Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Processes & Impacts. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2022

1	Supplementary Materials
2	for
3	Evaluation of nano-silver concentrations using multi-media
4	fate and transport models with different spatial resolutions
5	Yu-Pei Gao ^{a#} , Xin-Yuan Li ^{a#} , Wen-Jing Wei ^a , Peng Huang ^a , Li Li ^b , Qiang Wang ^{a*}
6	^a Key Laboratory of Hunan Province for Water Environment and Agriculture Product
7	Safety, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Central South University,
8	Changsha 410083, Hunan, P. R. China.
9	^b School of Public Health, University of Nevada, Reno 1664 N. Virginia Street, Reno,
10	Nevada, 89557-0274
11	[#] These authors contributed equally to this work.
12	* Corresponding Authors: Phone/: +86 731 88876961.
13	E-mail addresses: Qiang Wang: qwangcsu@163.com;
14	Supplementary Materials Include:
15	• Tables S1-5
16	• Figures S1
17	• Equation S1-12
18	• Section A & Section B
19	

20 Table S1

Some river parameters are not recorded in the Hunan Hydrological Bureau official website database and have no major influence on the calculation of the main process parameters of AgNPs migration in the river, so the parameters in the research of Praetorius et al.(1) and Ding et al.(2) are used instead.

25

Table S1 Hydrological Parameters table of Xiangjiang River Basin

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit	ref.
river flow velocity	$v_{ m river, flow}$	0.65	m s ⁻¹	(2)
water temperature	$T_{\rm water}$	287	K	(1)
water density	$ ho_{ m water}$	1000	kg m ⁻³	(1)
shear rate	G	10	s ⁻¹	(1)
dynamic viscosity of water	$\mu_{ m water}$	1.002×10^{-3}	Pa·s	(1)
velocity of sediment transfer (bed load shift)	$v_{\text{Sed-transf}}$	3	kg s ⁻¹	(1)
sediment resuspension velocity	V _{resusp}	3.17× 10 ⁻¹²	m s ⁻¹	(1)
sediment burial velocity to deep sediment	V _{burial}	9.50× 10 ⁻¹²	m s ⁻¹	(1)
sediment density	$ ho_{ m Sed}$	2.60× 10 ³	kg m ⁻³	(2)
Boltzmann constant	k _B	1.38× 10 ⁻²³	J K-1	(1)
gravitational acceleration on earth	g	9.81	m s ⁻²	(1)

28 Table S2 Table of model size and compartment division of Xiangjiang main

29 stream

cross sectional area of first box of flowing water

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
length of the river	l _{River}	768.1 (770)	km
Model 1			
number of boxes in the river model	<i>n</i> _{boxes}	6	
River segmentation and compartment division method			
box length for boxes $n = 1$ (Yongzhou)	$l_{\rm box,1}$	2.27×10^5	m
box length for boxes $n = 2$ (Hengyang)	$l_{\rm box,2}$	2.26×10^{5}	m
box length for boxes $n = 3$ (Zhuzhou)	$l_{\rm box,3}$	$8.96 imes 10^4$	m
box length for boxes $n = 4$ (Xiangtan)	$l_{\rm box,4}$	$4.25 imes 10^4$	m
box length for boxes $n = 5$ (Changsha)	$l_{\mathrm{box},5}$	$7.40 imes 10^4$	m
box length for boxes $n = 6$ (Yueyang)	$l_{\mathrm{box},6}$	1.09×10^{5}	m
Model 2			
length of river box n	l _{box,n}	increasing*	
number of boxes in the river model	<i>n</i> _{boxes}	527	
depth of flowing water (W1)	$h_{ m W1}$	41.98	m
depth of stagnant water (W2)	$h_{ m W2}$	5.14	m
depth of sediment (Sed)	$h_{ m Sed}$	0.26	m

