Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Dalton Transactions. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Supporting information

Polyoxoniobates as molecular building blocks in thin films

Mark A. Rambaran, András Gorzsás, Michael Holmboe and C. André Ohlin* Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Umeå University, 901 87 Sweden *Corresponding author: andre.ohlin@umu.se website: http://moleculargeo.chem.umu.se/ohlin

S1. Materials and method 1
S1.1 Polyoxometalate synthesis
S1.1.1 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Decaniobate [N(CH ₃) ₄] ₆ [Nb ₁₀ O ₂₈]·2H ₂ O1
S1.1.2 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Monotitanoniobate [N(CH ₃) ₄] ₇ [TiNb ₉ O ₂₈]·3H ₂ O
S1.1.3 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Dititanoniobate [N(CH ₃) ₄] ₈ [Ti ₂ Nb ₈ O ₂₈]·6H ₂ O 2
S1.2 Substrate preparation
S1.3 Thin film formation
S1.4 Raman spectroscopy 3
S1.5 PXRD measurements
S1.6 AFM imaging
S1.7 Ellipsometry measurements
S1.8 SEM measurements
S1.9 UV-Vis measurements
S2. Raman spectroscopy
S2.1 Raman spectra of Polyoxometalates
S2.2 Raman spectra of annealed polyoxometalates
S3. Powder XRD
S3.1 Polyoxometalates
S3.2 Niobium and tantalum pentoxides12
S3.3 Annealed polyoxometalates15
S4. SEM
\$5. EDS
S6. AFM

S7. ¹⁷ O-NMR spectroscopy of POMs	33
S8. UV-visible spectroscopy	37
References	38

S1. Materials and method

Hydrous Nb₂O₅ was obtained as a gift from Prof. William H. Casey at UC Davis and used as is. Anhydrous niobium(V) oxide (99.99% trace metal basis, mixed polymorphs) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Titanium tetraisopropoxide (TTIP) 97%, H₂SO₄ 97% and H₂O₂ 30% were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Tetramethylammonium hydroxide pentahydrate (TMAOH) 98% ([N(CH₃)₄]OH·5H₂O) was obtained from Acros Organics. Silicon wafers (50.8 ±0.3 mm, 279 ±25 µm, p-doped, 10-20 Ω cm) were obtained from Siegert Wafer GmbH. All solutions/reactions used deionized water from the Elga Purelab Chorus water purification system (18.2 M Ω cm, 25 °C).

A Biotage Initiator⁺ microwave synthesizer (400 W) was used for microwave irradiation synthesis. Similarly to the previous microwave synthesis of polyoxoniobates and –tantalates,¹ the general procedure for synthesis of decaniobate and hexaniobate followed: suspensions of Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O in aqueous solutions of TMAOH being microwave irradiated at 180 °C in 10-20 mL sealed glass vials for 15 minutes, yielding autogenic pressures of 12-14 bars. After cooling the suspensions were filtered, the liquors kept and the products precipitated with isopropanol/acetonitrile or acetone. The synthesis of titanoniobates proceeded with microwave irradiation at 170-180 °C for 30 minutes, yielding similar autogenic pressures. After work-up and precipitation, the titanoniobates were extracted in methanol and the extract filtered through a 0.20 µm PTFE syringe filter to remove precipitated TiO₂.

S1.1 Polyoxometalate synthesis

S1.1.1 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Decaniobate $[N(CH_3)_4]_6[Nb_{10}O_{28}] \cdot 2H_2O$

Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O (4.00 g, 12.34 mmol; 82% w/w) was mixed with a solution of [N(CH₃)₄]OH·5H₂O (2.70 g, 14.9 mmol, 10 mL) and microwave irradiated at 180 °C for 15 minutes, resulting in a clear solution which was filtered through a 0.22 μ m nitrocellulose filter to remove unreacted Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O. Acetone (20 mL) was added to precipitate the product and the supernatant was removed. This was repeated three times with 10 mL aliquots of acetone to precipitate a white powder which was filtered under suction, collected and oven-dried at 90 °C overnight under standard conditions to yield 3.25 g (71%) of [N(CH₃)₄]₆[Nb₁₀O₂₈]·2H₂O. ¹⁷O-NMR (Nb₁₀O₂₈]⁶·H₂O, 25 °C): 306.6 ppm (µ₂-O; B), 465.3 ppm (µ₂-O; D), 471.5 ppm (µ₂-O; C), 552.9 ppm (µ₂-O; E), 703 ppm (η=O; G) and 720.9 ppm (η=O; F). Raman spectroscopy of the [Nb₁₀O₂₈]⁶· cluster in: (i) solution detected symmetric and asymmetric stretching of the terminal Nb=O at 930 cm⁻¹ and 900 cm⁻¹, respectively; (ii) solid detected symmetric and asymmetric stretching of the terminal Nb=O at 919 cm⁻¹ and 883 cm⁻¹, respectively.

S1.1.2 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Monotitanoniobate $[N(CH_3)_4]_7[TiNb_9O_{28}] \cdot 3H_2O$

Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O (3.00 g, 9.25 mmol; 82% w/w) and TTIP 97% (0.54 mL, 1.77 mmol) were mixed with a solution of [N(CH₃)₄]OH·5H₂O (2.5g, 13.8 mmol, 10 mL) and microwave irradiated at 180 °C for 30 minutes, resulting in a clear solution which was filtered through a 0.22 μ m nitrocellulose filter to remove unreacted Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O and TiO₂. Isopropanol (20 mL) was added to precipitate the product and the supernatant was removed. This was repeated five times with 10 mL aliquots of isopropanol to precipitate a white powder and acetonitrile (80 ml) was added to remove any

remaining water from the product. The acetonitrile was decanted and the product was extracted in methanol (10 mL). The synthesis was repeated three times and all the extracts were combined and filtered through a 0.20 μ m PTFE syringe filter, prior to being dried in-*vacuo* to yield a white powder, 9.56 g (61%) of [N(CH₃)₄]₇[TiNb₉O₂₈]·3H₂O. ¹⁷O-NMR ([TiNb₉O₂₈]⁷⁻ H₂O, 25 °C): 299.8 ppm (μ ₃-O; B_{Nb}), 340.2 ppm (μ ₃-O; B_{Ti}), 452.5 ppm (μ ₂-O; D), 458.3 ppm (μ ₂-O; C_{Ti}), 462.2 ppm (μ ₂-O; C_{Nb}), 545.9 ppm (μ ₂-O; E_{Nb}), 592.6 ppm (μ ₂-O; E_{Ti}), 670.2 ppm (η =O; G_{Ti}), 672.5 ppm (η =O; G_{Nb}) and 690.1 ppm (η =O; F). Raman spectroscopy of the [TiNb₉O₂₈]⁷⁻ cluster in: (i) solution detected symmetric and asymmetric stretching of the terminal Nb=O at 917 cm⁻¹ and 880 cm⁻¹, respectively; (ii) solid detected symmetric and asymmetric stretching of the terminal Nb=O at 904 cm⁻¹ and 869 cm⁻¹, respectively.

S1.1.3 Microwave Irradiation Synthesis of Dititanoniobate [N(CH₃)₄]₈[Ti₂Nb₈O₂₈]·6H₂O

Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O (3.00 g, 9.25 mmol; 82% w/w) and TTIP 97% (2.25 mL, 7.37 mmol) were mixed with a solution of [N(CH₃)₄]OH·5H₂O (4.73g, 26.0 mmol, 10 mL) and microwave irradiated at 170 °C for 30 minutes, resulting in a clear solution which was filtered through a 0.22 μ m nitrocellulose filter to remove unreacted Nb₂O₅·*n*H₂O and TiO₂. Isopropanol (20 mL) was added to precipitate the product and the supernatant was removed. This was repeated four times with 10 mL aliquots of isopropanol to precipitate a white powder and acetonitrile (80 ml) was added to remove any remaining water from the product. The acetonitrile was decanted and the product was extracted in methanol (30 mL).The synthesis was repeated five times and all the extracts were combined and filtered through a 0.20 μ m PTFE syringe filter, prior to being dried in-*vacuo* to yield a white powder, 15.69 g (57%) of [N(CH₃)₄]8[Ti₂Nb₈O₂₈]·6H₂O. ¹⁷O-NMR ([Ti₂Nb₈O₂₈]⁸- H₂O, 25 °C): 433.3 ppm (µ₂-O; D), 449.8 ppm (µ₂-O; C), 579.9 ppm (µ₂-O; E), 644.1 ppm (η=O; G) and 662.8 ppm (η=O; F). Raman spectroscopy of the [Ti₂Nb₈O₂₈]⁸- cluster in: (i) solution detected symmetric and asymmetric stretching of the terminal Nb=O at 888 cm⁻¹ and 853 cm⁻¹, respectively.

S1.2 Substrate preparation

Silicon wafers were cleaved into ca. 2.5 cm circular quadrants and soaked in H_2SO_4 (97%) and H_2O_2 (30%) mixed in a 3:1 ratio – henceforth referred to as piranha solution – with stirring overnight, followed by liberal washing with water, then dried on a hotplate at 200 °C for ca. 15 minutes. Thereafter the substrates were treated with air plasma for 15 minutes inside a Diener Zepto-W6 plasma cleaner (85% power and pressure of 0.6 mbar). Prior to spin coating, clear cellophane tape (2.5 cm x 0.4 cm) was placed along one side of the circular quadrant to preserve an uncoated region of the substrate during spin coating. For depositing successive layers, the coated substrate was treated with air plasma similarly for 30 minutes and clear cellophane tape was added to preserve an uncoated region of the substrate, prior to the spin coating process.

S1.3 Thin film formation

A SPS spin coater (SPS Europe) was used for spin coating. Pre-treated substrates were mounted and held under vacuum onto the spin coater substrate holder, followed by addition of the POM solution (100 μ L) to the center of the substrate. The substrate was then rotated at 2000 rpm for 4

minutes. The tape was subsequently removed from the coated substrate, which was then annealed under standard atmospheric conditions at selected temperatures: 200 °C, 400 °C, 800 °C and 1000 °C using a Nabertherm LT 15/11 furnace (Nabertherm GmbH). The ramp rate was 5.0 °C min⁻¹ for temperatures <1000 °C and 1.8 °C min⁻¹ at 1000 °C and the final temperature was maintained for one hour prior to annealing. The solid POMs were annealed under the same conditions.

S1.4 Raman spectroscopy

For solid Raman spectroscopy of the synthesised and annealed POMs (ca. 0.4 g), Raman spectra (6 scans, co-added for good signal-to-noise ratios) were collected at 50 mW with the 532 nm laser and automatically background corrected with the Anton-Paar software (Cora 500 V2.0.4.5). Solution Raman spectroscopy used the same procedure but with 0.5 mL of the POM solution.

Raman microspectroscopy (mapping) was performed on a Renishaw Qontor Raman spectrometer 405 nm laser at 100% power and 0.2 s irradiation time set in the software (WIRE, v.5.3; Renishaw), in static mode, centered at 520 cm⁻¹. For mapping, a 100x non-immersive lens was used with 1 μ m step sizes (in both X and Y directions), in high confocal modes recording images with a minimum of 2500 spectra. Raman shifts were calibrated using the built-in Si standard of the instrument. Cosmic rays were removed and data noise filtered using the chemometrics package of WIRE. Spectra were exported as .txt files and pre-processed using the open-source MCR-ALS script (run in MATLAB v.21b, MathWorks, CA, USA), as provided by the Vibrational Spectroscopy Core Facility (https://www.umu.se/en/research/infrastructure/visp/downloads/). Spectra were cut to the 100 – 1100 cm⁻¹ region to remove noise filtering artefacts, and thereafter baseline corrected, smoothed and point max normalised (520 cm⁻¹).

