## **Supplementary Information**

Research on Quantum Confinement Effect and Enhanced Luminescence of Red-emitting Phosphors P<sup>5+</sup>-doped CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>:Mn<sup>4+</sup>,Mg<sup>2+</sup>

Guodong Fan,<sup>\*a,b</sup> Han Zhang,<sup>a,b</sup> Di Fan,<sup>c,d</sup> Rui Jiang,<sup>e</sup> Fangyi Ruan,<sup>a,b</sup> Nan Li,<sup>a,b</sup> and Xiaoyan Su<sup>a,b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shaanxi University of Science & Technology,

Xi'an 710021, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Key Laboratory of Auxiliary Chemistry & Technology for Chemical Industry, Ministry of Education, Shaanxi University of Science & Technology, Xi'an 710021, P. R. China

<sup>c</sup>Department of Information Engineering, Shaanxi Polytechnic Institute, Xianyang 712000, P. R.

China

<sup>d</sup>Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, UK

<sup>e</sup>College of Geosciences, China University of Petroleum, Beijing, Changping 102249, P. R. China \*Corresponding author e-mail: fangd@sust.edu.cn

Formula	m=0	m=0.02	m=0.04	m=0.07	m=0.10	m=0.13	m=0.15
cryst syst	Hexagon						
2θ range(deg)	5-80	5-80	5-80	5-80	5-80	5-80	5-80
space group	P63/mmc						
a=b (Å)	5.566	5.562	5.561	5.561	5.560	5.560	5.559
c (Å)	21.925	21.904	21.907	21.904	21.899	21.900	21.899
$\alpha = \beta$ (deg)	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
γ (deg)	120	120	120	120	120	120	120
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	588.34	586.82	586.6	586.6	586.22	586.33	586.18
R <sub>wp</sub> (%)	9.26	9.58	9.86	9.57	9.83	9.62	9.95
$R_{p}$ (%)	6.89	7.25	7.63	7.22	7.31	7.28	7.84
Z	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
$\chi^2$	2.358	2.671	2.264	3.027	2.951	2.587	2.216

Coordination			D <sub>r</sub> /%				
Ions	number	Radius/Å	Ca <sup>2+</sup> 1.34 <sup>*</sup>	Al <sup>3+</sup> 0.39*	Al <sup>3+</sup> 0.48*	Al <sup>3+</sup> 0.535*	
	(CN)		(CN = 12)	(CN = 4)	(CN = 5)	(CN = 6)	
Mn <sup>4+</sup>	6	0.53	/	-35.90	-10.41	0.93	
$Mn^{2+}$	6	0.67	/	-71.79	-39.58	-25.23	
$Mg^{2+}$	4	0.57	/	-41.65	-18.75	-6.54	
$Mg^{2+}$	6	0.72		-84.62	-50.00	-34.58	
$P^{5+}$	4	0.38		2.56	20.83	28.97	

**Table S2.** Ionic radii difference percentage  $(D_r)$  between host cations and doped ions.

\* Ionic radius. "/" refers to no mention in the literature.

Atom	Wyck.	Х	У	Z	Occ.
Cal	2d	0.6667	0.3333	0.2500	1.0
Al1	2a	0	0	0	1.0
A12	4e	0	0	0.2891	0.1854
P1	4e	0	0	0.2891	0.3146
A13	4f	0.3333	0.6667	0.0281	1.0
Al4	4f	0.3333	0.6667	0.1910	1.0
A15	12k	0.1686	0.3371	-0.1091	1.0
01	4e	0	0	0.1491	1.0
O2	4f	0.6667	0.3333	0.0546	1.0
03	6h	0.1811	0.3621	0.2500	1.0
O4	12k	0.1551	0.3101	0.0521	1.0
05	12k	0.5033	1.0065	0.1491	1.0

 $\label{eq:addition} \begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{Table} & \textbf{S3.} & \text{Wyckoff} & \text{positions,} & \text{atomic} & \text{coordinates} & \text{and} & \text{occupancy} & \text{for} \\ CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}; 0.01 Mn^{4+}, 0.2 Mg^{2+}. \end{array}$ 

Occ. Atom	m = 0.02	m = 0.04	m = 0.07	m = 0.10	m = 0.13	m = 0.15
A12	0.3931	0.3392	0.2605	0.1854	0.1999	0.2086
P1	0.1069	0.1608	0.2395	0.3146	0.3001	0.2914

**Table S4.** P site occupancy of  $CaAl_{12-m}P_mO_{19+m}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  (m=0.02–0.15).