 $7.78 imes 10^3$

 $A_{W1,1}$

 m^2

cross sectional area of last box of flowing water	$A_{\rm W1, n \ boxes \ +1}$	$4*A_{W1,1}$	m^2
volume of box n of flowing water (W1)	V _{W1,n}	$(A_{W1,n} + A_{W1,n+1})/2 \cdot l_{box,n}$	m ³
volume of box n of stagnant water (W2)	V _{W2,n}	12% of $V_{W1,n}$	m ³
volume of box n of sediment (Sed)	$V_{\text{Sed,n}}$	5% of $V_{W1,n}$	m ³
River segmentation and compartment division method			
box length for boxes $n = 1,,100 (0 \text{ to } 10 \text{ m})$	l _{box,n}	0.1	m
box length for boxes $n = 101,,190 (10 to 100 m)$	l _{box,n}	1	m
box length for boxes $n = 191,,280$ (100 m to 1 km	l _{box,n}	10	m
box length for boxes $n = 281,,370$ (1 km to 10 km)	l _{box,n}	100	m
box length for boxes $n = 371,,460$ (10 km to 100 km)	l _{box,n}	1	km
box length for boxes $n = 461,,527$ (100 km to 770 km)	l _{box,n}	10	km

Table S3 Nanoparticles and natural colloid parameters

	Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit	ref.
	particle diameter	$d_{ m AgNPs}$	9.05 × 10 ⁻⁸	m	(3)
AgnPs	density	$ ho_{ m AgNPs}$	8478	kg m ⁻³	(3)
	particle diameter	$d_{ m NCs}$	2.91 × 10 ⁻⁷	m	(3)
NCa	density	$ ho_{ m NCs}$	1250	kg m ⁻³	(3)
INCS	concentration (determined by	G	1.03E × 10 ⁻²	1 2	(3)
	dry weight after filtration)	$C_{\rm NCs}$		kg m ⁻³	

33 Table S4

34 Table S4 Table for calculating the life process rate constant of AgNPs

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit	ref.
deposition rate constant of AgNPs in flowing water	k _{dep,AgNPs,W1}	$\frac{v_{\rm dep,AgNPs}}{h_{W1}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)
deposition rate constant of AgNPs in stagnant water	k _{dep,AgNPs,W2}	$\frac{v_{\rm dep,AgNPs}}{h_{W2}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)
deposition rate constant of NCs in flowing water	k _{dep,NCs,W1}	$\frac{v_{\rm dep,NCs}}{h_{W1}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)
deposition rate constant of NCs in stagnant water	k _{dep,NCs,W2}	$\frac{v_{\rm dep,NCs}}{h_{W2}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)

heterogeneous aggregation rate constants of AgNPs and NCs in water	k _{het}	$k_{\rm het} = k_{\rm het,crit} *$	(s ⁻¹	(3)
water exchange rate constant between flowing and stagnant water	k _{exch,1-2}	1.00E-05	s ⁻¹	(4, 5)
water exchange rate constant between stagnant and flowing water	k _{exch,2-1}	$k_{\rm exch, 1-2} * \frac{V_{W1}}{V_{W2}}$	s ⁻¹	(4, 5)
sediment burial rate constant to deep sediment	$k_{ m burial}$	$rac{v_{ m burial}}{h_{ m Sed}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)
sediment resuspension rate constant	k _{resusp}	$\frac{v_{\rm resusp}}{h_{\rm Sed}}$	s ⁻¹	(1)
rate constant of horizontal sediment transfer (bed load shift) of box n	k _{Sed-trans,n}	$(\frac{v_{\text{Sed}} - \text{trans}}{\rho_{\text{Sed}}})/V_{\text{Sed},n}$	s ⁻¹	
river flow rate constant of box n	k _{river,flow,n}	$v_{\text{river,flow,n}} * \frac{A_V}{V_V}$	V - s ⁻¹ V	

35 In Table S4, v_{dep} represents the sedimentation rate (m s⁻¹) of AgNPs or NCs particles

36 in the water, which can be calculated according to the Stokes equation.

$$v_{dep} = \frac{2r^2(\rho_P - \rho_{water})g}{9\mu}$$

38 where $\rho_{\rm P}$ represents the density of AgNPs or NCs (kg m⁻³), and g represents the

39 gravitational acceleration on earth (m s⁻²).

