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Table S1. Crystallographic data for complexes 1 and 2.

| Compound | 1 | 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{74} \mathrm{Dy}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{14}$ |
| Mr | 1640.59 | 1680.45 |
| Temperature/K | 173.0 | 173.0 |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| $a / \AA$ ¢ | 11.6924(4) | 14.6039(9) |
| $b / \AA$ | 12.8898(4) | 15.8167(9) |
| $c / \AA$ | 13.8753(4) | 16.5425(10) |
| $\alpha{ }^{\circ}$ | 96.547(1) | 90 |
| $\beta 1{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.241(1) | 107.585(2) |
| $\gamma^{10}$ | 113.931(1) | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 1840.2(5) | 3642.5(4) |
| Z | 1 | 2 |
| $\rho \mathrm{calc} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.480 | 1.532 |
| $F(000)$ | 826.0 | 1692.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.12 \times 0.24 \times 0.25$ | $0.6 \times 0.4 \times 0.2$ |
| Reflns collected | 20505 | 74904 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.0563 | 0.0485 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.072 | 1.151 |
| * $R_{1}, w R_{2}[I>=2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0552, 0.1539 | 0.0227, 0.0521 |
| * $R_{1}, w R_{2}$ [all data] | 0.0597, 0.1595 | 0.0284, 0.0561 |
| CCDC | 2088272 | 2088273 |

Table S2. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$, angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for complexes $\mathbf{1}$ and 2.

|  | Compound |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $2.286(3)$ | $2.289(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | $2.345(1)$ | $2.301(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.187(7)$ | $2.219(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.214(0)$ | $2.212(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}_{\text {nitrate }}$ |  | $2.212(5)$ |
|  |  | $2.668(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.623(0)$ | $2.546(0)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.529(7)$ | $2.730(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{N}_{\text {thiocyanate }}$ | $2.425(6)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Dy} \cdots \mathrm{Dy}$ | $3.7441(6)$ | $3.7522(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Dy}(1 \mathrm{a})$ | $107.8(7)$ | $109.6(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}-\mathrm{O}_{\text {average }}$ | $2.258(0)$ | $2.353(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Dy}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {average }}$ | $2.525(6)$ | $2.638(0)$ |

Table S3. Lanthanide geometry analysis by SHAPE software for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.

| Central atom | Coordination Polyhedron | CShM Values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ Dy1 | Hexagonal pyramid (HPY-7 C $\mathrm{C}_{6 \mathrm{v}}$ ) | 18.645 |
|  | Pentagonal bipyramid (PBPY-7, $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~h}}$ ) | 6.918 |
|  | Capped octahedron (COC-7, $\mathbf{C}_{3 \mathrm{v}}$ ) | $\mathbf{0 . 9 3 5}$ |
|  | Capped trigonal prism (CTPR-7, $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ ) | 1,247 |
|  | Johnson pentagonal bipyramid J13 (JPBPY, $\mathrm{D}_{5 \mathrm{~h}}$ ) | 9.275 |
| $\mathbf{2}$ Dy1 | Hexagonal bipyramid (HBPY-8, $\mathrm{D}_{6 \mathrm{~h}}$ ) | 14.052 |
|  | Cube (CU-8, Oh) | 9.788 |
|  | Square antiprism (SAPR-8, D | 3.368 |
|  | Triangular dodecahedron (TDD-8, $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2 d}}$ ) | $\mathbf{2 . 7 5 2}$ |
|  | Johnson gyrobifastigium J26 (JGBF-8, $\mathrm{D}_{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ ) | 13.062 |

Table S4. Computed energy levels (the ground state is set at zero), composition of the $g$-tensor ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) and the main components ( $>10 \%$ ) of the wavefunction for each $m_{j}$ state of the ground-state multiplet ${ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}$ of individual Dy ${ }^{\text {III }}$ center for $\mathbf{1}$.

| KDEnergy <br> $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ | $\boldsymbol{g}$ | Wavefunction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 | $98.1 \% \mid \pm 15 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 249.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 16.7 | $92.9 \% \mid \pm 13 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 404.8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 12.6 | $68.1 \%\| \pm 11 / 2>+14.7 \%\| \pm 5 / 2>+10.7 \% \mid \pm 7 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 461.4 | 9.2 | 7.2 | 2.8 | $45.1 \%\| \pm 3 / 2>+22.4 \%\| \pm 1 / 2>+15.1 \% \mid \pm 9 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 508.5 | 2.3 | 4.4 | 11.3 | $26.8 \%\| \pm 7 / 2>+19.8 \%\| \pm 9 / 2>+19.7 \% \mid \pm 1 / 2>+14.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 530.3 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 9.1 | $37.6 \%\| \pm 9 / 2>+26.5 \%\| \pm 5 / 2>+15.0 \% \mid \pm 1 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 566.3 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 12.6 | $32.7 \%\| \pm 7 / 2>+22.7 \%\| \pm 1 / 2>+19.4 \% \mid \pm 3 / 2>+16.5 \%$ |
| $\mid \pm 9 / 2>$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table S5. Computed energy levels (the ground state is set at zero), composition of the $g$-tensor ( $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) and the main components ( $>10 \%$ ) of the wavefunction for each $m_{j}$ state of the ground-state multiplet ${ }^{6} \mathrm{H}_{15 / 2}$ of individual Dy ${ }^{\text {III }}$ center for $\mathbf{2}$.

