Supporting Information

Stepwise Assembly of Heterobimetallic Complexes: Synthesis, Structure, and

Physical Properties.

Justin L. Lee,^a Victoria F. Oswald,^a Saborni Biswas,^b Ethan A. Hill,^a Joseph W. Ziller,^a Michael P. Hendrich^b and A. S. Borovik^{*a}

^{*e*}Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, 1102 Natural Sciences II, California 92697, United States

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University, 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, United States

*Email: aborovik@uci.edu

Contents

Crystallography	
Data Analysis of EPR measurements	S4
Table S1	
Table S2	S6
Figure S1	
Figure S2	
Figure S3	
References	

Crystallography

Structure of {K[Fe^{III}poat(OH)]}₂. An orange crystal of approximate dimensions 0.293 x 0.313 x 0.363 mm was mounted in a cryoloop and transferred to a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer system. The APEX2¹ program package was used to determine the unit-cell parameters and for data collection (20 sec/frame scan time for a sphere of diffraction data). The raw frame data was processed using SAINT² and SADABS³ to yield the reflection data file. Subsequent calculations were carried out using the SHELXTL⁴ program package. The diffraction symmetry was 2/*m* and the systematic absences were consistent with the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ that was later determined to be correct. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The analytical scattering factors⁵ for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis. Hydrogen atom H(1) was located from a difference-Fourier map and refined (x,y,z and U_{iso}) with d(O-H) = 0.85Å. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. The molecule was located about an inversion center. Least-squares analysis yielded wR2 = 0.0813 and Goof = 1.063 for 499 variables refined against 10756 data (0.73 Å), R1 = 0.0294 for those 9510 data with I > 2.0 σ (I).

There were several high residuals present in the final difference-Fourier map. It was not possible to determine the nature of the residuals although it was probable that diethylether solvent was present. The SQUEEZE^{6a} routine in the PLATON^{6b} program package was used to account for the electrons in the solvent accessible voids.

Structure of [(TMTACN)Zn^{II}–(\mu-OH)–Fe^{III}poat]OTf. A yellow crystal of approximate dimensions 0.247 x 0.208 x 0.152 mm was mounted in a cryoloop and transferred to a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer. The APEX2¹ program package was used to determine the unit-cell parameters and for data collection (30 sec/frame scan time for a sphere of diffraction data). The raw frame data was processed using SAINT² and SADABS³ to yield the reflection data file. Subsequent calculations were carried out using the SHELXTL⁴ program. There were no systematic absences nor any diffraction symmetry other than the Friedel condition. The centrosymmetric triclinic space group

P1 was assigned and later determined to be correct. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The analytical scattering factors⁵ for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis. The hydroxide hydrogen atoms (H1 and H8) were located from a difference-Fourier map and refined (x,y,z and U_{iso}). The remaining hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. There were two molecules of the formula-unit present (Z = 4). Disordered atoms were included as isotropic atoms using multiple components with partial site-occupancy factors.

Least-squares analysis yielded wR2 = 0.1138 and Goof = 1.016 for 1341 variables refined against 32345 data (0.70 Å), R1 = 0.0504 for those 24496 data with I > 2.0σ (I).

Structure of [(TMTACN)Cu^{II}–(\mu-OH)–Fe^{III}poat]OTf. A yellow crystal of approximate dimensions 0.276 x 0.189 x 0.131 mm was mounted in a cryoloop and transferred to a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer. The APEX2¹ program package was used to determine the unit-cell parameters and for data collection (90 sec/frame scan time for a sphere of diffraction data). The raw

frame data was processed using SAINT² and SADABS³ to yield the reflection data file. Subsequent calculations were carried out using the SHELXTL⁴ program. There were no systematic absences nor any diffraction symmetry other than the Friedel condition. The centrosymmetric triclinic space group

P1 was assigned and later determined to be correct. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The analytical scattering factors⁵ for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis. The hydroxide hydrogen atoms (H1 and H8) were located from a difference-Fourier map and refined (x,y,z and U_{iso}). The remaining hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. There were two molecules of the formula-unit present (Z = 4). Disordered atoms were included as isotropic atoms using multiple components with partial site-occupancy factors.

