Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2021

Electronic Supplementary Information for

Assessing the Source of the Photochemical Formation of Hydroxylating Species from Dissolved Organic Matter Using Model

Sensitizers

Kylie Couch¹, Frank Leresche¹, Claire Farmer¹, Garrett McKay^{2,*}, and Fernando L. Rosario-Ortiz^{1,*}
 ¹ Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural Engineering, Environmental Engineering Program, 607 UCB, University of Colorado Boulder, CO 80309, USA
 ² Zachry Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, 3136 TAMU, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

*Corresponding authors: gmckay@tamu.edu; Fernando.rosario@colorado.edu

Chemical	CAS	Source	Purity
Benzene	71-43-2	Alfa Aesar	99.8
Phenol	108-95-2	Alfa Aesar	≥ 99
Benzoic acid	65-85-0	Alfa Aesar	Recrystallized
Salicylic acid	69-72-7	EMD	≥99
p-Benzoquinone	106-51-4	Alfa Aesar	Sublimated
Anthraquinone-2-sulfonate	131-08-8	Aldrich	97%
2,6-Dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone	530-55-2	Alfa Aesar	98%
4-Benzoylbenzoic acid	611-95-0	Aldrich	99%
3-Methoxyacetophenone	586-37-8	Acros Organics	98%
Umbelliferone	93-35-6	Aldrich	99%
trans-Cinnamic acid	140-10-3	Aldrich	≥99%
2,4-Dihydroxybenzoic acid	89-86-1	Aldrich	97%
4-Hydroxybenzoic acid	99-96-7	Aldrich	≥99%
Sodium Nitrate	7631-99-4	Fisher Scientific	99.7%
Sodium Nitrite	7632-00-0	Aldrich	≥ 97%
Hydrogen peroxide	7722-84-1	BDH	30% w/w
Methanol	67-56-1	VWR	99.8%
Acetonitrile	75-05-8	VWR	99.95%
Phosphoric acid	7664-38-2	EMD	85% w/w
Sodium hydroxide	1310-73-2	Sigma Aldrich	≥97%

Table S1. List of chemicals, vendors, and chemical purities.

Text S1. Actinometry

254 nm Irradiations

Uridine was used as an actinometer following the procedure of Jin et al.¹ In brief, a stock uridine solution of 1.2 μ M was prepared in 1 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). For actinometry experiments, uridine stock was added to a set of vials and irradiated. At regular time intervals vials were withdrawn from the reactor and the uridine concentration measured spectrophotometrically using the molar extinction coefficient of 8593 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at λ =262 nm. Photon irradiance was calculated according to eq S1 with the irradiance typically being about 1.7×10^{-8} Einstein cm⁻² s⁻¹,

$$I_{0,254nm} = \frac{k [\text{uridine}]_0 l}{1000\Phi_{\text{uridine}} (1 - 10^{-\varepsilon_{\text{uridine}} [[\text{uridine}]_0] l})} eq SI$$

where $I_{0,254nm}$ is the photon irradiance (Einstein cm⁻² s⁻¹) for the 254 nm lamps, which are treated as a monochromatic light source, k' is the observed first-order rate constant for uridine decay (s⁻¹), [uridine]₀ is the initial concentration of uridine (M), l is the path length, $\Phi_{uridine}$ is the quantum yield for uridine photodegradation (0.020), and $\varepsilon_{uridine}$ is the molar extinction coefficient for uridine (10 185 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) at 254 nm.¹

