## Electronic Supplementary Information

## A Fe-doped Co-oxide Electrocatalyst Synthesized Through Post-Modification Method Toward Advanced Water Oxidation

Zhenhang Xu<sup>a</sup>, Wei Zuo<sup>a</sup>, Tianyu Shi<sup>a</sup>, Xinghai Liu<sup>b</sup>, Houbin Li<sup>b</sup>, Pingping Zhao,\*<sup>b</sup> and Gongzhen Cheng\*<sup>a</sup>

## **Chemical reaction processes of catalysts**

In a facile experiment, 0.8 mmol Co(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.8 mmol urea and 0.8 mmol NH<sub>4</sub>F were dispersed in 40 mL of ultrapure water in an ultrasonication bath to form homogeneous solution. Subsequently the above solution was transferred to a Teflonlined stainless-steel autoclave (50 mL). The whole system was sealed and then heated to 120 °C for 6 h. After being taken out and left to cool to room temperature, the product (i.e. Co(OH)F precursor) was washed several times with ultrapure water and ethanol, and dried at 80 °C overnight. Then, in a secondary hydrothermal process, 50 mg of Co(OH)F precursor synthesized before were put into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave containing a uniform solution of a certain amount of FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and 40 mL of N,N-Dimethylformamide. Immediately after, the autoclaves were maintained at 100°C for 4 h. After the reaction is complete, the products (i.e.  $CoFe(OH)F-\alpha$ ) were washed several times with ethanol by centrifugation and dried at 80 °C. Finally, the prepared CoFe(OH)F-a were put in quartz tube and then filled the entire system with nitrogen. After a further calcination at 300 °C for 30 min, Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>- $\alpha$  were obtained. As a control, Co(OH)F that had not been treated with FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was also calcined under the same conditions, which was marked as Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> according to the XRD pattern.



Figure S1. XRD patterns of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.005 and Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.02.



Figure S2. FESEM images of (a) and (b) CoFe(OH)F-0.005, (c) and (d) CoFe(OH)F-0.01, (e) and (f) CoFe(OH)F-0.02.



Figure S3. FESEM images of (a) and (b)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.005, (c) and (d)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.02.



Figure S4. The corresponding crystal lattice analysis of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01.



Figure S5. EDX spectrum of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01.



Figure S6. XPS survey spectrum of (a) CoFe(OH)F-0.01, (b) Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and (c) Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01.



Figure S7. XPS high-resolution spectra of Fe 2p of (a) CoFe(OH)F-0.01 and (b) Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01.



Figure S8. EIS plots at the potential from 1.52 to 1.67 V vs. RHE of (a)  $Co_3O_4$ , (b)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4-0.005$ , (c)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4-0.01$  and (d)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4-0.02$ .



Figure S9. CV curves of (a)  $Co_3O_4$ , (b)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.005, (c)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.01 and (d)  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.02 under potential of 1.17-1.27 V vs RHE with different scan rate from 10 to 50 mV s<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure S10. LSV curve of CoFe(OH)F-0.01 before and after 5000 cycles of CV.



Figure S11. FESEM images of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01 after OER tests.



Figure S12. XRD pattern of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01 after OER tests.



Figure S13. (a) XPS survey spectrum, XPS high-resolution spectra of (b) O 1s, (c) Co 2p and (d) Fe 2p of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01 after OER tests.

Z	Element	Family	Atomic	Atomic	Mass	Mass	Fit
			Fraction (%)	Error (%)	Fraction (%)	Error (%)	error (%)
6	С	K	39.08	5.57	20.39	1.69	2.67
8	0	Κ	40.56	9.93	28.19	6.08	1.77
26	Fe	Κ	5.24	1.01	12.70	1.96	0.17
27	Co	Κ	15.12	2.92	38.72	5.99	0.16

Table S1. Percentage of elements corresponding to EDX spectrum of Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01.

Table S2. The quantitative information of the samples of Co-O, CoFe-OH-0.01 and  $Co_{3-x}Fe_xO_4$ -0.01 based on the XPS results in Figure 5b.

