## Thermo-responsive polymer-black phosphorus nanocomposites for NIR-triggered bacterial capture and elimination

Shuo Deng <sup>a, b, †</sup>, Dongsheng Wang <sup>a, b, †</sup>, Zhiqiang Xiong <sup>a, b</sup>, Siyu Zhang <sup>a</sup>, Dengyu Li <sup>a</sup>, Jin Zeng <sup>a, b</sup>, Xuejiao Zhang <sup>a, \*</sup>, Qing Zhao <sup>a, c \*</sup>

a. Key Laboratory of Pollution Ecology and Environmental Engineering, Institute of Applied Ecology, Chinese of Acacemy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, China

b. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

c. National-Regional Joint Engineering Research Center for Soil Pollution Control and Remediation in South China, Guangdong Key Laboratory of Integrated Agroenvironmental Pollution Control and Management, Institute of Eco-environmental and Soil Sciences, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China

<sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally.

\*Corresponding author

Prof. Xuejiao Zhang; E-mail: zhangxuejiao@iae.ac.cn

Prof. Qing Zhao; E-mail: zhaoqing@iae.ac.cn



**Fig. S1.** Statistical analysis of lateral dimension from AFM images of BP nanosheets (A) and BP-PNIPAM (B); EDX images of BP nanosheets (C) and BP-PNIPAM (D).



Fig. S2. XPS spectra of BP nanosheets and BP-PNIPAM.



**Fig. S3.** Temperature curve of BP nanosheets (A) and BP-PNIPAM (B) at different concentration (Purple: water; Black: 10 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Red: 25 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Blue: 50 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Pink: 100 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Green: 250 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>; Indigo blue: 500 μg mL<sup>-1</sup>) under NIR light (808 nm, 2W cm<sup>-2</sup>) irradiation.



**Fig. S4.** Photographs of BP nanosheets and BP-PNIPAM before and after NIR light (808 nm, 2 W cm<sup>-1</sup>) irradiation.



**Fig. S5.** (A) The colony number of *E. coli* in the top and bottom of the culture flask after 5-min standing; (B) Photographs of *E. coli* suspension in the presence of BP nanosheets or BP-PNIPAM (200  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) after 5-min NIR light (808 nm, 2 W cm<sup>-2</sup>) irradiation.



**Fig. S6**. SEM images of *E. coli* (A) and *S. aureus* (B) captured by 200  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup> of BP-PNIPAM under 5-min NIR irradiation (808 nm, 2 W cm<sup>-2</sup>).



Figure S7 The capacity of BP-PNIPAM for capturing ampicillin-resistant E. coli.





**Fig. S8.** Digital photographs of agar plate of *E.coli* treated with different concentrations of BP-PNIPAM with or without NIR light irradiation.



**Figure S9**. Elimination rate of *E. coli* treated with BP-PNIPAM at different concentrations with/without NIR irradiation.



**Fig. S10.** Survival rate of *E.coli* treated with BP-PNIPAM at different concentrations (A: 0; B: 5  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; C: 10  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; D: 20  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; E: 50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; F: 100  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>; G: 200  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>) under NIR light irradiation (0-20 min).



**Fig. S11.** Survival rate of *S. aureus* treated with BP-PNIPAM at different concentrations (A: 0; B: 5 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>; C: 10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>; D: 20 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>; E: 50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>; F: 100 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>; G: 200 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) under NIR light irradiation (0-20 min).



**Fig. S12.** Bacterial elimination by different concentrations of BP-PNIPAM determined by qPCR and colony-counting method.



**Fig. S13.** (A)The proliferation profiles of Caco-2 at different culture destinies; The proliferation profiles of Caco-2 treated with different concentration of BP nanosheets (B) and BP-PNIPAM (C) monitored by the RTCA device.

Element	BP nanosheets (At%)	BP-PNIPAM (At%)				
Р	41.25	25.19				
Ν	1.41	4.63				
0	13.64	17.23				
С	43.70	52.95				

**Table S1**. Contents of P, N, O, and C in BP nanosheets and BP-PNIPAM determine by XPS.

**Table S2. The performance of bacterial capture and elimination for the materials reported in the literature.** "C" refers to the materials with bacterial activity; "E" refers to materials with elimination activity; "CE" refers to materials with both bacterial capture and elimination activities; "+" refers to Grampositive bacteria; "-" refers to Gram-negative bacteria.

