Supplementary Information

One-step Synthesis of Amorphous Nickel Iron Phosphide Hierarchical Nanostructures for Water Electrolysis with Superb Stability at High Current Density

Xuefeng Yu, Xun He, Rong Li^{*}, Xinglong Gou^{*}

Chemical Synthesis and Pollution Control key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, China West Normal University, Nanchong 637000, P. R. China

*E-mail address: lirong406b@126.com (R. Li); gouxlr@126.com (X.L. Gou)



Fig. S1 SEM images of Ni₂P/NF (a,b) and Fe₂P/FF (c,d).



Fig. S2 XRD patterns of the as-prepared samples.



Fig. S3 Comparison of the high resolution Ni 2p (a) and P 2p (b) XPS spectra in the NiFeP/NFF and Ni₂P/NF samples.



Fig. S4 Influence of the amount of NaH_2PO_2 (a), phosphidation temperature (b), phosphidation time (c) and heating rate (d) on the OER catalytic performance of the samples. NiFeP/NFF-L and NiFeP/NFF-H were obtained through the same procedure for preparation of NiFeP/NFF by adjusting the amount of NaH_2PO_2 to be 0.3 and 0.5 g, respectively.



Fig. S5 CV curves of the samples at various scan rates during OER process.



Fig. S6 ECSA-normalized LSV curves of different catalysts.



Fig. S7 TOF plots as a function of overpotential of different catalysts during OER process.



Fig. S8 CV curves of the samples at various scan rates during the HER process.



Fig. S9 TOF plots as a function of overpotential of different catalysts during HER process.



Fig. S10 Collection of oxygen (a) and hydrogen (b) evolved from water electrolysis at a current density of 30 mA cm⁻² by water drainage method.



Fig. S11 SEM image (a) and high resolution XPS spectra (b-d) of the NiFeP/NFF cathode after overall water splitting test over 1000 h.



Fig. S12 EDS mapping image (a), CV curves (b) and the non-Faradaic capacitive currents against the scan rates (c) of the NiFeP/NFF cathode after overall water splitting test over 1000 h.



Fig. S13 TEM images (a,b), EDS mapping image (c), CV curves (d) and the non-Faradaic capacitive currents against the scan rates (e) of the NiFeP/NFF anode after overall water splitting test over 1000 h.

 Table S1 Comparison of the OER performance of NiFeP/NFF with other reported non-precious

 electrocatalysts in 1 M KOH.

| Catalyst | Substrate | η 20 (mV) | Tafel slope (mV dec ⁻¹) | Ref. |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--|-----------|
| (Fe-Ni)P@CC@PC-E-15 | graphite electrode | 215 | 38 | 1 |
| Ni-Fe-P/Ni/CS(f.1) | copper sheet | 232 | 60 | 2 |
| Fe _{0.5} Ni _{1.5} P/PC | glassy carbon | 254 | 76 | 3 |
| Co/Ce-Ni ₃ S ₂ /NF | Ni foam | 286 | 71.7 | 4 |
| NiFeP@NPC | glass carbon | 398 | 78 | 5 |
| 3DOM Ni ₃ Fe-P | glassy carbon | 248 | 40 | 6 |
| NiFeP | carbon cloth | 281 | 74 | 7 |
| Ni _{0.9} Co _{0.1} P@NNCs | Cu | 236 | 54 | 8 |
| Ni ₂ P/(NiFe) ₂ P(O)NAs | Ni foam | 181 | 60 | 9 |
| NiFe LDH/Co _{1-x} S | Ni foam | 267 | 41.67 | 10 |
| Ni ₂ P-Fe ₂ P/NF | Ni foam | 230 | 58 | 11 |
| $Fe_{35}Ni_{35}Co_{10}P_{20}$ | self- supported | 302 | 38 | 12 |
| hcp-NiFe@NC | carbon cloth | 245 | 41 | 13 |
| CoP-FeP/CC | carbon cloth | 300 | 131 | 14 |
| Mo-doped Ni ₂ P | glassy carbon | 270 | 68.5 | 15 |
| C-(Fe-Ni)P@PC/(Ni-Co)P@CC | graphite | 266 | 56 | 16 |
| FeNi-P/NF | Ni foam | 257 | 72 | 17 |
| FeP-Ni/NF | Ni foam | 241 | 60 | 18 |
| FeNiP-NP | Ni foam | 199 | 76 | 19 |
| Ni-Fe-P-B | glassy carbon | 283 | 38 | 20 |
| NiFeP/NFF | Ni-Fe foam | 189 | 37.2 | This work |