S1.5 PXRD measurements

The powders and annealed films of the POMs were identified by randomly-oriented powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements using PANalytical X'pert3 diffractometer (CuK- α radiation 1.54187 Å). The powdered samples were loaded onto a 1.5 cm circular cavity holder and run using a flat stage with the following parameters: 10-60° 20, 0.039° step size, 1 s collecting time, 45 kV, 40 mA, 0.04 rad Soller slits, 1/16° incident divergence slit, 5 mm anti-scattering receiving slit, and 10 mm mask. The divergence slit and mask were chosen so that the sample occupied the whole X-ray irradiated area. Diffractograms were baseline corrected using X'pert highscore and Rietveld refinement was done with Profex 4.2.²

S1.6 AFM imaging

AFM imaging was performed by using a BioScope Catalyst AFM (Bruker), operating in a peak force mode in air. The scan rate was 0.1 Hz and resolution was 512 x 512 pixels over a 10 μ m x 10 μ m scan area. A Bruker SLN cantilever was used in all measurements. Roughness was calculated over a 5 μ m x 5 μ m scan area using the Bruker Nansoscope analysis v.1.9 software.

S1.7 Ellipsometry measurements

Spectroscopic ellipsometry measurements were done using an Alpha-SE Ellipsometer (J.A. Woollam Co. Inc.) with single scans at 70.078° in long acquisition mode. The ellipsometric data was acquired and modelled with the CompleteEase v.5.23 software. Film thickness was calculated

using a B-spline curve derived from the "Global Fit" function associated with the "Si with Absorbing Film.mod" model used to fit the ellipsometric data.

S1.8 SEM measurements

The powdered and thin-film samples were attached onto carbon tape-mounted on aluminium stub. The surface morphology was examined by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; Carl Zeiss Merlin) using in-lens secondary electron detector at accelerating voltage of 5 kV and probe current of 120 pA. The elemental composition analysis was performed using an energy dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDS; Oxford Instruments X-Max 80 mm2) at accelerating voltage of 10 kV, probe current of 300 pA and acquisition time of 150 us (map analysis) and 30 s (area analysis). A dual-beam focused ion beam-scanning electron microscopy (FIB-SEM; Thermo Fisher Scientific Scios) is employed to expose the cross-section of the thin-film samples using gallium ion voltage of 30 kV and current in the range of 30-300 pA.

S1.9 UV-Vis measurements

UV-Visible spectroscopy was done using the PerkinElmer Lambda 750 UV/Vis/NIR Spectrometer (PerkinElmer, Inc., USA) equipped with a Deuterium and Tungsten halogen light source in Diffuse reflectance mode. Thin-film samples are mounted within the sample chamber and measurements were made from 700 to 250 nm under standard atmospheric conditions.

S2. Raman spectroscopy

S2.1 Raman spectra of Polyoxometalates



Figure S2-1. Raman spectra of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$, $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ and $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ in solution (a) and solid (b) phase; $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ and $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ in solution (c) and solid (d) phase. Spectra are normalized against the TMA signal at 750 cm⁻¹.

S2.2 Raman spectra of annealed polyoxometalates

Table S2-1. Raman shifts of niobium pentoxide polymorphs, titanium-niobium oxides and tantalum pentoxide.