UAAI			8	
Sample	$Co^{2+} 2p_{3/2} (eV)$	Co <sup>2+</sup> (%)	$Co^{3+} 2p_{3/2}$ (eV)	Co <sup>3+</sup> (%)
CoFe(OH)F-0.01	781.8	77.7	780.5	22.3
$Co_3O_4$	781.8	67.5	780.4	32.5
Co <sub>3-x</sub> Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -0.01	781.9	66.3	780.5	33.7

Table S3. Comparison of OER performance between Co<sub>3-x</sub>Fe<sub>x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>-0.01 and CoFe bimetal base electrocatalysts reported in recent years.

Catalyst	<b>Overpotential at</b>	<b>Tafel Slope</b>	Electrolyte	Reference
	10 mA cm <sup>-2</sup> (mV)	(mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )		
Co <sub>3-x</sub> Fe <sub>x</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -0.01	294	47.3	1.0 M KOH	This work
CoFe-LDH/MWCNT/rGO	430	77.73	1.0 M KOH	1
CoFe-200	316	49.6	1.0 M KOH	2
CoFe-LDH/MXene	352	50	1.0 M KOH	3
25%La-CoFe LDH	317	125	1.0 M KOH	4
CoFe-CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> /N-CNTs	334	80	1.0 M KOH	5
CoFe@N-C-700°C	292	64	1.0 M KOH	6
CoFe LDH/Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> (6:4)	290	77	1.0 M KOH	7
CoFe(OH) <sub>x</sub> -2	293	67.4	1.0 M KOH	8
CoFe//LDH – pulsed 0.1	286	48	1.0 M KOH	9
CoFe-H	280	28	1.0 M KOH	10
CoFe@NC-700	380	110	0.1 M KOH	11
CoFe/Co <sub>8</sub> FeS <sub>8</sub> /CNT	290	38	1.0 M KOH	12
CoFe@HNSs	371	69.5	0.1 M KOH	13
NPMC/CoFe	310	50.6	1.0 M KOH	14
CoFe-PYZ	300	44	0.1 M KOH	15
CoFe@N-CNWF	320	71.4	1.0 M KOH	16
CoFe LDH-F	300	40	1.0 M KOH	17
CoFe@N-C/MNGF	330	130.6	0.1 M KOH	18
CoFe-Co@PNC-12	320	82	0.1 M KOH	19
CoFeP NSs	305	49.6	1.0 M KOH	20