\* P site occupancy+Al (2) site occupancy=0.5000

	CIE (chr				
Sample	coord	inates)	$\tau$ (ms)	PLQY (%)	
	under 396 n	m excitation			
	Х	У			
m=0	0.6438	0.3549	0.9165	9.8	
m=0.02	0.7191	0.2809	0.9514	30.7	
m=0.04	0.7191	0.2808	0.9529	32.8	
m=0.07	0.7190	0.2809	0.9543	41.2	
m=0.10	0.7193	0.2807	0.9567	70.2	
m=0.13	0.7191	0.2808	0.9526	41.6	
m=0.15	0.7192	0.2808	0.9316	38.9	

**Table S5.** The fluorescence lifetime, CIE and PLQY of  $CaAl_{12-m}P_mO_{19+m}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  (m=0–0.15) phosphors.



Fig. S1 XRD Rietveld refinement results of  $CaAl_{12-m}P_mO_{19+m}$ :  $0.01Mn^{4+}$ ,  $0.2Mg^{2+}$  (m=0.02, 0.04, 0.07, 0.13, 0.15) phosphors. (a) m=0.02, (b) m=0.04, (c) m=0.07, (d) m=0.13, (e) m=0.15.



Fig. S2 Crystal structure of CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> (hexagonal, P63/mmc).



Fig. S3 XPS spectra of  $CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}: 0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  (a) survey, (b) Mn 2p, (c) Al 2p and (d) P 2p.



Fig. S4 Mn 3p of MnO<sub>2</sub> and CaAl $_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}{:}0.01Mn^{4+}{,}0.2Mg^{2+}{.}$ 



Fig. S5 XPS spectra of  $CaAl_{12}O_{19}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  phosphor (a) Al 2p and (b) O 1s and  $CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  phosphor (c) Al 2p and (d) O 1s.

In the CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> (m=0) and CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> (m=0.1) phosphors, the binding energy of Al 2p orbital and O 1s orbital are located at 74.70 eV, 74.33 eV and 531.79 eV, 531.39 eV, respectively. Compared with the undoped P<sup>5+</sup>, it is found that when the doping amount of P<sup>5+</sup> is 0.1, the peak of O 1s moved ~0.4 eV towards the low binding energy. Combined with the analysis of crystal structure of the sample, it shows that there is a shallow electron trap caused by oxygen vacancies in CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> (m=0.1) phosphor, which can confine photo-generated electrons in the traps, resulting in an increase in the electron cloud density, thereby causing a decrease in the binding energy of electrons. Therefore, we speculate that the existence of oxygen vacancies and electron traps is extremely important to improve the luminescence performance of phosphors.



Fig. S6 The EDS spectrum and element mapping images of  $CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  phosphor.



**Fig. S7** (a) Diffuse reflectance spectra of  $CaAl_{12-m}P_mO_{19+m}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  (m=0–0.15) phosphors. (b) Relationship of  $[F(R)hv]^2$  versus photon energy hv in  $CaAl_{12-m}P_mO_{19+m}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  (m=0–0.15) phosphors.

The diffuse reflectance spectrum of CaAl<sub>12-m</sub>P<sub>m</sub>O<sub>19+m</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> (m=0–0.15) phosphors with different PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> concentrations are depicted in Fig. S7a. Obviously, As the content of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> increases, the reflection rate decreases slightly, phosphors begin to absorb light from 600nm, and strong light absorption is observed in the n-ultraviolet (n-UV) region in the range of 200–350 nm, which is attributed to the charge transfer transition of O<sup>2-</sup> $\rightarrow$ Mn<sup>4+</sup> (CTB). Furthermore, the energy bands between 300–400 nm and 430–500 nm are attributed to the <sup>4</sup>A<sub>2g</sub> $\rightarrow$ <sup>4</sup>T<sub>1g</sub>, <sup>4</sup>A<sub>2g</sub> $\rightarrow$ <sup>2</sup>T<sub>2g</sub> and <sup>4</sup>A<sub>2g</sub> $\rightarrow$ <sup>4</sup>T<sub>2g</sub> transitions of Mn<sup>4+</sup>, respectively. The band gap energy can be obtained by the Tauc-Plot method:<sup>1</sup>

$$[F(R)h\nu]_n = A(h\nu - E_g) \tag{1}$$

where F(R) is the absorption coefficient, R is the reflectance coefficient, hv is the photon energy, A represents the absorption constant, and  $E_g$  is the optical band gap value. n = 1/2 and 2 correspond to the direct band gap and the indirect band gap, respectively. According to the calculation of the electronic structure, CaAl<sub>12</sub>O<sub>19</sub> is a direct band gap semiconductor, so n = 1/2. Fig. S7b displays  $[F(R)hv]^2$ the relationship between and hv. The band gap values of CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> phosphors remains unchanged with the increase of PO<sub>4</sub><sup>3-</sup> content, indicating that the addition of PO43- only enhances the crystal field without changing the band structure.