Compound/	Annealing	Bond type and Raman shifts (cm ⁻¹)				Crystal	Structure
POM	temperature (°C)	M=O	MO ₆	μ_2 -O ^{[b],}	µ ₃ -O ^[c]	system	form
Hydrous Nb ₂ O ₅	-	-	655	227, 245 395, 445 495	-	amorphous	-
Hydrous Ta ₂ O ₅	-	-	653	346, 420 492	-	amorphous	-
Anhydrous Nb ₂ O ₅	-	990, 900	719, 672, 620	834, 245	455	M ^[d] , O ^[e]	Nb ₁₂ O ₂₉ , Nb _{16.8} O ₄₂
$[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$	800	-	682	300, 230	-	O ^[e]	$Nb_{16.8}O_{42}$
[TiNb ₉ O ₂₈] ⁷⁻	800	996, 890	655	818, 533 255	455	M ^[d] , O ^[e]	$Ti_2Nb_{10}O_{29}$
$[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8\text{-}}$	800	998, 885	638	830, 527 243	446	$\mathbf{M}^{[d]}$	TiNb ₂ O ₇
$[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	800	-	683	300, 230	-	O ^[e]	Nb _{16.8} O ₄₂
$[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	800	-	700, 620	895, 845 476, 395 345, 246	-	O ^[e]	Ta ₂ O ₅
$[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$	1000	991, 896	660, 620	836, 540 246	456	$\mathbf{M}^{[d]}$	Nb ₁₂ O ₂₉
[TiNb ₉ O ₂₈] ⁷⁻	1000	996, 890	637	818, 533 255	455	$M^{[d]}, O^{[e]}$	$Ti_2Nb_{10}O_{29}$
$[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$	1000	998, 885	638	830, 527 255	446	$\mathbf{M}^{[d]}$	TiNb ₂ O ₇
$[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	1000	992, 895	660, 620	835, 544 256	460	$M^{[d]}$	Nb ₁₂ O ₂₉
$[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	1000	-	700, 620	895, 845 476, 395 345, 246	-	O ^[e]	Ta ₂ O ₅

[a] M = Nb or Ta, [b] M-O-M bond, [c] O-M₃ bond, [d] Monoclinic, [e] Orthorhombic



Figure S2-2. Raman spectra of powdered $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ annealed at $200 - 1000 \ ^{\circ}C$ (a), $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ film annealed at 800 $^{\circ}C$ and 1000 $^{\circ}C$ (b), Raman spectra of powdered $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 200 $- 1000 \ ^{\circ}C$ (c) and $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 $^{\circ}C$ and 1000 $^{\circ}C$ (d).



Figure S2-3. Raman spectra of powdered $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ annealed at 200 – 1000 °C (a), $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ film annealed at 800 °C and 1000 °C (b), Raman spectra of powdered $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ annealed at 200 – 1000 °C (c) and $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 °C and 1000 °C (d).



Figure S2- 4. Raman spectra of powdered $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 200 - 1000 °C (a) and $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 °C and 1000 °C (c), Raman spectra of anhydrous Nb₂O₅, hydrous Nb₂O₅ and TiO₂ (c) and Raman spectra of anhydrous Ta₂O₅, hydrous Ta₂O₅ and TiO₂ (d).



Figure S2- 5. Raman spectra of powdered (a) $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$, (b) $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$, (c) $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ and (d) $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 200 – 400 °C.



Figure S2- 6. Raman spectra of the film and powder obtained from a mixed-equimolar solution (0.2 M) of Nb₆ and Ta₆ annealed at 1,000 °C.

S3. Powder XRD

S3.1 Polyoxometalates



Figure S3-1. PXRD pattern of powdered [Nb₁₀O₂₈]⁶⁻.



Figure S3-2. PXRD pattern of powdered [Nb₆O₁₉]⁸⁻.



Figure S3-3. PXRD pattern of powdered [TiNb₉O₂₈]⁷⁻.



Figure S3-4. PXRD pattern of powdered [Ti₂Nb₈O₂₈]⁸⁻.



Figure S3-5. PXRD pattern of powdered $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$.

S3.2 Niobium and tantalum pentoxides



Figure S3-6. PXRD patterns of anhydrous Nb₂O₅, hydrous Nb₂O₅ and TiO₂ (rutile).



Figure S3-7. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of anhydrous Nb₂O₅. Nb₂O₅-29 and Nb₁₂O₂₉-24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb₂O₅; Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-8. PXRD patterns of anhydrous Ta₂O₅, hydrous Ta₂O₅ and TiO₂ (rutile).



Figure S3-9. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of anhydrous Ta_2O_5 . Ta_2O_5 -9112 and Ta_2O_5 -66366 are the orthorhombic phase of Ta_2O_5 .

