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Table S1 Experimental ( $g_{0}, A_{0}, g_{\mathrm{z}}, A_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) and DFT calculated ( $A_{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{\text {calcd }}$ ) spin Hamiltonian parameters for V complexes studied in this work. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Complex | Isomer | $g_{0}$ | $A_{0}$ | $g_{\text {z }}$ | $A_{\text {z }}$ | $A_{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{\text {calcd }}$ | PD ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [VO(dhp) ${ }_{2}$ ] | SPY-5-12 | 1.976 | 84.1 | 1.953 | 158.1 | 158.97 | 0.6 |
|  | SPY-5-13 |  |  |  |  | 159.19 | 0.7 |
| cis-[ $\left.\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{dhp})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ | OC-6-23 | - | - | $1.940{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $169.0{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 166.27 | -1.4 |
|  | OC-6-34 |  |  |  |  | 166.65 | -1.6 |
| cis-[VO(dhp $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {d }}$ | OC-6-34 (154 ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - | 1.947 | 162.8 | 159.64 | -1.9 |
|  | OC-6-34 (345 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 159.21 | -2.2 |
|  | OC-6-23 (149 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 158.66 | -2.5 |
|  | OC-6-23 (336 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 158.63 | -2.6 |
| [ $\left.\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}\right]$ | SPY-5-12 | $1.975^{\text {e }}$ | $90.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $1.948{ }^{\text {f }}$ | $161.1{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 160.63 | -0.3 |
|  | SPY-5-13 |  |  |  |  | 162.29 | 0.7 |
| cis-[VO(ma) $\left.2\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ | OC-6-32 | 1.969 | 95.7 | 1.943 | 168.9 | 168.61 | -0.2 |
|  | OC-6-34 |  |  |  |  | 168.63 | -0.2 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {d }}$ | $O C-6-32\left(3^{\circ}\right)$ | g | g | $1.948{ }^{\text {h }}$ | $164.8{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 161.66 | -1.9 |
|  | OC-6-32 (187 ${ }^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |  | 162.11 | -1.6 |
|  | OC-6-34 (9 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 162.17 | -1.6 |
|  | OC-6-34 (198) |  |  |  |  | 162.18 | -1.6 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ | OC-6-23 | 1.975 | 91.9 | 1.946 | 163.8 | 163.50 | -0.2 |
|  | OC-6-24 |  |  |  |  | 160.94 | -1.7 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{-}$ | OC-6-23 | g | g | 1.949 | 160.7 | 154.19 | -4.1 |
|  | OC-6-24 |  |  |  |  | 154.32 | -4.0 |
| cis-[VO(pic) $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {d }}$ | $O C-6-23\left(212^{\circ}\right)$ | g | g | 1.951 | 159.5 | 155.31 | -2.6 |
|  | OC-6-24 (188 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |  |  | 155.88 | -2.3 |

${ }^{\text {a }} A$ values reported in $10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Percent deviation (PD) with respect to the absolute experimental $A_{\mathrm{z}}$ value calculated as: $100 \times\left[\left(\left|A_{z}\right|^{\text {calcd }}-\left|A_{z}\right|\right)\left|/\left|A_{z}\right|\right] .{ }^{\mathrm{c}}\right.$ Measured in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{MeOH} 9 / 1 .{ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ The isomers listed in tables $\mathrm{S} 3, \mathrm{~S} 4$ and S 5 are reported with the corresponding $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {MeIm }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {MeIm }}$ dihedral angle in parentheses. ${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} /$ toluene $6 / 4 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$. ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ From ref. D. Sanna et al., Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2012, 1079-1092. ${ }^{g}$ Not measurable. ${ }^{\text {h }}$ From refs. D. Sanna et al., Metallomics, 2012, 4, 33-36; D. Sanna et al., Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 174-187).

Table S2 Gibbs free energy values (at 298.15 and 120 K ) for spy and cis- $O h$ isomers of the $\mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{IV}} \mathrm{O}^{2+}$ complex formed by dhp ligand. ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| Isomer $^{\text {c }}$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(298.15 \mathrm{~K})$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(120 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O C-6-34$ | -1288197.71 | -1288173.88 |
| $O C-6-32$ | -1288198.65 | -1288174.47 |
| $O C-6-24$ | -1288198.50 | -1288174.31 |
| $O C-6-23$ | -1288198.75 | -1288174.60 |
| $S P Y-5-12$ | -1240121.82 | -1240099.28 |
| $S P Y-5-13$ | -1240120.98 | -1240098.85 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values reported in kcal mol ${ }^{-1} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculations performed at the B3P86/6-311g(d,p) level of theory using the SMD model for water. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ Structures are shown in Scheme S1.

Table S3 Gibbs free energy values (at 298.15 and 120K) for the most stable isomers of [VO(dhp) $)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})$ ] complex. ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| Isomer | Dihedral angle <br> $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Melm}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Melm }^{\mathrm{c}}}}$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(298.15 \mathrm{~K})$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(120 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{dhp})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 153.69 | -1407234.36 | -1407207.55 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{dhp})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 345.35 | -1407233.91 | -1407207.43 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{dhp})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 149.24 | -1407235.10 | -1407207.95 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{dhp})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 335.75 | -1407234.54 | -1407207.81 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values reported in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculations performed at the B3P86/6-311g(d,p) level of theory using the SMD model for water. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The values were obtained after optimization of the structures at the minimum points in the scan calculation. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-34$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-23$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles.

