Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

## **Electronic Supplementary Material**

## Self-standing SnS nanosheet array: A bifunctional binder-free thin film catalyst for

electrochemical hydrogen generation and waste-water treatment

Supriya A. Patil,<sup>a</sup> Hoa Thi Bui,<sup>b</sup> Sajjad Hussain,<sup>a</sup> Iqra Rabani,<sup>a</sup> Yongho Seo,<sup>a</sup> Jongwan Jung,<sup>a</sup> Nabeen K Shrestha,<sup>c\*</sup> Hyungsang Kim,<sup>c\*</sup>Hyunsik Im<sup>\*c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Nanotechnology and Advanced Materials Engineering, Sejong University, Seoul 05006, Republic of Korea.

<sup>b</sup>Institute of Materials Science, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Việt, Cau Giay, Ha Noi, Viet Nam.

<sup>c</sup> Division of Physics and Semiconductor Science, Dongguk University, Seoul 04620, Republic of Korea.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding authors. Nabeen K. Shrestha (nabeenkshrestha@hotmail.com), Hyungsang Kim (hskim@dongguk.edu), Hyunsik Im (hyunsik7@dongguk.edu)



**Figure S1.** (a) Fast Fourier transform (FFT) of the selected region of the HRTEM image of SnS film (b) inverse FFT of the selected region of the HRTEM image, and (c) line profile for the selected line in its inverse FFT image indicating the d spacing as 0.17 nm.

Element	Weight%	Atomic%	Atomic %
S K	19.14	46.70	Sn S
Sn L	80.86	53.30	■S ■Sn

**Figure S2.** (a) Percentage *vs.* elements plotted figure calculated from energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis spectrum showed a stoichiometric ratio of Sn:S is 1:1.



**Figure S3.** Photograph of an electrolyzer with graphite cathode, SnS/NF anode and SCE reference electrode in aqueous 1 M KOH + 0.33 M urea electrolyte.



**Figure S4.** (a) Linear sweep voltammograms of the 1 M aqueous KOH electrolyzer consisting of the SnS/NF (+) || Graphite (-) assembly with a reference SCE before and after the long-term electrochemical durability test. (b) SEM image of the SnS film after the stability analysis.



**Figure S5**. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy and  $C_{dl}$  of the SnS and NF electrodes in 1 M KOH + 0.33 M urea: (a) Nyquist plots showing the improved electron transport. (b, c) CV plots of electrodes in a non-faradaic region at various scan rates ranging from 10 to 150 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. (d) Plot

showing the SnS/NF catalyst having a considerably larger  $C_{dl}$  value (25mF) as compared to the bare NF-substrate (10 mF).

No	Catalyst	<b>η</b> (mV)@ <i>j</i> (mAcm <sup>-2</sup> )	Substrate	Electrolyte	Reference
	č			(Molar of	
				KOH)	
	SnS	280@10	NF	1 <b>M</b>	This work
	SnS	340 @50	NF	1M	This work
1	(Ni <sub>0.33</sub> Co <sub>0.6</sub> ) S <sub>2</sub> NW	295@100	CC	1M	1
2	MoS <sub>2</sub> -NiS <sub>2</sub>	249@10	NF	1M	2
3	$Co_3S_4@MoS_2$	310@10	GC	1M	3
4	3D porous graphene film@NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	264@10	Graphene film	1M	4
5	NiCoMnS <sub>4</sub> /rGO	410@10	Reduced	1M	5
			graphene		
			oxide		
6	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	340@10	NF	1M	6
7	$CuCo_2S_4$	310@10	NA	1M	7
8	NiFeS	286@10	Graphene	0.1 M	8
			framework		
9	Mn-Cd-	333@10	Reduced	1 M	9
	S@amorphpus-Ni <sub>3</sub>		graphene		
	$\mathbf{S}_2$		oxide		
10	Ultrathin Co <sub>3</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	280@onset potential	na	0.1 M	10
	nanosheet				
11	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /N-CNT	370@10	Carbon	0.1M	11
			nanotubes		

 Table S1. Comparison of OER performance of the SnS catalyst with the recently reported transition metal-based metal catalysts.

