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## Experimental Section

Synthesis of $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}:{ }^{1} \mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{WO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ were mixed and pestled in an agate mortar under dry argon atmosphere in a $1: 2: 6$ molar ratio (100 mg total mass). The reaction mixture was transferred to a silica ampoule (length approx. 15 cm ) and fused under vacuum. The silica ampoule was placed in a tube furnace with the reaction mixture in the middle of the tube. The ampule was heated in a temperature gradient of $800{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (middle of the tube) to $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ for 5 h , until cooling to room temperature at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The crystalline product ( $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ ) was obtained as metallic lustrous needle-shaped plates at the cooler section of the ampoule.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ : Ground powder of ( 50 mg ) $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ was placed in a silica ampoule (length approx. 7 cm ) under dry argon atmosphere. The silica ampoule was fused under vacuum and placed into a crucible furnace. The ampoule was heated at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ to $380^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and remained there for 48 h , until cooling to room temperature at $2^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. The compound ( $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ ) was obtained as black needles with metallic luster.

Computational methods: Density functional theory calculations were performed with the DFT software package Abinit (v. 9.2.2). ${ }^{2}$ The projector-augmented wave (PAW) method ${ }^{3}$ was used with the Perdew-Burke-Erzenhof exchange correlation functional ${ }^{4}$ and the vdw-DFTD3 dispersion correction. ${ }^{5}$ PAW datasets were used as received from the Abinit repository. Methfessel-Paxton smearing was used to determine the occupation of metallic bands. ${ }^{6}$ Plane-wave basis set cutoffs and $\mathbf{k}$-point grid spacings were chosen following convergence studies (to $1 \%$ in pressure). Structural relaxation was performed prior to calculations of electronic band structures. Example input files are available as Supporting Information.

## Powder X-ray Diffraction:

PXRD patterns of well ground powders were recorded using a StadiP diffractometer (Stoe, Darmstadt) with Ge-monochromated $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}$ radiation and a Mythen1 Detector.

## Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction:

Single crystals of $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4}$ were collected and placed on the tip of a cryoloop. Data were recorded using a Rigaku XtaLAB Synergy-S single-crystal X-ray diffractometer equipped with HyPix-6000HE detector and monochromated Mo-K ${ }_{\alpha}$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.7107 \AA$ ) at 100 K . The X-ray intensities were corrected for absorption with numerical method using CrysAlisPro 1.171.41.80a (Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, 2021). The structure was solved by direct methods (SHELXS), ${ }^{7}$ and full-matrix least-squares structure refinements, performed with SHELXL-2014 ${ }^{8}$ implemented in Olex2 1.3-ac4. ${ }^{9}$

## Results

Table S1. selected Crystal and structure refinement data of $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4}$, recorded at 100 K .

| Empirical formula | WO2 ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{l}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CSD No. | 2004434 | 2054404 |
| Formula weight / g.mol ${ }^{-1}$ | 469.65 | 923.30 |
| Temperature / K | 100 | 100 |
| Wavelength/ pm | 71.073 | 71.073 |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | 1 mmm | $12 / \mathrm{m}$ |
| $a / \mathrm{pm}$ | 374.84(3) | 924.84(6) |
| b / pm | 390.49(3) | 748.82(4) |
| $c / \mathrm{pm}$ | 1662.8(1) | 1336.48(8) |
| $6 /{ }^{\circ}$ |  | 98.810(6) |
| Volume / $\mathrm{nm}^{3}$ | 0.24339(4) | 0.91464(10) |
| Z | 2 | 4 |
| $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (Mo-K ${ }_{\text {a }}$ ) / mm ${ }^{-1}$ | 36.26 | 38.58 |
| Density (calculated) / g $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 6.41 | 6.71 |
| Theta range for data collection $/^{\circ}$ | 4.90 to 25.93 | 3.52 to 26.02 |
| Total number of reflections | 873 | 9630 |
| Refined parameters | 14 | 48 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | 0.0181 | 0.0297 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ | 0.0403 | 0.0672 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1.071 | 1.048 |

Table S2. Atomic coordinates, Wyckoff positions (Wyck) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (in $\mathrm{pm}^{2} \times 10^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{WO}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$

| atom | Wyck | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U ( e q ) ^ { a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W1 | 2a | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $39(1)$ |
| I1 | 4 i | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $0.66072(4)$ | $12(1)$ |
| O1 | 2d | $1 / 2$ | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $6(2)$ |
| O2 | 2b | 0 | $1 / 2$ | $1 / 2$ | $18(2)$ |

${ }^{\text {a) }} U(e q)$ is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized $U^{i j}$ tensor.

Table S3. Atomic coordinates, Wyckoff positions (Wyck) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (in $\mathrm{pm}^{2} \times 10^{-1}$ ) for $\mathrm{W}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{I}_{4}$.

| atom | Wyck | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\boldsymbol{U ( e q ) ^ { a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{W 1}$ | 4 e | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 4$ | $1 / 4$ | $4(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{W} \mathbf{2}$ | 4 g | $1 / 2$ | $0.2927(1)$ | $1 / 2$ | $5(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{I}$ | 4 i | $0.3420(1)$ | 0 | $0.5661(1)$ | $7(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{I 2}$ | 4 i | $0.6923(1)$ | $1 / 2$ | $0.4190(1)$ | $8(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{1 3}$ | 8 j | $0.0142(1)$ | $0.2482(1)$ | $0.3483(1)$ | $9(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{0 1}$ | 8 j | $0.3741(6)$ | $0.2866(7)$ | $0.3761(4)$ | $8(1)$ |
| $\mathbf{0 2}$ | 4 i | $0.2261(10)$ | $1 / 2$ | $0.2351(7)$ | $18(2)$ |

[^0]
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