Co₃O₄/CeO₂ Multi-shelled Nanospheres Derived from Self-templatd Synthesis for Efficient Catalytic CO Oxidation

Xi Liang,^{a,b} Shuaishuai Zhang,^c Meng Zhao,^{a,b} Jing Xu,^{a,b} Yang Yu,^{*a} Shuyan Song,^{*a,b,c} and Hongjie Zhang^{a,b,c,d}

^{a.} State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of

Sciences, 5625 Renmin Street, Changchun 130022, China. E-mail: yuy@ciac.ac.cn; songsy@ciac.ac.cn

^{b.} University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

^{c.} Ganjiang Innovation Academy, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Ganzhou 341000, China

^{d.} Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China

Experimental details

Synthesis of Co-IPA . All chemicals and solvents are purchased from commercial sources and used without purification. In a typical synthesis, 0.5 mmol of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and 0.5mmol of isophthalic acid (H₂IPA) are dissolved in a mixture of DMF (25 mL), ethanol (20 mL) and deionized water (5 mL) to form a clear solution by stirring for 6 h. The solution is then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept at 160 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained Co-based coordination polymer spheres (Co-IPA) are separated by centrifugation.

Synthesis of Co_3O_4 . The Co_3O_4 particles are generated through a thermal treatment in air at the temperature of 400 °C for 30 min with a heating rate of 15 °C min ⁻¹.

Synthesis of n-Co-Ce-IPA. All chemicals and solvents are purchased from commercial sources and used without purification. In a typical synthesis, 0.5 mmol of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and 0.5mmol of isophthalic acid (H_2IPA) and $Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ are dissolved in a mixture of DMF (25 mL), ethanol (20 mL) and deionized water (5 mL) to form a clear solution by stirring for 6 h. The solution is then transferred to a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and kept at 160 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the obtained Co-based coordination polymer spheres (Co-Ce-IPA) are separated by centrifugation. And other precursor solutions with different mole ratio of Co/Ce could be prepared by varying the content of $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ and $Ce(NO_3)_3 \cdot 6H_2O$.

Synthesis of Co_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}. The Co_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2- δ} particles are generated through a thermal treatment in air at the temperature of 400 °C for 30 min with a heating rate of 15 °C min ⁻¹.

Characterization. X-ray diffraction patterns were obtained on a Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer with Cu K α radiation (λ =0.15418 nm). The images of FESEM were measured by Hitachi S4800 scanning electron microscope. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images were obtained with a TECNAI G2 high-resolution transmission electron microscope, operating at 200kV. Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analyses were performed with a Varian Liberty 200 spectrophotometer to determine the contents. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurement was performed on an ESCALAB-MKII 250 photoelectron spectrometer (VG Co.) with Al K α X-ray radiation as the X-ray source for excitation. H₂-Temperature-programmed reduction (H₂-TPR) is treated in 100 mL/min argon at 100 °C for 1 h to remove any adsorbed gases or water. After cooling to 50 °C, the flowing gas was switched to 10 % H₂/Ar, and then the samples were heated from 50 to 900 °C at a ramping rate of 3 °C min $^{-1}$ N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms were measured using an Autosorb-iQ system, and the products were degassed at 300 °C in a nitrogen atmosphere before the measurements. The specific surface areas were calculated according to the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. The average pore size and pore size distribution were determined by the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method using desorption branches. The thermal weight loss (TGA) curves were measured on the Netzsch STA 449F3 TG/DTA pyrogravimetric analyzer, and the samples were heated from 25 to 850 °C at a ramping rate of 10 °C min $^{-1}$ in 50 mL/min air atmosphere. Carbon monoxide temperature programmed desorption (CO-TPD) was carried out in a full automatic instrument (XQ TP-5080, China) and performed in the following procedure: Firstly, 70 mg of the catalyst was mounted in a quartz tube and calcined under a helium stream (30 mL/min) at 200 °C for 1 h. After the catalyst was cooled down to 25 °C, 10 % CO-He was introduced into the system at the

rate of 30 mL/min for 1 h. Then the catalyst was flushed in He flow (30 mL/min) to remove physisorbed CO at 25 °C. Finally, the sample was gradually heated from 25°C to 700°C at a ramp of 10 °C/min. The CO desorption was monitored by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD) and MS.