Least-squares analysis yielded wR2 = 0.0899 and Goof = 1.026 for 1396 variables refined against 31356 data (0.70 Å), R1 = 0.0355 for those 25498 data with I > 2.0σ (I).

Structure of [(TMTACN)Ni^{II}–(*u***-OH)–Fe^{III}poat]OTf.** An orange crystal of approximate dimensions 0.258 x 0.099 x 0.086 mm was mounted in a cryoloop and transferred to a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer. The APEX2¹ program package was used to determine the unit-cell parameters and for data collection (180 sec/frame scan time for a sphere of diffraction data). The raw frame data was processed using SAINT² and SADABS³ to yield the reflection data file. Subsequent calculations were carried out using the SHELXTL⁴ program. The diffraction symmetry was *mmm* and the systematic absences were consistent with the orthorhombic space groups *Pbcm* and *Pca*2₁. It was later determined that space group *Pca*2₁ was correct. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined on F² by full-matrix least-squares techniques. The analytical scattering factors⁵ for neutral atoms were used throughout the analysis. The hydroxide hydrogen atoms (H1 and H8) were located from a difference-Fourier map and refined (x,y,z and U_{iso}) with fixed length. The remaining hydrogen atoms were included using a riding model. There were two molecules of the formula-unit present (Z = 4). Disordered atoms were included as isotropic atoms using multiple components with partial site-occupancy factors.

Least-squares analysis yielded wR2 = 0.0988 and Goof = 1.010 for 1356 variables refined against 27950 data (0.74 Å), R1 = 0.0532 for those 21063 data with I > $2.0\sigma(I)$. The structure was refined as a two-component twin. The absolute structure was assigned by refinement of the Flack⁷ parameter.

Data Analysis of EPR Measurements

The simulation software SpinCount diagonalizes the electronic terms of the spin Hamiltonian

$$H = J\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 + H_1 + H_2 \tag{1}$$

with

$$H_i = \beta \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{g}_i \cdot \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{D}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_i \qquad i = 1, 2$$

and

$$\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i} = D_{i} [\mathbf{S}_{zi}^{2} - \mathbf{S}_{i} (\mathbf{S}_{i} + 1)/3 + (E/D)_{i} (\mathbf{S}_{xi}^{2} - \mathbf{S}_{yi}^{2})] \qquad i = 1, 2$$

where the parameters have the usual definitions,⁸ and performs least-squares fitting of simulations to the spectra. The spin \mathbf{S}_i refers to individual Fe^{III} (S = 5/2) or M^{II} sites depending on the M^{II} ion incorporated into the complex. \mathbf{D}_i is assumed coaxial with \mathbf{g}_i unless specified.⁹

	{K[Fe ^{III} poat(OH)]} ₂	[(TMTACN)Zn ^{II} –(µ-	[(TMTACN)Cu ^{II} –(µ-	[(TMTACN)Ni ^{II} –(µ-
		OH)–Fe ^{III} poat]OTf	OH)–Fe ^{III} poat]OTf	OH)–Fe ^{III} poat]OTf
Formula	C ₈₄ H ₈₆ Fe ₂ K ₂ N ₈	C ₅₂ H ₆₄ F ₃ Fe N ₇ O ₇ P ₃	C ₅₂ H ₆₄ Cu F ₃ Fe N ₇	C ₅₂ H ₆₄ F ₃ Fe N ₇ Ni
	$O_8 P_6$	S Zn	O7 P3 S	O ₇ P ₃ S
fw	1711.32	1202.29	1200.46	1195.63
Т (К)	88(2)	88(2)	133(2)	93(2)
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	P21/c	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	Pca21
a (Å)	15.8093(12)	14.8745(7)	14.8537(13)	21.3910(9)
b (Å)	14.4288(11)	17.1815(8)	17.1335(15)	17.3399(8)
c (Å)	19.8831(15)	21.4499(10)	21.4044(19)	29.1742(13)
α (ο)	90	89.3968(8)	90.9050(15)	90
β (°)	111.1598(9)	89.9334(8)	91.3032(14)	90
γ (°)	90	81.8418(8)	98.1532(14)	90
Z	2	4	4	8
V (Å ³)	4229.7(6)	5426.1(4)	5389.9(8)	10821.2(8)
$\delta_{\text{calc}} (\text{mg}/\text{m}^3)$	1.344	1.472	1.479	1.468
Independent	10756	32345	31356	27950
reflections				
R1	0.0294	0.0504	0.0355	0.0532
wR2	0.0813	0.1138	0.0899	0.0988
Goof	1.063	1.016	1.026	1.010
CCDC#	2072600	2072601	2072602	2072603