| KD <br> Energy <br> $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.7 | Wavefunction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 172.5 | 0.3 | 0.8 | 15.0 | $97.3 \pm 15 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 238.1 | 0.8 | 1.8 | 11.1 | $39.3 \pm 11 / 2>+19.6 \pm 3 / 2>+11.8 \pm 7 / 2>+10.9 \pm 1 / 2>$ |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 293.4 | 1.9 | 4.0 | 11.0 | $27.0 \pm 9 / 2>+19.6 \pm 7 / 2>+17.0 \pm 5 / 2>+11.5 \pm 1 / 2>+$ |
| $10.8 \pm 11 / 2>$ |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

Table S6. Computed exchange energy levels (the ground state is set at zero), composition of the $g$-tensor $\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ and tunnelling splitting value for $\mathbf{1}$.

| Energy $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-\mathbf{1}}\right)$ |  | $\boldsymbol{g}$ |  | Tunneling splitting (cm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1})$ |  |  |  |  |
| 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.5 | $7.6 \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 0.49 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $3.31 \times 10^{-8}$ |

Table S7. Computed exchange energy levels (the ground state is set at zero), composition of the $g$-tensor $\left(g_{x}, g_{y}, g_{z}\right)$ and tunnelling splitting value for $\mathbf{2}$.

| Energy (cm $\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) |  | $\boldsymbol{g}$ |  | Tunneling splitting ( $\mathbf{c m}^{\mathbf{- 1}} \mathbf{)}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $2.46 \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 2.83 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 39.3 | $4.01 \times 10^{-6}$ |



Figure S1. Packing arrangement along the crystallographic $a$ (top), $b$ (middle) and $c$ (bottom) axis for 1. Color code: purple, Dy; red, O; blue, N; gray, C; yellow, S.


Figure S2. Packing arrangement along the crystallographic a (top), b (middle) and c (bottom) axis for 2. Color code: purple, Dy; red, O; blue, N; gray, C.


Figure S3. Field dependences of magnetization in the field range $0-70 \mathrm{kOe}$ and at the range of $1.9-5.0 \mathrm{~K}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ (left) and $\mathbf{2}$ (right). Experimental values as empty dots while calculated curves are represented as full lines.


Figure S4. Plots of the reduced magnetization $M$ versus $H / T$ for $\mathbf{1}$ (left) and $\mathbf{2}$ (right).
Experimental values as symbols while calculated curves are represented as full lines.


Figure S5. Variable magnetic field magnetization measurement for $\mathbf{1}$ (left) and $\mathbf{2}$ (right) at 1.9 K with an averaged sweep rate of $27 \mathrm{Oe} / \mathrm{s}$.


Figure S6. Temperature dependence under zero dc field of the in-phase (red) and the out-of-phase (blue) ac susceptibility component at 997 Hz for 2.


Figure S7. Field dependence of the in-phase (red) and the in-phase (blue) ac susceptibility component at 1.9 K and 997 Hz for 2.


Figure S8. Frequency dependence of the out-of-phase ac susceptibility component under a 1100 Oe applied dc field for 2.


Figure S9. Frequency dependence of the in-phase (left) and out-of-phase (right) ac susceptibility component under a 1100 Oe applied dc field for 2.


Figure S10. Energies (in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) and projected $\mu_{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\right.$ in $\mu_{\mathrm{B}}$ ) values along the ground magnetic axis for individual Dy ${ }^{\text {III }}$ in complexes 1 (left) and 2 (right). Black lines represent the eight Kramers doublets of individual Dy ${ }^{\text {IIII }}$. The values of the magnetic (i.e. isotropic Zeeman) transition moments between the states are given for comparison. The values in red correspond to QTM (for the GS) and TA-QTM (for the ESs) mechanisms of the magnetization relaxation, whereas blue and green values correspond to Orbach mechanisms.


Figure S11. Temperature dependent $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values for $\mathbf{1}$ in dots with the calculated curves in full lines for a screening of the $J_{\text {exch }}$ value from $-0.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $-1.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Figure S12. Temperature dependent $\chi_{\mathrm{M}} T$ values for $\mathbf{2}$ in squares with the calculated curves in full lines for a screening of the $J_{\text {exch }}$ value from $-0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $-1.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

