figure S3. ¹H NMR spectrum of BTDA in CD₃CN.



Figure S4. ESI-TOF-MS of BTDA.



Figure S5. ¹H NMR spectrum of BPDA in CD₃CN.



Figure S6. ESI-TOF-MS of BPDA.



Figure S7. ¹H NMR spectrum of BHDA in CD₃CN.





Figure S9. ESI-TOF-MS of L¹.



Figure S10. ¹H NMR spectrum of L^2 in CD₃CN.



m/z Figure S11. ESI-TOF-MS of L².



Figure S12. ¹H NMR spectrum of L^3 in CD₃CN.



Figure S13. ESI-TOF-MS of L³.



Figure S14. ESI-TOF-MS of $[Gd(L^1)_2]^-$.





Figure S16. ESI-TOF-MS of $[Gd(L^2)_2]^-$.



Figure S17. ESI-TOF-MS of [Eu(L³)₂]⁻.



Figure S18. ESI-TOF-MS of [Gd(L³)₂]⁻.



Figure S19. ¹H NMR spectrum of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ in CD₃CN.



Figure S20. ¹H NMR spectrum of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$ in CD₃CN.



Figure S21. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$ in CD₃CN.



Figure S22. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ in CD₃CN.



Figure S23. ¹⁹F NMR spectrum of (HNEt₃)[Eu(L³)₂] in CD₃CN.



Figure S24. ¹H DOSY spectrum of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ in CD₃CN.



Figure S25. ¹H DOSY spectrum of (HNEt₃)[Eu(L³)₂] in CD₃CN.



Figure S26. ¹H NMR spectra (400 MHz, CD₃CN) of ligand L¹ (1.72×10^{-3} M) upon titration of Eu(OTf)₃ (0–1 eq.).



Figure S27. Coordination polyhedra of Eu^{3+} in $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$ obtained from (a) the single crystals structure and (b) the optimized structures. By utilizing SHAPE 2.1 software, the calculated values to estimate the coordination geometry are about the same, 0.498 for obtained and 0.499 for optimized structure with D_{4d} symmetry.

Complexes	Square antiprism	Triangular	Biaugmented
	(D _{4d})	dodecahedron (D_{2d})	trigonal prism (C_{2v})
the single crystals	0.498	1.879	2.426
the optimized structures	0.499	1.882	2.425
$(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$	0.539	1.643	2.543
$(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$	0.594	1.938	2.599

Table S1. Shape analysis of europium complexes by SHAPE 2.1 software.

Table S2. Crystal data of the c	complex (HNEt ₃)[Eu(L ¹) ₂	2].
---------------------------------	---	-----

	(HNEt ₃)[Eu(L ¹) ₂]	
CCDC Number	2054411	
formula	${\sf C}_{73}{\sf H}_{58}{\sf F}_{12}{\sf Eu}{\sf N}_9{\sf O}_{10}$	
Mr	1601.24	
cryst syst	monoclinic	
space group	C2/c	
<i>a</i> (Å)	21.0646(11)	
<i>b</i> (Å)	13.3266(7)	
<i>c</i> (Å)	26.5837(12)	
lpha (deg)	90	
<i>β</i> (deg)	99.442(2)	
γ (deg)	90	
V (ų)	7361.5(6)	
Ζ	4	
ho (g cm ³)	1.445	
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.945	
F (000)	3240.0	
$R_{1},[l>2\sigma(l)]$	0.0375	
$wR_2,[I>2\sigma(I)]$	0.1079	
R ₁ , (all data)	0.0385	
wR_2 , (all date)	0.1091	
GOF on F ²	1.103	



Figure S28. Sparkle/PM3 ground state geometries of (a) $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ and (b)

 $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2].$



Figure S29. Coordination polyhedra of (a) $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ and (b) $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$.



Figure S30. UV-vis absorption spectra of complexes $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$ (black line), $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ (red line) and $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$ (blue line) in a mixture of CH₃CN and water (

 $v_{CH_3CN}/v_{H_20} = 84:16).(c = 1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}).$



Figure S31. Excitation spectra of $(\text{HNEt}_3)[\text{Eu}(\mathbf{L}^1)_2]$ (black line), $(\text{HNEt}_3)[\text{Eu}(\mathbf{L}^2)_2]$ (red line) and $(\text{HNEt}_3)[\text{Eu}(\mathbf{L}^3)_2]$ (blue line) in CH₃CN (1.0 × 10⁻⁵ M).



Figure S32. Luminescence decay curves of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$ (left), $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ (middle) and $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$ (right).





Figure S33. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$

in CH₃CN.



Figure S34. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$

in CH₃CN.



Figure S35. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of (HNEt₃)[Eu(L³)₂]

in CH₃CN.



Figure S36. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of (HNEt₃)[Eu(L¹)₂]



in a mixture of CH₃CN and water $({}^{\nu_{CH_3CN}}/{}^{\nu_{H_2O}} = 84:16)$.

Figure S37. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$



in a mixture of CH₃CN and water $({}^{\nu_{CH_3CN}}/{}^{\nu_{H_2O}} = 84:16)$.

Figure S38. The screenshot of the luminescence quantum yield measurement of (HNEt₃)[Eu(L³)₂]

in a mixture of CH₃CN and water (${}^{\nu_{CH_3CN}}/{}^{\nu_{H_2O}}$ = 84:16).



Figure S39. Phosphorescence spectra of (HNEt₃)[Gd(L¹)₂] (black line), (HNEt₃)[Gd(L²)₂] (red line) and (HNEt₃)[Gd(L³)₂] (blue line) in THF at 77 K.



Figure S40. Phosphorescence spectra of Gd₂(BTDA)₃ in a mixture of CH₃CN and CH₃OH (

 $v_{CH_3CN}/v_{CH_3OH} = 1:1$) at 77 K.



Figure S41. ¹H NMR spectrum of (HNEt₃)[Eu(BTFA)₄].



Figure S42. ESI-TOF-MS of [Eu(BTFA)₄]⁻.



Figure S43. Sparkle/PM3 ground state geometry of (HNEt₃)[Eu(BTFA)₄].



Figure S44. Luminescence decay curves of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^1)_2]$ in H_2O (green line) and D_2O (red



Figure S45. Luminescence decay curves of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^2)_2]$ in H_2O (green line) and D_2O (red

line).



Figure S46. Luminescence decay curves of $(HNEt_3)[Eu(L^3)_2]$ in H_2O (green line) and D_2O (red

line).



Figure S47. Luminescence decay curves of (HNEt₃)[Eu(BTFA)₄] in H₂O (green line) and D₂O



Figure S48. Emission spectra and lifetimes of complexes (HNEt₃)[Eu(L²)₂] in CH₃CN (λ_{ex} = 330

nm, c = 1.0×10^{-5} M; T = 298 k).



Figure S49. Emission spectra and lifetimes of complexes (HNEt₃)[Eu(L³)₂] in CH₃CN (λ_{ex} = 330

nm, c = 1.0×10^{-5} M; T = 298 k).