| Catalyst | Substrate | η 10 (mV) | Tafel slope (mV dec ⁻¹) | Ref. |
|---|--------------------|--------------|--|-----------|
| NiFeP | glassy carbon | 690 | 116 | 21 |
| Cr-doped FeNi-P/NCN | glassy carbon | 190 | 68.51 | 22 |
| NiFeP@C | glassy carbon | 160 | 75.8 | 23 |
| Fe _{0.5} Ni _{1.5} P/PC | glassy carbon | 200 | \ | 3 |
| FeCoNiP@NC | glassy carbon | 187 | 52.2 | 24 |
| NiFeP | glassy carbon | 182 | 69 | 25 |
| Ni-Fe-P-B | glassy carbon | 220 | 63 | 20 |
| Ni-Fe-P-300 | Ni foam | 192 | 142.2 | 26 |
| NiFeOF | self-supported | 253 | 96 | 27 |
| NiFe LDH@NiCoP/NF | Ni foam | 120 | 88.2 | 28 |
| FeNiSe-NS/EG | graphene foil | 187 | 65 | 29 |
| NiCoP/rGO | carbon fiber paper | 209 | 124.1 | 30 |
| NiFe LDH@CoP/NiP ₃ | Ni foam | 151 | 74 | 31 |
| Fe-Ni ₃ C-2% | glassy carbon | 244 | 41.3 | 32 |
| 3DOM Ni ₃ Fe-P | glassy carbon | 120 | 61 | 6 |
| CoFe@NiFe-200/NF | Ni foam | 240 | 88.88 | 33 |
| Ni ₃ S ₂ /NF | Ni foam | 189 | 89.3 | 34 |
| Ni ₂ P-Fe ₂ P/NF | Ni foam | 128 | 86 | 11 |
| Fe _{1.0} Co _{1.1} Ni _{1.4} -NC | glassy carbon | 175 | 168 | 35 |
| CoFeN _x -500 HNAs/NF | Ni foam | 200 | 66.04 | 36 |
| NiFeP/NFF | Ni-Fe foam | 155 | 67.8 | This work |

Table S2 Comparison of the HER performance of NiFeP/NFF with other reported non-preciouselectrocatalysts in 1 M KOH.