## Reference

- Y. J. Yang, M. Duan, C. Yan, D. Zhao, C. Jiang, X. Duan and X. Song, Facile synthesis of CoFe-LDH/MWCNT/rGO nanocomposite as efficient bifunctional electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution and reduction, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2020, 856.
- S. Jo, S. Noh, K. R. Wee and J. H. Shim, Structural Features of Porous CoFe Nanocubes and Their Performance for Oxygen - involving Energy Electrocatalysis, ChemElectroChem, 2020, 7, 3725-3732.
- C. Hao, Y. Wu, Y. An, B. Cui, J. Lin, X. Li, D. Wang, M. Jiang, Z. Cheng and S. Hu,Interface-coupling of CoFe-LDH on MXene as high-performance oxygen evolution catalyst, Materials Today Energy, 2019, 12, 453-462.
- M. Rong, H. Zhong, S. Wang, X. Ma and Z. Cao,La/Ce doped CoFe layered double hydroxides (LDH) highly enhanced oxygen evolution performance of water splitting, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects, 2021, 625.
- D. Xu, B. Liu, G. Liu, K. Su, C. Yang, H. Tong, D. Qian and J. Li,N-doped bamboo-like CNTs combined with CoFe–CoFe2O4 as a highly efficient electrocatalyst towards oxygen evolution, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 6629-6635.
- X. Zeng, M. J. Jang, S. M. Choi, H.-S. Cho, C.-H. Kim, N. V. Myung and Y. Yin, Singlecrystalline CoFe nanoparticles encapsulated in N-doped carbon nanotubes as a bifunctional catalyst for water splitting, Mater. Chem. Front., 2020, 4, 2307-2313.
- P. Ma, H. Yang, Y. Luo, Y. Liu, Y. Zhu, S. Luo, Y. Hu, Z. Zhao and J. Ma,Strongly Coupled Interface Structure in CoFe/Co3 O4 Nanohybrids as Efficient Oxygen Evolution Reaction Catalysts, ChemSusChem, 2019, 12, 4442-4451.
- X. Yue, W. Ke, M. Xie, X. Shen, Z. Yan, Z. Ji, G. Zhu, K. Xu and H. Zhou, Amorphous CoFe(OH)x hollow hierarchical structure: an efficient and durable electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction, Catalysis Science & Technology, 2020, 10, 215-221.
- A. M. P. Sakita, R. D. Noce, E. Vallés and A. V. Benedetti, Pulse electrodeposition of CoFe thin films covered with layered double hydroxides as a fast route to prepare enhanced catalysts for oxygen evolution reaction, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2018, 434, 1153-1160.
- W. Liu, H. Liu, L. Dang, H. Zhang, X. Wu, B. Yang, Z. Li, X. Zhang, L. Lei and S. Jin, Amorphous Cobalt-Iron Hydroxide Nanosheet Electrocatalyst for Efficient Electrochemical and Photo-Electrochemical Oxygen Evolution, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2017, 27.
- K. Meng, Z. Zheng, J. Cao, L. Liu, Z. Jia, Y. Wang and T. Qi, Vanadium nitride based CoFe prussian blue analogues for enhanced electrocatalytic oxygen evolution, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2020, 45, 31410-31417.
- B. Wang, Y. Hu, B. Yu, X. Zhang, D. Yang and Y. Chen, Heterogeneous CoFe–Co8FeS8 nanoparticles embedded in CNT networks as highly efficient and stable electrocatalysts for oxygen evolution reaction, J. Power Sources, 2019, 433.
- X. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Tan, K. Qin, F. X. Ma and J. Zhang, Co, Fe codoped holey carbon nanosheets as bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts for rechargeable Zn-air batteries, Chem Commun (Camb), 2021, 57, 2049-2052.
- 14. Y. Ma, L. Gan, D. Li, Y. Gao, X. Yang, K. Wang, S. Lu, H. Wu, S. Ding and C.

Xiao,Rational modulation of N, P co-doped carbon nanotubes encapsulating Co3Fe7 alloy as bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysts for Zinc–Air batteries, J. Power Sources, 2019, 441.

- J. Gao, J. Cong, Y. Wu, L. Sun, J. Yao and B. Chen, Bimetallic Hofmann-Type Metal– Organic Framework Nanoparticles for Efficient Electrocatalysis of Oxygen Evolution Reaction, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2018, DOI: 10.1021/acsaem.8b01229.
- Q. Zhou, L. Ai, Q. Li, S. Hou, L. Xu, D. Sun, H. Pang, K. Huang and Y. Tang, A "Shipin-a-Bottle" strategy to anchor CoFe nanoparticles inside carbon nanowall-assembled frameworks for high-efficiency bifunctional oxygen electrocatalysis, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 417.
- P. F. Liu, S. Yang, B. Zhang and H. G. Yang, Defect-Rich Ultrathin Cobalt-Iron Layered Double Hydroxide for Electrochemical Overall Water Splitting, ACS Appl Mater Interfaces, 2016, 8, 34474-34481.
- D. I. Jeong, H. W. Choi, S. Woo, J. H. Yoo, M. Kumar, Y. H. Song, B. Lim, B. K. Koo, B. K. Kang and D. H. Yoon, Complementary performance improved crystalline N-doped carbon encapsulated CoFe/mesoporous N-doped graphene foam as bifunctional catalyst, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 559.
- Z. Lei, Y. Tan, Z. Zhang, W. Wu, N. Cheng, R. Chen, S. Mu and X. Sun,Defects enriched hollow porous Co-N-doped carbons embedded with ultrafine CoFe/Co nanoparticles as bifunctional oxygen electrocatalyst for rechargeable flexible solid zinc-air batteries, Nano Research, 2020, 14, 868-878.
- H. Xu, J. Wei, C. Liu, Y. Zhang, L. Tian, C. Wang and Y. Du, Phosphorus-doped cobaltiron oxyhydroxide with untrafine nanosheet structure enable efficient oxygen evolution electrocatalysis, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2018, 530, 146-153.