320 nm Irradiations

p-Nitroanisole (PNA) / pyridine (PYR) actinometry was used following the procedure of Laszakovits et al using a solution containing 10 μ M PNA and 5 mM PYR.² The disappearance of PNA was monitored throughout irradiation time using HPLC for detection, employing 50% 10 mM phosphoric acid/50% acetonitrile mobile phase with UV detection at 300 nm. The typical retention time of PNA in the system was approximately 2.3 min. These data were fitted to a first-order kinetic model. Eq S2 was used to calculate the photon irradiance, with the irradiance typically being about 1.3×10^{-7} Einstein cm⁻² s⁻¹,

$$I_{0,320nm} = \frac{k[PNA]_0 l}{1000\Phi_{PNA} \sum_{\lambda} (1 - 10^{-\epsilon_{PNA,\lambda} [PNA]_0 l})} eq S2$$

$$\Phi_{PNA} = 0.29[PYR] + 0.00029 eq S3$$

where $I_{0,320nm}$ is the photon irradiance (Einstein cm⁻² s⁻¹) for the 320 lamps, which emit light over a spectrum seen in Figure S1, k' is the observed first-order rate constant for PNA decay (s⁻¹), [PNA]₀ is the initial concentration of PNA (M), l is the path length, Φ_{PNA} is the PNA quantum yield and is calculated using eq 3, and $\varepsilon_{PNA,\lambda}$ is the molar extinction coefficient for PNA over the wavelengths emitted by the 320 nm lamps, which can be found in the study by Laszakovits et al ².



Figure S1. Molar absorptivity of sensitizers on the left y-axis and normalized (total area = 1) lamp spectra on the right y-axis. A: Quinones, B: Hydroxybenzoic acids, C: Aromatic ketones, and D: Other triplet forming species.



Figure S2. Molar absorptivity of probes (benzene and benzoic acid) and hydroxylated probes (phenol and salicylic acid) on the left y-axis and normalized (total area = 1) lamp spectra on the right y-axis. Benzene and phenol molar absorptivity data is from literature.³



Figure S3. Chromatograms for irradiation of DHBA with 254 nm lamps at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 minutes. Shown in light orange is a 250 nM salicylic acid standard.



salicylic acid concentration.

Text S2. Contribution of H_2O_2 to the production of hydroxylating species Estimated rate of formation of 'OH from H_2O_2 photoylsis for varying concentrations of H_2O_2 under 254 and 320 nm irradiation conditions. The following equation was used.

$$R_{\bullet OH} = \Phi_{\bullet OH} [H_2 O_2] \sum_{\lambda} k_{a\lambda} \qquad eq S4$$

where $k_{a\lambda}$ was calculated using eq 3 from the main text and values for $\varepsilon_{H2O2 \text{ and}} \Phi_{\bullet OH}$ were found in literature.^{4,5} The concentration of H₂O₂ was estimated using experimental data from other studies.^{6,7}

-	2 21 2	
$[{}^{H_2O_2}]$	^R •0H, 254nm (M s ^{−1})	^R •0H, 320nm (М s⁻¹)
100 nM**	$7.6 imes 10^{-15}$	9.1×10^{-15}
1000 nM**	$7.6 imes 10^{-14}$	9.1×10^{-14}
20 uM*	1.5×10^{-12}	1.8×10^{-12}

Table S2. Rate of formation of	\cdot OH from H ₂ O ₂	photolysis.
--------------------------------	---	-------------

*Approximate concentration of Sens used in photochemistry experiments reported in this study. **Within concentration range of measured H_2O_2 from photochemistry experiments with DOM and quinones.^{6,7}

Table S3. List of formation rates (nM s⁻¹) of salicylic acid and phenol for experimental conditions 254 nm/benzoic acid and 320 nm/benzene, respectively. This data can be found in Figures 2 and 3 in the main text. ND = No data in the case of A2S because the formation of phenol is not linear.

Sensitizer	254 nm/Benzoic acid (nM s ⁻¹)	320 nm/Benzene (nM s ⁻¹)	
PBQ	5.9 ± 0.46	0.95 ± 0.07	
DPBQ	8.1 ± 0.46	2.2 ± 0.19	
A2S	26 ± 1.73	ND	
4HBA	3.0 ± 0.13	1.3 ± 0.13	
DHBA	3.4 ± 0.83	1.9 ± 0.27	
4BA	1.0 ± 0.05	0.60 ± 0.08	
3MP	0.45 ± 0.10	0.43 ± 0.07	
TCA	0.51 ± 0.13	0.39 ± 0.01	
UMI	0.31 ± 0.11	0.49 ± 0.06	
Direct photolysis of probe	1.4 ± 0.08	0.19 ± 0.04	



Figure S5. Absorbance spectra of Sens at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 8 minutes irradiation times, exposed to 254 nm lamps.