| Catalyst | Substrate | Voltage at | Durability (h) | Ref. |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|--|---------|
| | | $\frac{J_{10}(v)}{1.50}$ | $\frac{a}{50} \int (\mathbf{mA} \mathbf{cm}^2)$ | |
| NISe ₂ /3DSNG/NF | Ni foam | 1.59 | 50 @ 20 | 37 |
| FeNi/PNGs | polyamide film | 1.67 | 17 @ 10 | 38 |
| Fe _{0.5} N _{11.5} P/PC | glassy carbon | 1.63 | 16 @ 10 | 3 |
| $MnCo_2O_4(a) Ni_2P$ | Ni foam | 1.63 | 30 @ 10 | 39 |
| Ni/Ni(OH) ₂ | carbon paper | 1.59 | 20 @ 10 | 40 |
| Fe _{0.29} Co _{0.71} P/NF | Ni foam | 1.59 | 10 @ 180 | 41 |
| NiFe LDH@CoP/NiP ₃ | Ni foam | 1.64 | 275 @ 100 | 31 |
| NiFeSP/NF | Ni foam | 1.58 | 20 @ 10 | 42 |
| NiFe-NCNT@MoS ₂ -12 | Ni foam | 1.6 | 12 @ 10 | 43 |
| Fe, Al-NiSe ₂ /rGO | Ni foam | 1.7 | 22 @ 10 | 44 |
| Ni ₂ P-Fe ₂ P/NF | Ni foam | 1.561 | 43@ 500 | 11 |
| C-(Fe-Ni)P@PC/(Ni-Co)P | graphite | 1.63 | 24 @ 10 | 16 |
| np-NiFeCoP | self-supported | 1.62 | 20 @ 10 | 45 |
| CoFeN _x -500 HNAs/NF | Ni foam | 1.592 | 40 @ 10 | 36 |
| Pt/NiO/Ni/CNT-3 | carbon paper | 1.61 | 10 @ 10 | 46 |
| FeCoNiP@NC | Ni foam | 1.73 | 10 @ 10 | 24 |
| Co/CNFs(1000) | self-supported | 1.69 | 10 @ 10 | 47 |
| FeP-Ni/NF | Ni foam | 1.62 | 28 @ 20 | 18 |
| Co/β-Mo ₂ C@N-CNTs | Ni foam | 1.64 | 24 @ 10 | 48 |
| CoP/NCNHP | carbon paper | 1.64 | 26 @ 20 | 49 |
| Ni-Fe-P-B | CFP | 1.58 | 12 @ 100 | 20 |
| np-(Ni _{0.67} Fe _{0.33}) ₄ P ₅ | self-supported | 1.62 | 20 @ 10 | 50 |
| NiCoP/rGO | CFP | 1.59 | 75 @ 10 | 30 |
| 3DOM Ni ₃ Fe-P | glassy carbon | 1.65 | 20 @ 10 | 6 |
| NiFe NTAs-NF | Ni foam | 1.62 | 20 @ 10 | 51 |
| Ni _{1.85} Fe _{0.15} P NSAs/NF | Ni foam | 1.61 | 22 @ 40 | 52 |
| FeNi/N-doped graphene | graphite | 1.701 | 10 @ 10 | 53 |
| E-Mo–NiCoP-3 | carbon cloth | 1.61 | 12 @ 50 | 54 |
| Ni _{0.7} Fe _{0.3} PS ₃ @MXene | Ni foam | 1.65 | 50 @ 10 | 55 |
| NiFeP/NFF | Ni-Fe foam | 1.58 | 1000 @ 500 | This wo |

Table S3 Comparison of the overall water splitting performance of NiFeP/NFF with other reported bifunctional electrocatalysts in 1 M KOH.