S3.3 Annealed polyoxometalates



Figure S3-10. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ annealed at 800 °C. Nb₂O₅-29 and Nb₁₂O₂₉-24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb₂O₅; Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-11. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ annealed at 1000 °C. Nb₂O₅-29 and Nb₁₂O₂₉-24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb₂O₅; Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-12. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 800 °C. Nb₂O₅-29 and Nb₁₂O₂₉-24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb₂O₅; Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-13. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 1000 °C. Nb₂O₅-29 and Nb₁₂O₂₉-24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb₂O₅; Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-14. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ annealed at 800 °C. $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -22000 and $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -15474 are the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases of $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$, respectively, and Nb₂O₅-1840 is the orthorhombic phase of Nb₂O₅.



Figure S3-15. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ annealed at 1000 °C. $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -22000 and $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -15474 are the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases of $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ respectively; Nb_2O_5 -29 and $Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -24111 are monoclinic phases of Nb_2O_5 .



Figure S3-16. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ annealed at 800 °C. $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -22000 and $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -15474 are the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases of $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ respectively; $TiNb_2O_7$ -48109 is the monoclinic phases of $TiNb_2O_7$ -48109.



Figure S3-17. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ annealed at 1000 °C. $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -22000 and $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ -15474 are the orthorhombic and monoclinic phases of $Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$ respectively; $TiNb_2O_7$ -48109 is the monoclinic phases of $TiNb_2O_7$ -48109.



Figure S3-18. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 800 °C. Ta₂O₅-9112 and Ta₂O₅-66366 are the orthorhombic phase of Ta₂O₅.



Figure S3-19. Rietveld refinement of the PXRD pattern of $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 1000 °C. Ta₂O₅-9112 and Ta₂O₅-66366 are the orthorhombic phase of Ta₂O₅.

S4. SEM

Table S4-1.	. Thickness (by S	SEM) and roughr	ness (by AFM) o	of polyoxometalate	films annealed at
800 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$ and	1,000 °C.				

Polyoxometalate	Temperature	Oxide formed	Thickness	Root mean
(0.2 M)	(°C)		(nm)	square
				roughness (nm)
$[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$	800	ortho-Nb ₂ O ₅	235±5	±24.0
	1000	mono-Nb ₂ O ₅	199±6 ^[a] 442±44 ^[b]	±54.9
$[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$	800	$Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$	363±5	±17.7
	1000	$Ti_2Nb_{12}O_{29}$	$\begin{array}{c} 172{\pm}3^{[a]} \\ 262{\pm}18^{[b]} \end{array}$	±35.3
$[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$	800	TiNb ₂ O ₇	576±9	±21.6
	1000	TiNb ₂ O ₇	169±1 ^[a] 383±23 ^[b]	±13.8
$[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	800	ortho-Nb ₂ O ₅	287±7	±9.02
	1000	mono-Nb ₂ O ₅	188±8 ^[a] 228 ±24 ^[b]	±35.6
$[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$	800	ortho-Ta ₂ O ₅	266±2	±9.61
	1000	ortho-Ta ₂ O ₅	167±5 ^[a] 250±4 ^[b]	±5.8

[a] lower layer and [b] upper layer



Figure S4-1. SEM image of powdered [Nb₁₀O₂₈]⁶⁻ annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S4-2. SEM image of powdered $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S4-3. SEM image of powdered [TiNb₉O₂₈]⁷⁻ annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S4-4. SEM image of powdered $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ annealed at 1000 °C



Figure S4-5. SEM image of powdered $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ annealed at 1000 °C

