## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Rational Design of Carborane-Based Cu<sub>2</sub>-paddle wheel Coordination

## Polymers for Increased Hydrolytic Stability

Zhen Li,<sup>[a]</sup> Duane Choquesillo-Lazarte,<sup>[b]</sup> Julio Fraile,<sup>[a]</sup> Clara Viñas,<sup>[a]</sup> Francesc Teixidor,<sup>[a]</sup> José G. Planas<sup>\*[a]</sup>

[a] Institut de Ciència de Materials de Barcelona (ICMAB-CSIC) Bellaterra, Spain.
E-mail: jginerplanas@icmab.es
[b] Laboratorio de Estudios Cristalográficos, IACT, CSIC-Universidad de Granada,
Avda. de las Palmeras 4, 18100 – Armilla, Granada, Spain



Figure S1. Comparison of IR spectra for H<sub>2</sub>L2 (red) and 2 (black).

<b>Fable S1.</b>	Crystallography.
------------------	------------------

Table S1. Crystal and Structure Refinement data for 2				
Empirical formula <sup>a</sup>	C37 H50 B20 Cu2 N O11			
Formula weight	1028.06			
Crystal system	Monoclinic			
Space group	<i>P2/c</i>			
Wavelength (Å)	1.54178 Å			
Temperature	298(2) K			
a (Å)	a = 26.912(4)			
b (Å)	b = 7.1244(9)			
c (Å)	c = 28.323(4)			
β (deg)	109.957(5)			
V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	5104.4(12)			
Ζ	4			
$\rho(calc)$ (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.338			
F (000)	2100			
$\theta$ range (deg)	6.212-66.423			
Absorp.coeff. (mm <sup>-1</sup> )	1.461			
Ind refln	8905			
(R <sub>int</sub> )	0.1742			
Goodness-of-fit on $F^2$	0.950			
$R_1^b(I \ge 2\sigma(I))$	0.0694			
R1 (all data)	0.1436			
$wR_2^c(I > 2\sigma(I))$	0.1695			
wR <sub>2</sub> (all data)	0.2078			

<sup>*a*</sup> Based on the formula without uncoordinated solvent molecules. <sup>*b*</sup>  $R_1 = \Sigma(||F_0| - |F_c||) / \Sigma |F_0|.$ <sup>*c*</sup>  $wR_2 = [\Sigma w(|F_0|^2 - |F_c|^2)^2 / \Sigma w(F_0^2)]^{1/2}.$ 

Table S2. Selected distances (Å) for 1 and 2					
Crystals	Cu-Cu	Cu-O <sub>2</sub> C	Cu-Osolv.		
	2.607(2)	1.941(4)	2.111(5)		
	2.641(2)	1.948(4)	2.138(4)		
		1.959(5)			
		1.966(4)			
1		1.972(4)			
		1.974(4)			
		1.981(5)			
		1.991(4)			
	2.621(1)	1.952(5)	2.154(5)		
		1.954(4)	2.158(5)		
		1.962(5)			
2		1.967(4)			
		1.972(5)			
		1.979(4)			
		1.982(4)			
		1.984(5)			

Figure S2. Comparison of calculated (black) and experimental (red) PXRD of 2.



Figure S3. DTA curves for compound 2.



Figure S4.  $N_2$  sorption at 77 K (A) and  $CO_2$  sorption isotherms at 273K (B) for activated 2.



**Figure S5.** A view of the X-ray structure of **2** showing the two observed different ligand conformations.



Figure S6. Topology of 2.



Figure S7. Two perpendicular views of the stacked 1D chains to provide the 3D structure of 2. 1D chains are colored red or blue for clarity.



**Figure S8.** A comparative view of the crystal structures of **1** (left) and **2** (right). (A) different configurations of carborane ligands. (B) View of the Cu<sub>2</sub>-paddlewheel units with carborane ligand coordination. (C) Perpendicular views of the extended structures showing the 2D networks and 1D belt topology, respectively; (D) Views along a-axial direction illustrating the corrugated configuration of Cu-MOFs. (E) View of the packing structures for the Cu-MOFs showing the staking of layer/belt structures in which the contiguous layers/belts were identified by various colors. Coordinated solvent molecules and H atoms are omitted for clarity. Color code: B brown; C grey; O red; N blue; Cu cyan.



**Figure S9.** Photographs of water suspensions of crystals of **1** (A) or **2** (B) after being immersed in water for 7 days and 14 days.







Figure S11. PXRD of 2 after being immersed in water for various times and the corresponding optical images of selected crystals.



Figure S12. Optical images of crystals of 2 after being immersed in water for 30 (A) and 60 (B) days.



**Figure S13.** PXRD patterns of crystals of **2** after being immersed in aqueous KOH or HCl solutions for 24 h.



**Figure S14.** Optical images of crystals of **2** after being immersed in aqueous KOH or HCl solutions for 24 h.



Entry	Catalyst <sup>a</sup>	Time (min)	Conversion <sup>b</sup>
1	1	30	80
2	1	60	100
3	2	30	70
4	2	60	100
6	none	60	6

**Table S3.** Comparison of catalytic results of Cu-MOFs at different time intervals

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: hexylamine (2.0 mmol); acrylonitrile (2.2 mmol); catalysts (1%); methanol (4 mL); room temperature. <sup>b</sup> Estimated by NMR.

- L. Gan, P. G. Fonquernie, M. E. Light, G. Norjmaa, G. Ujaque, D. Choquesillo-Lazarte, J. Fraile,
   F. Teixidor, C. Viñas and J. G. Planas, *Molecules*, 2019, 24, 3204.
- 2 G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 3 G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C, 2015, 71, 3–8.
- 4 O. V Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard and H. Puschmann, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2009, 42, 339–341.
- 5 C. F. Macrae, I. J. Bruno, J. A. Chisholm, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, L. Rodriguez-Monge, R. Taylor, J. van de Streek and P. A. Wood, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2008, **41**, 466–470.
- 6 M. A. Fox, Durham theses, Durham Univ.
- J. Soldevila-Sanmartín, E. Ruiz, D. Choquesillo-Lazarte, M. E. Light, C. Viñas, F. Teixidor, R. Núñez, J. Pons and J. G. Planas, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2021, 9, 7643–7657.