40 Eq. S1-Eq. S12

41 The concentration conservation equations of free AgNPs in each phase of the first

42 compartment:

43 • flowing water (W1): (Eq. S1)

$$\frac{de^{\frac{A_{W}^{NN}n}}{dt}}{dt}$$

$$= (k_{exch_{1}-2} + k_{exc}, low_{1} + k_{dep,AgNP_{A}W_{1}} + k_{ha}) + c_{W11}^{A_{W1}}(t) + k_{exch_{2}-1} + \frac{1}{t}$$
44
45 • stagnant water (W2): (Eq. S2)

$$\frac{dc^{A_{W21}}}{dt} = (k_{exch_{2}-1} + k_{dep,AgNP_{A}W_{2}} + k_{ha}) + c_{W21}^{A_{W1}}(t) + (k_{exch_{1}-2} + k_{dep,Ag})$$
46
(i) + $k_{examp} + \frac{V_{Sal1}}{V_{W21}} + c_{Sal1}^{A_{W1}}(t)$
47 • sediment (Sed): (Eq. S3)

$$\frac{dc^{A_{W21}}}{dt} = (k_{exch_{2}-1} + k_{dep,AgNP_{A}W_{2}} + \frac{V_{W21}}{V_{Sal1}} + c_{M_{W21}}^{A_{W1}}(t)$$
49 The concentration conservation equations of AgNPs aggregated with NCs in each
50 phase of the first compartment:
51 • flowing water (W1): (Eq. S4)

$$\frac{dc^{A_{W11}}}{dt} = (k_{exch_{1}-2} + k_{inec,flow_{1}} + k_{dep,NC_{A}W_{2}}) + c_{M_{W21}}^{A_{W21}}(t) + k_{bast} + c_{M_{W11}}^{A_{W11}}(t)$$
53
54 • stagnant water (W2): (Eq. S5)

$$\frac{dc^{A_{W11}}}{V_{W11}}} = (k_{exch_{1}-2} + k_{inec,flow_{1}} + k_{dep,NC_{A}W_{2}}) + c_{M_{W21}}^{A_{W21}}(t) + k_{bast} + c_{M_{W11}}^{A_{W11}}(t)$$
54 • stagnant water (W2): (Eq. S5)

57 • sediment (Sed): (Eq. S6)

$$\frac{dc_{NSR}^{ASR} + NCa}{dt}$$

$$= -(k_{ressup} + k_{buill} + k_{Sed-tunnferl}) + c_{NSR}^{ASR} + NCa}(0) + k_{dep,NCa,W2} + \frac{V_{W2,1}}{V_{Sed,1}} + c_{NSR}^{ASR}$$
58 (0)
59 The concentration conservation equations of free AgNPs in each phase of the 2-n
60 compartments:
61 • flowing water (W1): (Eq. S7)
62 $\frac{dc_{W1,A}^{ASR}}{dt} - (k_{eeda,1-2} + k_{river,flowr,a} + k_{dep,AgNR,W1} + k_{ad}) + c_{W1,a}^{ASR}(0) + k_{aeab,2-1} + \frac{V_{W2,a}}{V_{W1,a}} + c_{W2,a}^{ASR}(0) + k_{dip,AgNR,W2} + k_{W2,a}^{ASR}(0) + k_{dip,AgNR,W2} + k_{W2,a}^{ASR}(0) + k_{dip,AgNR,W2} + k_{W2,a}^{ASR}(0) + k_{dip,AgNR,W2} + k_{dip}^{ASR}(0) + k_{dip,AgNR,W2} + k_{dip,AgNR,W} + k_{dip,AgNR,W} + k_{dip,AgNR,W} + k_{dip,AgNR,W} + k_{dip,AgN$