Performance Evaluation of CO Catalytic Oxidation: The activity for CO catalytic oxidation of the samples was evaluated in a continuous fixed bed reactor coupled online with a gas chromatograph (GC-2014C). The operation conditions were as follows: 50 mg of catalysts, a gas mixture of 1% CO and 20% O₂ in N₂ at a total flow rate of 30 mL min⁻¹, with programmed-temperature rate of 1 °C min⁻¹. The composition of the gas mixture was monitored by gas chromatography.



Figure. S1. XRD pattern of Co-IPA coordination polymer spheres.



Figure. S2. XRD pattern of 10 % Co-Ce-IPA catalyst.



Figure. S3. XRD patterns of $Co_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$ catalysts (x = 12 %, 15 %, 20 %).



Figure. S4. TEM images of Co-IPA coordination polymer spheres.



Figure. S5. EDX elemental mapping of Ce, Co, and O for $Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2-\delta}$ catalyst.



Figure. S6. TEM images of (a) $Co_{0.88}Ce_{0.12}O_{2-\delta}$, (b) $Co_{0.85}Ce_{0.15}O_{2-\delta}$, (c) $Co_{0.8}Ce_{0.2}O_{2-\delta}$.



Figure. S7. TEM images at different heating stages: (a) Co-Ce-IPA nanospheres, (b) 300 °C, 5 min, (c) 400 °C, 5 min, (d) 400 °C, 30 min.



Figure. S8. TGA curves of n-Co-Ce-IPA (n = 2 %, 4 %, 6 %, 8 %).



Figure. S9. N₂ adsorption–desorption curve and pore diameter distribution of Co₃O₄ catalyst.



Figure. S10. N_2 adsorption–desorption curves and pore diameter distribution of $Co_{1\text{-}}_{x}Ce_{x}O_{2\text{-}\delta}$ catalysts.



Figure. S11. (a) XPS spectra, (b) Ce 3d, (c) Co 2p and (d) O 1s XPS spectra of $Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2-\delta}$ catalyst.



Figure. S12. O 1s XPS spectra of $Co_{0.98}Ce_{0.02}O_{2-\delta}$ and $Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2-\delta}$ catalysts.



Figure. S13. CO-TPD profiles of $Co_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$ catalysts.



Figure. S14. XRD patterns of fresh and used $Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2\text{--}\delta}$ catalyst.

samples	Ce/Co
$Co_{0.98}Ce_{0.02}O_{2-\delta}$	0.021
$Co_{0.96}Ce_{0.04}O_{2-\delta}$	0.043
$Co_{0.94}Ce_{0.06}O_{2-\delta}$	0.065
$Co_{0.92}Ce_{0.08}O_{2-\delta}$	0.087
$Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2-\delta}$	0.111

Table S1. The Ce/Co ratio in the samples detected by ICP.

Catalysts	$S_{BET}(m^2g^{\text{-}1})$	pore volume (cc/g)	average pore radius (nm)
$Co_{0.98}Ce_{0.02}O_{2-\delta}$	73.268	0.208	53.85
$Co_{0.96}Ce_{0.04}O_{2-\delta}$	74.805	0.177	46.69
$Co_{0.94}Ce_{0.06}O_{2-\delta}$	80.707	0.260	61.07
$Co_{0.92}Ce_{0.08}O_{2-\delta}$	114.275	0.273	49.20
$Co_{0.9}Ce_{0.1}O_{2-\delta}$	115.568	0.340	46.03
Co ₃ O ₄	26.02	0.058	16.04

Table S2. physical properties of the $Co_{1-x}Ce_xO_{2-\delta}$ catalysts (x = 2 %, 4 %, 6 %, 8 %,

10 %) and Co₃O₄.