Figure S6. Absorbance spectra of Sens at 0, 10, 20, 30, and 40 minutes irradiation times, exposed to 320 nm lamps.



Figure S7. A: Chromatograms for detection of phenol from the photolysis of hydroquinone using 320 nm with benzene as a probe compound. B: Chromatograms for direct photolysis of hydroquinone using the phenol method for HPLC analysis.



Figure S8. DHBA methanol quenching data in the system using benzene and 320 nm irradiation, compared to the model, eq 4 (main text), using two different values for $k_{PC,\bullet OH}$. In blue is the model where the rate constant for •OH was employed, in black is the model where a rate constant an order of magnitude lower than that of •OH, and in green is the methanol quenching data for DHBA.



Figure S9. Fraction of triplet quinone reacting with methanol, as described by eq 6 in the main text, in an aqueous aerobic system containing benzoic acid and varying concentrations of methanol. Concentrations of methanol used in quenching experiments ranged between 0.005 - 0.1 M.

Text S3. Absorption of light by quinones and hydroxybenzoic acids in dissolved organic matter (DOM)

Quinones. Electron accepting capacity (EAC) used to calculate the concentration of quinones in sample containing DOM and using this value the fraction of light absorbed by quinones was calculated. For these calculations, we utilized Suwannee River fulvic acid (SRFA) as a model DOM sample.

The concentration of quinones in SRFA was calculated using the EAC value of 671 μ mol_{e-} g_{HS}⁻¹ measured by Aeschbacher et al. (2010):

 $[quinone] = 671 \ \mu mol_{e-} \ g_{HS}^{-1} \times 1 \ \mu mol_{quinone}/2 \ \mu mol_{e-} = 335.5 \ \mu mol_{quinone} \ g_{HS}^{-1}$

For a 10 mg/L SRFA solution, this give a concentration of:

 $[quinone] = 0.010 g_{HS} L^{-1} \times 335.5 \mu mol_{quinone} g_{HS}^{-1} = 3.35 \mu mol L^{-1}$

Finally, the absorbance at a specific wavelength was calculated by selecting a quinone model sensitizer (MS) (e.g., *p*-benzoquinone), assuming that the entire [quinone] calculated above is attributable to that specific quinone and multiplying by the molar extinction coefficient. For example, for p-benzoquinone at 246 nm:

Abs_{pBQ,246} = $3.35 \ \mu mol_{pBQ} L^{-1} \times 22,000 M^{-1} cm^{-1} = 0.074 cm^{-1}$

To determine the fraction of light absorbed by quinone in the SRFA mixture, the above absorbance value is divided by that of the DOM isolate at the chosen excitation wavelength. For our purposes, the DOM solution absorbance was calculated using a measured SUVA₂₅₄ (4.2 L mg_{C}^{-1} m⁻¹) and spectral slope (*S*, 0.0152 nm⁻¹) for SRFA:

 $Abs_{SRFA,246} = SUVA_{254} \times exp(-S(246-250)) \times 0.01 \text{ cm m}^{-1} \times 5 \text{ mg}_{C} \text{ L}^{-1} = 0.24 \text{ cm}^{-1}$

The fraction of light absorbed by *p*-benzoquinone at 246 nm is therefore equal to 0.074/0.24 = 0.31.