Table S1. Crystallographic data for K[Fe^{III}poat(OH)] and [(TMTACN)M^{II}–(μ -OH)–Fe^{III}poat]OTf complexes (M^{II} = Zn, Cu, Ni)

 $wR2 = \left[\Sigma[w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2] / \Sigma[w(F_o^2)^2]\right]^{1/2}$

 $R1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o|$

Goof = S = $[\Sigma[w(F_o^2-F_c^2)^2] / (n-p)]^{1/2}$ where n is the number of reflections and p is the total number of parameters refined.

The thermal ellipsoid plot is shown at the 50% probability level.

Table S2. Selected Metrical Parameters for	{K[Fe	e ^m poat((OH)	$ _{2}$.
--	-------	----------------------	------	-----------

	Bond Lengths (Å)
Fe1-N1	2.373(1)
Fe1–N2	2.026(1)
Fe1-N3	2.004(1)
Fe1-N4	2.003(1)
Fe1–O1	1.896(1)
K1–O1	2.759(1)
K1–O2	2.665(1)
K1'-O1	2.870(1)
K1'–O3	2.656(1)
K1'–O4	2.655(1)
d[Fe1–N _{eq}]	0.425
	Angles (°)
O1-Fe1-N1	175.84(4)
N2-Fe1-N3	114.67(5)
N3–Fe1–N4	113.33(4)
N2–Fe1–N4	118.96(5)
Fe1O1K1	135.95(5)
Fe1–O1–K1'	121.22(4)
τ_5^{a}	0.948

^{*a*}Trigonality structural parameter, $\tau_5 = (\beta - a)/60^\circ$. β is the largest bond angle observed, and *a* is the second largest bond angle observed.



Figure S1. EPR (A) and Mössbauer (B) spectra of 1.6mM (K18c6)[⁵⁷Fe^{III}poat(OH)] in 1:1 DMF:THF (red plots). Experimental parameters: (A) microwaves, 0.2 mW at 9.645 GHz; temperature, 12 K; (B) magnetic field 45 mT parallel to γ -ray direction, temperature, 4.2 K. The black lines are simulation for: S = 5/2, $D = 1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, E/D = 0.27, $A_{\text{Fe}} = (-20, -19, -19)$ T, $\delta = 0.32 \text{ mm/s}$, $\Delta E_{\text{Q}} = 0.92 \text{ mm/s}$, $\eta = 0.9$.



Figure S2. Full electronic absorbance spectra for (A) $[Zn^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (black solid line), (B) $[Cu^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (black dashed line), and (C) $[Ni^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (black dotted line) complexes. Absorbance measurements were performed on a 0.10 mM CH₂Cl₂ solution at room temperature.



Figure S3. FTIR spectra for $[Zn^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (A), $[Cu^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (B), and $[Ni^{II}(OH)Fe^{III}]^+$ (C).

References

- 1. APEX2 Version 2014.11-0, Bruker AXS, Inc.; Madison, WI 2014.
- 2. SAINT Version 8.34a, Bruker AXS, Inc.; Madison, WI 2013.
- 3. Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, Version 2014/5, Bruker AXS, Inc.; Madison, WI 2014.
- 4. Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, Version 2014/7, Bruker AXS, Inc.; Madison, WI 2014.
- 5. International Tables for Crystallography 1992, Vol. C., Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- 6. (a) Spek, A.L. SQUEEZE, Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 9-19., (b) Spek, A. L. PLATON, Acta. Cryst. 2009, D65, 148-155
- 7. Parsons, S., Flack, H. D., Wagner, T. Acta Cryst. B69, 249-259, 2013.
- 8. Abragam, A.; Bleaney, B. *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Metal Ions*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1970.
- 9. Brink, D. M.; Satchler, G. R. Angular momentum, 3rd ed.; Clarendon Press: Oxford, U.K., 1994.