References

- X. Zhang, L. Zhang, G. G. Zhu, Y. X. Zhu and S. Y. Lu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, 12, 7153.
- 2 G. B. Darband, M. Aliofkhazraei, S. Hyun and S. Shanmugam, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 53719.
- 3 J. Huo, Y. Chen, Y. Liu, J. Guo, L. Lu, W. Li, Y. Wang and H. Liu, *Sustain. Mater. Techno.*, 2019, **22**, e00117.
- 4 X. Wu, T. Zhang, J. Wei, P. Feng, X. Yan and Y. Tang, *Nano Res.*, 2020, 13, 2130.
- 5 J. Wang and F. Ciucci, Appl. Catal. B: Environ., 2019, 254, 292.
- 6 J. Wang, Y. Niu, X. Teng, S. Gong, J. Huang, M. Xu and Z. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 24572.
- 7 C. Liu, H. Zhu, Z. Zhang, J. Hao, Y. Wu, J. Guan, S. Lu, F. Duan, M. Zhang and M. Du, *Sustainable Energy Fuels*, 2019, **3**, 3518.
- 8 G. B. Darband, M. Aliofkhazraei, S. Hyun, A. S. Rouhaghdam and S. Shanmugam, J. Power Sources, 2019, 429, 156.
- 9 W. Xi, G. Yan, Z. Lang, Y. Ma, H. Tan, H. Zhu, Y. Wang and Y. Li, *Small*, 2018, 14, 1802204.
- 10 F. Du, X. Ling, Z. Wang, S. Guo, Y. Zhang, H. He, G. Li, C. Jiang, Y. Zhou and Z. Zou, J. Catal., 2020, 389, 132.
- 11 L. Wu, L. Yu, F. Zhang, B. McElhenny, D. Luo, A. Karim, S. Chen and Z. Ren, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2021, 31, 2006484.
- 12 S. Jiang, L. Zhu, Z. Yang and Y. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, 368, 137618.
- 13 C. Wang, H. Yang, Y. Zhang and Q. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 6099.
- 14 Z. Niu, C. Qiu, J. Jiang and L. Ai, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2019, 7, 2335.
- 15 Q. Wang, H. Zhao, F. Li, W. She, X. Wang, L. Xu and H. Jiao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 7636.
- 16 C. N. Lv, L. Zhang, X. H. Huang, Y. X. Zhu, X. Zhang, J. S. Hu and S. Y. Lu, *Nano Energy*, 2019, 65, 103995.
- 17 Q. Yan, T. Wei, J. Wu, X. Yang, M. Zhu, K. Cheng, K. Ye, K. Zhu, J. Yan, D. Cao, G. Wang and Y. Pan, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 9640.
- 18 G. Liu, Y. Wu, R. Yao, F. Zhao, Q. Zhao and J. Li, *Green Energy Environ.*, DOI: 10.1016/j.gee.2020.05.009.
- 19 M. Qian, S. Cui, D. Jiang, L. Zhang and P. Du, Adv. Mater., 2018, 30, 1704075.
- 20 W. Tang, X. Liu, Y. Li, Y. Pu, Y. Lu, Z. Song, Q. Wang, R. Yu and J. Shui, *Nano Res.*, 2020, 13, 447.
- 21 H. W. Man, C. S. Tsang, M. M. J. Li, J. Mo, B. Huang, L. Y. S. Lee, Y. C. Leung, K. Y. Wong and S. C. E. Tsang, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2019, **242**, 186.
- 22 Y. Wu, X. Tao, Y. Qing, H. Xu, F. Yang, S. Luo, C. Tian, M. Liu and X. Lu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, 31, 1900178.
- 23 Q. Kang, M. Li, J. Shi, Q. Lu and F. Gao, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 19447.
- 24 J. Sun, S. Li, Q. Zhang and J. Guan, Sustainable Energy Fuels, 2020, 4, 4531.
- 25 Y. Du, Z. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Yang and L. Wang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2018, 457, 1081.
- 26 X. Zhang, N. Chen, Y. Wang, G. Wu and X. Du, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 22921.
- 27 K. Liang, L. Guo, K. Marcus, S. Zhang, Z. Yang, D. E. Perea, L. Zhou, Y. Du and Y. Yang, ACS

Catal., 2017, 7, 8406.