Hydroxybenzoic acids. Similar calculations were performed for hydroxybenzoic acids by employing the electron donating capacities (EDC) of SRFA. Although the contribution of hydroxybenzoic acids to the EDC is unknown, recent reports suggest that these moieties may be involved as electron donors within DOM.⁸ By assuming that the entire EDC is due to hydroxybenzoic acids, the calculations shown here represent an upper limit for the contribution of hydroxybenzoic acids to DOM absorbance, with the actual contribution probably being less. The equation used for calculating the concentration of hydroxybenzoic acid is shown below:

 $[hydroxybenzoic acid] = [DOM] \times EDC \times 1 \ \mu mol_{hydroxybenzoic acid} / 2 \ \mu mol_{e}$

Quinone MS	Wavelength (nm)	Epsilon (M ⁻ ¹ cm ⁻¹)	Abs SRFA (cm ⁻¹)	Abs MS (cm ⁻¹)	Abs MS/Abs SRFA × 100
p-benzoquinone	246	22000	0.24	0.074	31.1%
	296	320	0.11	0.001	1.0%
	424	20	0.02	0.000	0.4%
2,6-dimethoxy-p-benzoquinone	258	17000	0.20	0.057	28.9%
	332	280	0.06	0.001	1.5%
	424	30	0.02	0.000	0.6%
1,4-napthoquinone	252	16700	0.22	0.056	25.9%
	342	2490	0.06	0.008	15.2%
4-hydroxybenzoic acid	254	12676	0.21	0.181	86.0%
	300	11	0.10	0.000	0.2%
2,4-dihydroxybenzoic acid	254	9296	0.21	0.132	63.0%
	300	3510	0.10	0.050	47.9%

Table S4. Fractional absorbance of quinone and hydroxybenzoic acid MS in SRFA (2S101F) calculated based on electron accepting capacity and electron donating capacity, respectively.

References for the Electronic Supplementary Information

- Jin, S.; Mofidi, A. A.; Linden, K. G. Polychromatic UV Fluence Measurement Using Chemical Actinometry, Biodosimetry, and Mathematical Techniques. *J. Environ. Eng.* 2006, *132* (8), 831–841. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9372(2006)132:8(831).
- (2) Laszakovits, J. R.; Berg, S. M.; Anderson, B. G.; O'Brien, J. E.; Wammer, K. H.; Sharpless, C. M. P-Nitroanisole/Pyridine and p-Nitroacetophenone/Pyridine Actinometers Revisited: Quantum Yield in Comparison to Ferrioxalate. *Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett.* 2017, *4* (1), 11–14. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.6b00422.
- (3) Taniguchi, M.; Lindsey, J. S. Database of Absorption and Fluorescence Spectra of >300 Common Compounds for Use in PhotochemCAD. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 2018, 94 (2), 290–327. https://doi.org/10.1111/php.12860.
- (4) Chu, L.; Anastasio, C. Formation of Hydroxyl Radical from the Photolysis of Frozen Hydrogen Peroxide. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109 (28), 6264–6271. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp051415f.
- (5) Goldstein, S.; Aschengrau, D.; Diamant, Y.; Rabani, J. Photolysis of Aqueous H2O2: Quantum Yield and Applications for Polychromatic UV Actinometry in Photoreactors. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41* (21), 7486–7490. https://doi.org/10.1021/es071379t.
- (6) Garg, S.; Rose, A. L.; Waite, T. D. Photochemical Production of Superoxide and Hydrogen Peroxide from Natural Organic Matter. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2011, 75 (15), 4310–4320. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2011.05.014.
- (7) Garg, S.; Rose, A. L.; Waite, T. D. Production of Reactive Oxygen Species on Photolysis of Dilute Aqueous Quinone Solutions. *Photochemistry and Photobiology* 2007, *83* (4), 904–913. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-1097.2007.00075.x.
- (8) Schendorf, T. M.; Del Vecchio, R.; Bianca, M.; Blough, N. V. Combined Effects of PH and Borohydride Reduction on Optical Properties of Humic Substances (HS): A Comparison of Optical Models. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2019, *53* (11), 6310–6319. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01516.