**Table S2.** Comparison of HER performance of the SnS catalyst with the recently reported transition metal-based metal catalysts.

No	Catalyst	${\bf \eta}_{10}({ m mV})$	Substrate	Electrolyte	Reference
				(Molar of	
				KOH)	
	SnS	214	NF	1 <b>M</b>	This work
1	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	223	NF	1 <b>M</b>	12
2	NiFeS/Ni	180@10	NF	1M	13
3	Ni <sub>x</sub> Co <sub>3-x</sub> S <sub>4</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	136@10	NF	1M	14
4	Graphene/Ni <sub>3</sub> Se <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>9</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	170@20	exfoliated	1M	15
			graphene		

5	Ni(OH) <sub>2</sub> /Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	211@20	NF	1M	16
6	3D Se-(NiCo)S <sub>x</sub> /(OH) <sub>x</sub>	103@10	NF	1M	17
7	Mn-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	152@10	NF	1M	18
8	$CoS_2$	~255@10	Ti	1M	19
9	$MoS_2$	187@10	Graphite	1M	20
10	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> NW/NF	210@10	NF	1M	6
11	Ni/NiS	230@10	NF	1M	21
12	Sn-Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	137@10	NF	1M	22
13	Fe <sub>0.1</sub> -NiS <sub>2</sub> NA/Ti	~200@10	Ti foil	1M	23

**Table S3.** Comparison of UOR performance of the SnS catalyst with the recently reported transition metal-based metal catalysts.

No	Catalyst	E (V vs RHE)	Substrate	Electrolyte	Reference
		@ j (mAcm <sup>-2</sup> )		(Molar of	
				KOH/Urea)	
	SnS	1.37 @10	NF	1/0.33	This work
	SnS	1.43 @50	NF	1/0.33	This work
1	NiCo <sub>2</sub> S <sub>4</sub> NS/Carbon cloth	1.47@10	CC	1/0.33	24
2	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> /NF	1.44@100	NF	1/0.2	25
3	Ni <sub>3</sub> S <sub>2</sub> @NF	1.35@10	NF	1/0.5	25
4	Ni <b>lr</b> -MOF/NF	1.32@10	NF	1/0.5	26
5	NiFeCo LDH/NF	~1.35@10	NF	1/0.33	27
6	NiSe <sub>2</sub>	1.35@10	NF	1/0.33	28,
7	NiMo /NF	1.36@10	NF	1/0.1	29
8	(Ni–Bi)/GC	1.42 @50	GC	1/0.33	30

Element	SnS	NF	
$R_{s}\left(\Omega ight)$	0.9091	0.8504	
$\underline{R}_{ct}(\Omega)$	2.291	2.943	
CPE(µF)	0.5806	0.5933	
$\underline{Z}_{\underline{w}}(\Omega)$	0.3420	0.2562	

**Table S4.** Fitted EIS parameters extracted from Nyquist plots of the  $SnS_2$  and NF samples. The electrical circuit above the Table shows the equivalent circuit used to fit the experimentally obtained EIS spectra.