Fig. S8 (a) PLE and PL spectra of CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> phosphor ( $\lambda_{ex} = 396$  nm,  $\lambda_{em} = 654$  nm). (b) Tanabe-Sugano energy level diagram of Mn<sup>4+</sup> (3d<sup>3</sup>) in an octahedral crystal field.

The Tanabe-Sugano energy-level diagram illustrates the luminescence behavior of  $Mn^{4+}$  ions in the octahedral crystal field in phosphor host, as shown in Fig. S8b. The values of  $D_q$  and B can be calculated from the following equations:<sup>2,3</sup>

$$D_{\rm q} = E({}^{4}{\rm A}_{2\rm g} \rightarrow {}^{4}{\rm T}_{2\rm g})/10$$
 (2)

$$\frac{D_{\rm q}}{B} = \frac{15(x-8)}{x^2 - 10x} \tag{3}$$

where the parameter *x* is defined by the following formula:

$$x = \frac{E({}^{4}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{1g}) - E({}^{4}A_{2g} \rightarrow {}^{4}T_{2g})}{D_{q}}$$
(4)

Based on the peak energy of  ${}^{2}E_{g} \rightarrow {}^{4}A_{2g}$  transition (15291 cm<sup>-1</sup>) obtained from the emission spectrum, the Racah parameter *C* can be calculated by the following expression:<sup>4</sup>

$$\frac{E({}^{2}\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}} \to {}^{4}\mathrm{A}_{2\mathrm{g}})}{B} = \frac{3.05C}{B} + 7.9 - \frac{1.8B}{D_{\mathrm{q}}}$$
(5)

The crystal field parameters  $D_q$ , B and C of CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> are 2141, 742 and 3642 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.  $D_q/B$  is equal to ~2.88, indicating that there is a strong crystal field of Mn<sup>4+</sup> ions in phosphor host.



Fig. S9 The substitution process of ion pairs in the crystal structure.



Fig. S10 The formation of oxygen vacancy and ionic atmosphere, and their binding state of electrons.



Fig. S11 CIE chromaticity diagram of  $CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}: 0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  phosphor.

The color purity is calculated by the following formula:<sup>5</sup>

Color purity = 
$$\frac{\sqrt{(x-x_i)^2 + (y-y_i)^2}}{\sqrt{(x_d-x_i)^2 + (y_d-y_i)^2}} \times 100\%$$
 (6)

where  $(x_d, y_d)$  is the color coordinate corresponding to the dominant wavelength of the light source (0.7320, 0.2680), (x, y) is the color coordinate of CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> sample (0.7196, 0.2804),  $(x_i, y_i)$  is the standard color coordinates (0.310, 0.316) specified by the National Television Standards Committee (NTSC). Based on the above equation, the color purity of CaAl<sub>11.90</sub>P<sub>0.1</sub>O<sub>19.10</sub>:0.01Mn<sup>4+</sup>,0.2Mg<sup>2+</sup> phosphor is calculated to be 96.8%.



**Fig. S12** The measurement of PLQY of  $CaAl_{11.90}P_{0.1}O_{19.10}:0.01Mn^{4+}, 0.2Mg^{2+}$  phosphor, and the inset is the enlarged pattern ranging from 600 nm to 720 nm.

## **Supporting videos**

SV1: The substitution process of  $Mn^{4+}-Mg^{2+}$  ion pairs in the crystal structure.

SV2: The formation of electron trap and its capture of foreign electrons.

SV3 and SV4: The formation of oxygen vacancy and ionic atmosphere, and their binding state of electrons.

SV5: The formation process of luminescence center and electron trap between the (012) crystal plane.

## References

- 1 D. L. Wood and J. Tauc, *Phys. Rev. B.*, 1972, **5**, 3144-3151.
- 2 J. Zhong, X. Chen, D. Chen, M. Liu, Y. Zhu, X. Li and Z. Ji, J. Alloys Compd., 2019, 773, 413-422.
- 3 S. Gu, M. Xia, C. Zhou, Z. Kong, M. S. Molokeev, L. Liu, W.-Y. Wong and Z. Zhou, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **396**, 125208.
- 4 J. Zhong, D. Chen, S. Yuan, M. Liu, Y. Yuan, Y. Zhu, X. Li and Z. Ji, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 8978-8987.
- 5 H. Guo and X. Huang, J. Alloys Compd., 2018, 764, 809-814.