S5. EDS

Polyoxometalate ^[a]	Calculated	Atomic composition (%) of films relative to			
	composition	temperature (°C)			
	%	200	400	800	1000
$[N(CH_3)_4]_6[Nb_{10}O_{28}] \cdot 2H_2O$	4.09 (H)	30.12 (C)	8.31 (C)	1.21 (C)	0.2 (C)
	15.51 (C)	5.36 (N)	63.66 (O)	58.69 (O)	63.89 (O)
	4.52 (N)	33.27 (O)	5.2 (Si)	16.16 (Si)	10.8 (Si)
	25.85 (O)	18.96 (Si)	22.81 (Nb)	23.93 (Nb)	25.11 (Nb)
	50.03 (Nb)	12.29 (Nb)			
$[N(CH_3)_4]_7[TiNb_9O_{28}] \cdot 3H_2O$	4.73 (H)	28.16 (C)	7.06 (C)	0.50 (C)	0.88 (C)
	17.65 (C)	47.37 (O)	60.15 (O)	60.85 (O)	63.71 (O)
	5.15 (N)	6.55 (Si)	10.10 (Si)	14.13 (Si)	10.03 (Si)
	26.05 (O)	1.71 (Ti)	2.44 (Ti)	2.24 (Ti)	2.43 (Ti)
	2.51 (Ti)	16.21 (Nb)	20.24 (Nb)	22.28 (Nb)	22.95 (Nb)
	43.91 (Nb)				
$[N(CH_3)_4]_8[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}] \cdot 6H_2O$	5.44 (H)	22.32 (C)	6.17 (C)	2.71 (C)	65.84 (O)
	19.33 (C)	52.05 (O)	63.4 (O)	64.73 (O)	5.39 (Si)
	5.64 (N)	3.41 (Si)	4.08 (Si)	3.75 (Si)	8.40 (Ti)
	27.38 (O)	6.49 (Ti)	7.67 (Ti)	8.29 (Ti)	20.37 (Nb)
	4.82 (Ti)	15.74 (Nb)	18.68 (Nb)	20.52 (Nb)	
	37.4 (Nb)				
$[N(CH_3)_4]_8[Nb_6O_{19}] \cdot 2H_2O^{[b]}$	6.71 (H)	25.43 (C)	3.13 (C)	1.66 (C)	56.71 (O)
	25.78 (C)	32.8 (O)	49.99 (O)	47.85 (O)	24.64 (Si)
	7.52 (N)	30.13 (Si)	29.41 (Si)	32.24 (Si)	18.64 (Nb)
	22.56 (O)	11.64 (Nb)	17.46 (Nb)	18.25 (Nb)	
	37.42 (Nb)				
$[N(CH_3)_4]_8[Ta_6O_{19}]^{[b]}$	4.85 (H)	17.2 (C)	8.11 (C)	61.74 (O)	59.77 (O)
	19.38 (C)	55.13 (O)	55.49 (O)	14.15 (Si)	16.42 (Si)
	5.65 (N)	7.45 (Si)	14.60 (Si)	24.11 (Ta)	23.81 (Ta)
	15.34 (O)	20.22 (Ta)	21.80 (Ta)		
	54.78 (Ta)				

Table S5-1. Atomic composition of annealed polyoxometalate films

[a] 0.2 M, [b] Synthesised according to previous microwave synthesis of hexaniobate and hexatantalate.¹

S6. AFM



Figure S6-1. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ film annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S6-2. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ film annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S6-3. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S6-4. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S6-5. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ film annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S6-6. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ film annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S6-7. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S6-8. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 1000 °C.



Figure S6-9. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 800 °C.



Figure S6-10. AFM image of four successively deposited layers of $[Ta_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ film annealed at 1000 °C.

S7. ¹⁷O-NMR spectroscopy of POMs



Figure S7-1. The $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ ion with each symmetry unique oxygen labelled A: μ_6 -O; B: μ_3 -O; C-E: μ_2 -O; F-G: η =O. Red and light blue spheres represent niobium and oxygen atoms respectively.



Figure S7-2. Side view of the $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ ion with each symmetry unique oxygen labelled A: μ_6- O; B_{Nb} and B_{Ti}: μ_3 -O; C_{Nb} and C_{Ti}: μ_2 -O; D: μ_2 -O; E_{Nb} and E_{Ti}: μ_2 -O; F: $\eta=O$; G_{Nb} and G_{Ti}: $\eta=O$. Red, grey and light blue spheres represent oxygen, titanium and niobium atoms respectively.