72 • flowing water (W1): (Eq. S10)

$$\frac{dc^{AgNPs + NCs}_{W1,n}}{dt} = \left(k_{exch,1-2} + k_{fiver,flow,n} + k_{dep,NCs,W1}\right) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W1,n}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W1,n}(t) + k_{exch,2-1} * \frac{V_{W2,n}}{V_{W1,n}} * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{fiverflow,n-1} * \frac{V_{W1,n-1}}{V_{W1,n}} * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) \right)$$

$$74 \quad k_{exch,2-1} * \frac{V_{W2,n}}{V_{W1,n}} * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{fiverflow,n-1} * \frac{V_{W1,n-1}}{V_{W1,n}} * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) \right)$$

$$75 \quad \bullet \text{ stagnant water (W2):} \qquad (Eq. S11)$$

$$\frac{dc^{AgNPs + NCs}}{W2,n} = -(k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + k_{het} * c^{AgNPs}_{W2,n}(t) + (k_{exch,2-1} + k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + (k_{dep,NCs,W2}) * c^{AgNPs + NCs}(t) + (k_{dep,N$$

$$k_{\text{sed - transfer,n - 1}} * \frac{\frac{\text{Sed,n - 1}}{V_{\text{Sed,n}}} * c^{\text{AgNPs + NCs}}_{\text{Sed,n - 1}}}{V_{\text{Sed,n}}}$$

82 Section A. Establishment of mass conservation equation

The whole life process of free AgNPs and AgNPs bound NCs are established to form first-order rate constant concentration balance equations, as shown in Eq.S1-S12. It is assumed that AgNPs are in a steady state in each compartment, which is reflected in the equation as $dc_i/dt=0$. Furthermore, each equation is equivalent to the multivariate linear equation $q-a_1*c_1+a_2*c_2+a_3*c_3+...=0$, which contains multiple unknowns, where q is the emission rate of AgNPs (kg s⁻¹), a_i represents the coefficient term, and c_i 89 represents the concentration term.

90 Extract all a_1 , a_2 , a_3 ... to form a coefficient matrix A, which represents the rate constant 91 of migration and transformation in the medium (s⁻¹); c_1 , c_2 , c_3 ... are all proposed to form 92 an unknown matrix C, which represents the steady-state concentration of AgNPs; 93 extracting q_1 , q_2 , q_3 ... to form a constant matrix Q, and the equation A*C=-Q can be 94 obtained.

95
$$A_{l}=$$



96

97
$$C_{I}^{e_{I}^{agNrs}(t)}$$
 $C_{W2,1}^{agNrs}(t)$ $C_{W2,1}^{agNrs}(t)$ $c_{Sed,1}^{agNrs}(t)$ $c_{Sed,1}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)$ $c_{W2,1}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)$ $c_{W2,1}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)$ $Q_{I}^{e_{I}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)}$ $Q_{I}^{e_{I}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)}$ $Q_{I}^{e_{I}^{agNrs+NCs}(t)}$

98 In compartment 2-n, the constant matrix A_n , the unknown number matrix C_n , and the

99 constant matrix Q_n are as follows:

100
$$A_n =$$

 -	$(k_{\text{exch},1-2} + k_{\text{river,flow},n} + k_{\text{dep},\text{AgNPs},W1} + k_{\text{het}})$	$k_{\text{exch},2-1} * \frac{V_{W2,n}}{V_{W1,n}}$	0	0
	$(k_{\text{exch},1-2} + k_{\text{dep},\text{AgNPs},W1}) * \frac{V_{W1,n}}{V_{W2,n}}$	$-\left(k_{\text{exch},2-1}+k_{\text{dep},\text{AgNPs},W2}+k_{\text{het}}\right)$	$k_{\text{resusp}} * \frac{V_{\text{sed,n}}}{V_{W2,n}}$	0
	0	$k_{\text{dep,AgNPs,W2}} * \frac{V_{W2,n}}{V_{\text{sed,n}}}$	$-(k_{\text{resusp}} + k_{\text{burial}} + k_{\text{sed - transfer,n}})$	C
	$k_{\rm het}$	0	0	- $(k_{\text{exch},1-2} + k_{\text{river},1})$
	0	k _{het}	0	$(k_{\text{exch},1-2} + k_{\text{dep}})$
	0	0	0	C