- 28 H. Zhang, X. Li, A. Hähnel, V. Naumann, C. Lin, S. Azimi, S. L. Schweizer, A. W. Maijenburg and R. B. Wehrspohn, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 28, 1706847.
- 29 J. Yang, C. Lei, H. Wang, B. Yang, Z. Li, M. Qiu, X. Zhuang, C. Yuan, L. Lei, Y. Hou and X. Feng, *Nanoscale*, 2019, **11**, 17571.
- 30 J. Li, M. Yan, X. Zhou, Z. Q. Huang, Z. Xia, C. R. Chang, Y. Ma and Y. Qu, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2016, 26, 6785.
- 31 C. Song, Y. Liu, Y. Wang, S. Tang, W. Li, Q. Li, J. Zeng, L. Chen, H. Peng and Y. Lei, *Sci. China Mater.*, DOI: 10.1007/s40843-020-1566-6.
- 32 H. Fan, H. Yu, Y. Zhang, Y. Zheng, Y. Luo, Z. Dai, B. Li, Y. Zong and Q. Yan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 12566.
- 33 R. Yang, Y. Zhou, Y. Xing, D. Li, D. Jiang, M. Chen, W. Shi and S. Yuan, *Appl. Catal. B: Environ.*, 2019, **253**, 131.
- 34 L. Li, C. Sun, B. Shang, Q. Li, J. Lei, N. Li and F. Pan, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2019, 7, 18003.
- 35 M. Khalid, A. M. B. Honorato, G. T. Filho and H. Varela, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 9021.
- 36 D. Li, Y. Xing, R. Yang, T. Wen, D. Jiang, W. Shi and S. Yuan, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2020, 12, 29253.
- 37 J. Zhou, Z. Wang, D. Yang, F. Qi, X. Hao, W. Zhang and Y. Chen, *Nanoscale*, 2020, 12, 9866.
- 38 H. Wang, X. Feng, M. Zhou, X. Bo and L. Guo, ACS Appl. Nano Mater., 2020, 3, 6336.
- 39 J. Ge, W. Zhang, J. Tu, T. Xia, S. Chen and G. Xie, *Small*, 2020, 16, 2001856.
- 40 L. Dai, Z. N. Chen, L. Li, P. Yin, Z. Liu and H. Zhang, Adv. Mater., 2020, 32, 1906915.
- 41 H. Feng, L. Tang, G. Zeng, J. Yu, Y. Deng, Y. Zhou, J. Wang, C. Feng, T. Luo and B. Shao, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **67**, 104174.
- 42 Y. Xin, X. Kan, L. Y. Gan and Z. Zhang, ACS Nano, 2017, 11, 10303.
- 43 T. Wang, X. Zhang, P. Yang and S. P. Jiang, Inorg. Chem. Front., 2020, 7, 3578.
- 44 L. Chen, H. Jang, M. G. Kim, Q. Qin, X. Liu and J. Cho, Nanoscale, 2020, 12, 13680.
- 45 Y. Pang, W. Xu, S. Zhu, Z. Cui, Y. Liang, Z. Li, S. Wu, C. Chang and S. Luo, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **82**, 96.
- 46 Y. Bian, H. Wang, Z. Gao, J. Hu, D. Liu and L. Dai, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 14615.
- 47 Z. Yang, C. Zhao, Y. Qu, H. Zhou, F, Zhou, J. Wang, Y. Wu and Y. Li, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1808043.
- 48 T. Ouyang, Y. Q. Ye, C. Y. Wu, K. Xiao and Z. Q. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 4923.
- 49 Y. Pan, K. Sun, S. Liu, X. Cao, K. Wu, W. C. Cheong, Z. Chen, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Liu, D. Wang, Q. Peng, C. Chen and Y. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2610.
- 50 W. Xu, S. Zhu, Y. Liang, Z. Cui, X. Yang and A. Inoue, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 5574.
- 51 L. Xu, F. T. Zhang, J. H. Chen, X. Z. Fu, R. Sun and C. P. Wong, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 1210.
- 52 P. Wang, Z. Pu, Y. Li, L. Wu, Z. Tu, M. Jiang, Z. Kou, I. S. Amiinu and S. Mu, *ACS Appl. Mater*. *Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 26001.
- 53 L. Zhang, J. S. Hu, X. H. Huang, J. Song and S. Y. Lu, Nano Energy, 2018, 48, 489.
- 54 J. Lin, Y. Yan, C. Li, X. Si, H. Wang, J. Qi, J. Cao, Z. Zhong, W. Fei and J. Feng, *Nano-Micro Lett.*, 2019, 11, 55.
- 55 C. F. Du, K. N. Dinh, Q. Liang, Y. Zheng, Y. Luo, J. Zhang and Q. Yan, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, 8, 1801127.