Figure S7-3. The $[Ti_2Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{8-}$ ion with each symmetry unique oxygen labelled A: μ_6 -O; B: μ_3 -O; C-E: μ_2 -O; F-G: η =O. Red, grey and light blue spheres represent oxygen, titanium and niobium atoms respectively.



Figure S7-4. ¹⁷O-NMR spectra of $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ (black line), $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ (blue line) and $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ (red line) in unbuffered 20% ¹⁷O enriched H₂O. ^aCarbonate is present at 180.5 ppm;³ ^b the broad peak at 368.3 ppm is attributable to protonation of the μ_2 -O at site b of $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$ and is a known impurity in the synthesis of $TiNb_9$;^{1, 4, 5 &} peaks are attributable to $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$;⁴ *impurities in the NMR solvent which has been recycled.

^[a] Chemical shift (ppm)			
[Nb10O28] ⁶⁻	[TiNb9O28] ⁷⁻	[Ti2Nb8O28] ⁸⁻	
306.6	299.8 (B _{Nb})	Not observed	
	340.2 (B _{Ti})		
465.3	452.5	433.3	
471.5	458.3 (C _{Ti})	449.8	
	462.2 (C _{Nb})		
552.9	545.9 (E _{Nb})	579.8	
	592.6 (E _{Ti})		
703	670.2 (G _{Ti})	644.1	
	672.5 (G _{Nb})		
720.9	690.1	662.8	
	 ^[a]Chemical shift (ppm) [Nb10O28]⁶⁻ 306.6 465.3 471.5 552.9 703 720.9 	$[a]$ Chemical shift (ppm) $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$ $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ 306.6 299.8 (B _{Nb}) 340.2 (B _{Ti}) 340.2 (B _{Ti}) 465.3 452.5 471.5 458.3 (C _{Ti}) 462.2 (C _{Nb}) 552.9 545.9 (E _{Nb}) 592.6 (E _{Ti}) 703 670.2 (G _{Ti}) 672.5 (G _{Nb}) 690.1	

Table S7-1. Oxygen chemical shifts (ppm) for $[Nb_{10}O_{28}]^{6-}$, $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$ and $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ in unbuffered solutions of recycled 20% ¹⁷O enriched H₂O.

[a]Based on previous assignments by Villa et al.⁴



Figure S7-5. ¹⁷O-NMR spectra of $[Ti_2Nb_8O_{28}]^{8-}$ enriched at 40 °C for 72 hours (bottom) and 160 °C for 1 hour (top) in unbuffered recycled 20% ¹⁷O-enriched H₂O. ^aCarbonate is present at 180.5 ppm;³ ^βthe $[Ti_{12}Nb_6O_{44}]^{10-}$ ion;⁴ ^δpeaks are attributable to $[TiNb_9O_{28}]^{7-}$;⁴ * impurities present in the recycled NMR solvent.

S8. UV-visible spectroscopy



Figure S8-1. UV-visible spectra of Nb₁₀ (a), Nb₆ (b), TiNb₉ (c) and Ti₂Nb₈ (d) films annealed at 200 – 1000 °C. Films annealed at temperatures \geq 800 °C exhibit greater absorption within the UV region compared to lower temperatures.



Figure S8-2. UV-visible spectra of Ta_6 films annealed at 200 – 1000 °C.

References

- 1. M. A. Rambaran, M. Pascual-Borràs and C. A. Ohlin, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, DOI: 10.1002/ejic.201900750, 3913-3918.
- 2. N. Doebelin and R. Kleeberg, J. Appl. Cryst., 2015, 48, 1573-1580.
- 3. D. L. Clark, T. W. Newton, P. D. Palmer and B. D. Zwick, ¹³C and ¹⁷O NMR binding constant studies of uranyl carbonate complexes in near-neutral aqueous solution Yucca Mountain Project Milestone Report 3351, United States, 1995.
- 4. E. M. Villa, C. A. Ohlin and W. H. Casey, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 5264-5272.
- 5. R. Sharma, J. Zhang and C. A. Ohlin, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, 18, 8235-8241.