$$102 C_n = \begin{bmatrix} c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{Sed,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{Sed,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{Sed,n}^{\text{AgNPs}}(t) \\ c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}+\text{NCs}}(t) \\ c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}+\text{NCs}}(t) \\ c_{W1,n}^{\text{AgNPs}+\text{NCs}}(t) \\ c_{Sed,n}^{\text{AgNPs}+\text{NCs}}(t) \\$$

103 Section B. R language programming calculation



104

105

Figure S1 Model calculation programming flow chart

Use R language programming to assist the calculation. The programming flowchart is
shown in Figure S1. According to the relevant calculation formula, the value of the rate
constant of AgNPs life process is shown in Table S5.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Unit
deposition rate constant of AgNPs in flowing water	$k_{(dep,AgNPs W1)}$	7.94 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	s ⁻¹
deposition rate constant of AgNPs in stagnant water	$k_{(dep,AgNPs W2)}$	6.48 × 10 ⁻⁹	s ⁻¹
deposition rate constant of NCs in flowing water	$k_{(dep,NCs,W1)}$	1.10 × 10 ⁻⁹	s ⁻¹
deposition rate constant of NCs in stagnant water	$k_{(dep,NCs,W2)}$	8.96 × 10 ⁻⁹	s ⁻¹
heterogeneous aggregation rate constants of AgNPs and NCs in water	k _(het)	3.03 × 10 ⁻⁶	s ⁻¹
water exchange rate constant between flowing and stagnant water	<i>k</i> _(exch,1-2)	1.00×10^{-5}	s ⁻¹
water exchange rate constant between stagnant and flowing water	$k_{(\text{exch},2-1)}$	8.33 × 10 ⁻⁵	s ⁻¹
sediment burial rate constant to deep sediment	$k_{(burial)}$	3.65 × 10 ⁻¹¹	s ⁻¹
sediment resuspension rate constant	$k_{(resusp)}$	1.22 × 10 ⁻¹¹	s ⁻¹
rate constant of horizontal sediment transfer (bed load shift) of box n	$k_{(\text{sed-trans},n)}$	9.61 × 10 ⁻¹⁰	s ⁻¹
river flow rate constant of box n	$k_{(river, flow, n)}$	7.17 × 10 ⁻⁶	s ⁻¹

Table S5 Table for life process rate constant calculation numerical of AgNPs 109

111 Reference

112	1.	Praetorius A, Scheringer M, Hungerbuehler K. Development of environmental fate models for
113	engi	neered nanoparticlesa case study of TiO_2 nanoparticles in the Rhine River. Environmental Science
114	& Te	echnology. 2012;46(12):6705.

115 2. Ding R, Li L, Yang P, Luo L, Li L, Wang Q. Assessing the environmental occurrence and risk of nano-

silver in Hunan, China using probabilistic material flow modeling. The Science of the Total Environment.

117 2019;658(MAR.25):1249-55.

118 3. Quik J, Velzeboer I, Wouterse M, Koemans AA, M Ee Nt D. Heteroaggregation and sedimentation
119 rates for nanomaterials in natural waters. Water Research. 2014;48(jan.1):269-79.

120 4. Blaser SA, Scheringer M, Macleod M, Hungerbuehler K. Estimation of cumulative aquatic exposure

 $121\,$ and risk due to silver: Contribution of nano-functionalized plastics and textiles. Science of the Total

122 Environment. 2008;390(2/3):396-409.

 $123\,$ 5. Scheringer M, Halder D, Hungerbühler K. Comparing the Environmental Performance of

124 Fluorescent Whitening Agents with Peroxide Bleaching of Mechanical Pulp. Journal of Industrial

125 Ecology. 1999;3(4).