Table S4 Gibbs free energy values (at 298.15 and 120K) for the most stable isomers of [VO(ma) $)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})$ ] complex. a,b

| Isomer | Dihedral angle <br> $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {Melm }-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Melm}^{\mathrm{c}}}}$ | $G_{\mathrm{aq}}(298.15 \mathrm{~K})$ | $G_{\mathrm{aq}}(120 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c^{i s}-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 3.41 | -1382652.47 | -1382626.90 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 186.59 | -1382652.46 | -1382626.84 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 8.82 | -1382654.66 | -1382628.38 |
| $c^{\mathrm{c}}-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{e}}$ | 197.85 | -1382654.75 | -1382628.41 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values reported in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculations performed at the B3P86/6-311g(d,p) level of theory using the SMD model for water. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The values were obtained after optimization of the structures at the minimum points in the scan calculation. ${ }^{d}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-32$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-34$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles.

Table S5 Gibbs free energy values (at 298.15 and 120 K ) for the most stable isomers of [VO(pic) $)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})$ ] complex. ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$

| Isomer | Dihedral angle $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Melm}}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Melm}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(298.15 \mathrm{~K})$ | $G_{\text {aq }}(120 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\mathrm{d}}$ | 192.29 | -1356210.74 | -1356186.11 |
| cis-[VO(pic) $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {d }}$ | 211.58 | -1356211.19 | -1356186.21 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {e }}$ | 182.35 | -1356209.63 | -1356184.92 |
| $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]^{\text {e }}$ | 187.87 | -1356209.79 | -1356184.83 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Values reported in $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculations performed at the B3P86/6-311g(d,p) level of theory using the SMD model for water. ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ The values were obtained after optimization of the structures at the minimum points in the scan calculation. ${ }^{d}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-24$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles. ${ }^{\text {e }}$ Structure obtained from $O C-6-23$ substituting water molecule with MeIm with two different dihedral angles.
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Scheme S1 Possible isomers for the penta-coordinated [VO(dhp) $)_{2}$ ] and hexa-coordinated cis-[VO(dhp) $\left.2\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ species.
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Scheme S2 Most stable isomers for the hexa-coordinated cis-[VO(ma $\left.)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ species.



Scheme S3 Most stable isomers for the hexa-coordinated cis-[VO(pic $\left.)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ species.


Fig. S1 Isotropic EPR spectra recorded at variable temperature on solutions containing: a) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{dhp} 1 / 2, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 ; b) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{dhp} / \mathrm{MeIm} 1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 ; c) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{dhp} 1 / 2, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{pH} 7.40 ; \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{dhp} / \mathrm{MeIm} 1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 .


Fig. S2 Experimental (black) anisotropic EPR spectrum recorded at 120 K on frozen solution containing $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{dhp} / \mathrm{MeIm}$ $1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 . The spectrum was simulated (red) with the following parameters: $A_{\mathrm{x}} 54.5$ $\times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, A_{\mathrm{y}} 55.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, A_{\mathrm{z}} 162.8 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, g_{\mathrm{x}} 1.980, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{y}} 1.972, g_{\mathrm{z}} 1.947$. The spectrum has been assigned to the species cis-[VO(dhp) $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]$.


Fig. S3 Electronic energy values in solution $\left(E_{\text {aq }}, 298.15 \mathrm{~K}\right)$ in function of the $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{V}-\mathrm{N}_{\text {MeIm }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {Melm }}$ dihedral angle. Relaxed scan calculation performed on cis-[VO(dhp) $\left.)_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]$ structure obtained substituting water molecule with MeIm from $O C-6-23$.
a


Fig. S4 Anisotropic EPR spectra recorded at 120 K on frozen solutions containing: a) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma}^{1 / 2}, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{pH} 7.40 ; \mathrm{b}$ ) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{Mb} 1 / 2 / 1, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 ; c) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{MeIm} 1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1$ mM , in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 .


Fig. S5 Experimental (black) anisotropic EPR spectrum recorded at 120 K on frozen solutions containing $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma}^{1 / 2}$, $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 . The spectrum was simulated (red) with the following parameters: $A_{\mathrm{x}} 58.0 \times 10^{-4}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}, A_{\mathrm{y}} 62.0 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, A_{\mathrm{z}} 168.9 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, g_{\mathrm{x}} 1.977, \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{y}} 1.976, g_{\mathrm{z}} 1.942$. The spectrum has been assigned to the species cis-[VO(ma) $\left.2_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$.


Fig. S6 Isotropic EPR spectra recorded at variable temperature on solutions containing: a) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma}^{2} 1 / 2, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M , pH 7.37 ; b) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{MeIm} 1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M , $\mathrm{pH} 7.40,325 \mathrm{~K}$; c) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{MeIm}$ $1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.40 . With II, II and III the resonances of cis-[VO(ma $\left.)_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$, trans$\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]$ and $c i s-\left[\mathrm{VO}(\mathrm{ma})_{2}(\mathrm{MeIm})\right]$ are indicated.


Fig. S7 Isotropic EPR spectra recorded at 298 K on solutions containing: a) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} / \mathrm{pic} 1 / 2, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.52; b) $\mathrm{VO}^{2+} /$ pic/MeIm $1 / 2 / 4, \mathrm{VO}^{2+} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, in HEPES 0.1 M pH 7.52 .