## References

- 1 Q. Zhang, C. Ye, X. L. Li, Y. H. Deng, B. X. Tao, W. Xiao, L. J. Li, N. B. Li and H. Q. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 27723–27733.
- 2 P. Kuang, T. Tong, K. Fan and J. Yu, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 6179–6187.
- 3 Y. Guo, J. Tang, H. Qian, Z. Wang and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 5566–5573.
- 4 K. Qin, L. Wang, S. Wen, L. Diao, P. Liu, J. Li, L. Ma, C. Shi, C. Zhong, W. Hu, E. Liu and N. Zhao, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 8109–8119.
- 5 A. Pendashteh, J. S. Sanchez, J. Palma, M. Anderson and R. Marcilla, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2019, **20**, 216–224.
- 6 A. Sivanantham, P. Ganesan and S. Shanmugam, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, 26, 4661–4672.
- 7 M. Chauhan, K. P. Reddy, C. S. Gopinath and S. Deka, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 5871–5879.
- 8 B. Q. Li, S. Y. Zhang, C. Tang, X. Cui and Q. Zhang, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1–6.
- 9 Z. Li, X. Wang, X. Wang, Y. Lin, A. Meng, L. Yang and Q. Li, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **491**, 799–806.
- 10 Y. Liu, C. Xiao, M. Lyu, Y. Lin, W. Cai, P. Huang, W. Tong, Y. Zou and Y. Xie, *Angew. Chemie*, 2015, **127**, 11383–11387.
- 11 X. Han, X. Wu, C. Zhong, Y. Deng, N. Zhao and W. Hu, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **31**, 541–550.
- 12 L. L. Feng, G. Yu, Y. Wu, G. D. Li, H. Li, Y. Sun, T. Asefa, W. Chen and X. Zou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 14023–14026.
- 13 P. Ganesan, A. Sivanantham and S. Shanmugam, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2016, 4, 16394–16402.
- 14 Y. Wu, Y. Liu, G. D. Li, X. Zou, X. Lian, D. Wang, L. Sun, T. Asefa and X. Zou, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **35**, 161–170.
- 15 Y. Hou, M. Qiu, G. Nam, M. G. Kim, T. Zhang, K. Liu, X. Zhuang, J. Cho, C. Yuan and X. Feng, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 4202–4209.
- 16 X. Du, Z. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Gong and M. Zhao, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 6938–6946.
- 17 C. Hu, L. Zhang, Z. J. Zhao, A. Li, X. Chang and J. Gong, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1–8.
- 18 H. Du, R. Kong, F. Qu and L. Lu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 10100–10103.
- 19 H. Zhang, Y. Li, T. Xu, J. Wang, Z. Huo, P. Wan and X. Sun, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 15020– 15023.

- 20 M. A. Lukowski, A. S. Daniel, F. Meng, A. Forticaux, L. Li and S. Jin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 10274–10277.
- 21 G. F. Chen, T. Y. Ma, Z. Q. Liu, N. Li, Y. Z. Su, K. Davey and S. Z. Qiao, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 3314–3323.
- 22 J. Yu, F. X. Ma, Y. Du, P. P. Wang, C. Y. Xu and L. Zhen, *ChemElectroChem*, 2017, 4, 594–600.
- 23 N. Yang, C. Tang, K. Wang, G. Du, A. M. Asiri and X. Sun, *Nano Res.*, 2016, 9, 3346–3354.
- 24 W. Zhu, M. Ren, N. Hu, W. Zhang, Z. Luo, R. Wang, J. Weng, L. Huang, Y. Suo and J. Wang, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 5011–5020.
- 25 M. Liu, Y. Jiao, S. Zhan and H. Wang, *Catal. Today*, 2020, **355**, 596–601.
- 26 Y. Xu, X. Chai, T. Ren, S. Yu, H. Yu, Z. Wang, X. Li, L. Wang and H. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 2151–2154.
- 27 P. Babar, A. Lokhande, V. Karade, B. Pawar, M. G. Gang, S. Pawar and J. H. Kim, *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **7**, 10035–10043.
- 28 J. Y. Zhang, T. He, M. Wang, R. Qi, Y. Yan, Z. Dong, H. Liu, H. Wang and B. Y. Xia, *Nano Energy*, 2019, **60**, 894–902.
- 29 P. Xiong, X. Ao, J. Chen, J. G. Li, L. Lv, Z. Li, M. Zondode, X. Xue, Y. Lan and C. Wang, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **297**, 833–841.
- 30 J. Ge, Y. Lai, M. Guan, Y. Xiao, J. Kuang and C. Yang, *Environ. Sci. Nano*, , DOI:10.1039/d0en01247e.