	Materials	Particle Size	Capture dosage	Capture time	Capture efficiency	Driving force	Elimination dosage	Elimination time	Elimination efficiency	Cytotoxicity	Nanomaterials (YES/NO)	Ref
C1	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @AA	10 nm	0.8  mg mL <sup>-1</sup>	20 min	$(-) > 97\%, 10^7$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>						YES	1
C2	AMP-MNPs	280 nm	0.5  mg mL <sup>-1</sup>	5 s	$(+), (-) > 97\%, 10^{7}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>						YES	2
C3	AF-CoFe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub>		2 mg mL	60 min	(+) 100%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ; (-) 65%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>					> 85%, 1 mg mL <sup>-1</sup> , 24 h	YES	3
C4	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MNPs	14.5 ± 1.6 nm.	500 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	60 s	(+)						YES	4
C5	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @CTAB	10 nm	0.5 g L <sup>-1</sup>	60 min	(+), (-) 99%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>						YES	5
E1	CS/ AM NSs hydrogel	Thickness: 0.85–1.78 nm				Light irradiation		10 min	(-) 97.1%, $10^{6}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> (+) 100%, $10^{6}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	89%, 4h	NO	6
E2	KGM/Gelatin@Au NPs/ GS						(-) 2 $\mu$ g mL <sup>-1</sup> (+) 4 $\mu$ g mL <sup>-1</sup>	480 min	(+),(-)100%,10 <sup>8</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	89.04%, 0.01 g, 24 h	NO	7
E3	RBC@Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub>	110 nm				Light irradiation	100 μg mL <sup>-1</sup> of Fe	5min	(+) 100%, $10^{8}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>		YES	8

E4	Ag-PPAni-II					$Ag^+$		6 days	(+), (-) 100%, 10 <sup>9</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>		NO	9
E5	Melanin powder	200 ± 18 nm				Chemical action	$25 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$	62 min	(-) 88%, 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ;(+) 100%, 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	50%, 55.6 μg mL <sup>-1,</sup> 48 h	YES	10
CE1	$\operatorname{Fe}_{3}O_{4}@\operatorname{SiO}_{2}\operatorname{-}NH_{2}$	10 – 53 nm	6 mg mL	10 min	(+) 96%, $10^{9}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ; (-) 96%, $10^{8}$ CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	radiofrequency current	$1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$	30 min	100%, $10_{1}^{8}$ CFU mL		YES	11
CE2	MoS <sub>2</sub> -Hydrogel			30 min	(+) 37.3%, 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ; (-) 27.3%, 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	Light irradiation		300 min	(+), 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> (-), 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	90%, 24 h	NO	12
CE3	Ag@Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> yolk- shell		128 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	30 min	(-) 99%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>		$128 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	30 min	(-), 100% 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>		YES	13
æ	BP-PNIPAM		50-100 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	5 min	(+) >90%,10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ; (-) > 80%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	Light irradiation	20 μg mL <sup>-1</sup>	20 min	(+) 100%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup> ; (-) 100%, 10 <sup>7</sup> CFU mL <sup>-1</sup>	> 90%, 50 μg mL <sup>-1</sup> , 48 h		This work

**Notice**:  $Fe_{3}O_{4}@AA$  represents amino acids functioned  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ ; AMP-MNPs represent antimicrobial peptide functionalized  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$ ; AF-CoFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> represents amine-functionalized cobalt ferrite;  $Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}$  MNPs represent aluminum oxide-coated iron oxide ( $Fe_{3}O_{4}@Al_{2}O_{3}$ ) MNPs with the peptide HHHHHHDEEGLFVD;  $Fe_{3}O_{4}@CTAB$  represents  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$  nanoparticles modified with cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB); CS/AM NSs hydrogel represents chitosan hydrogel with antimonene nanosheets; KGM/Gelatin@Au NPs/GS represents mixture of konjac glucomannan (KGM) and gelatin enveloping Au NPs mixed with gentamicin sulfate (GS); RBC@Fe\_{3}O\_{4} represents red blood cell membrane-coated  $Fe_{3}O_{4}$  nanoparticles; Ag-PPAni-II represents silver (Ag) and plasma polymerized aniline (PPAni) composite.

## Reference

- 1. Y. Jin, F. Liu, C. Shan, M. Tong and Y. Hou, Efficient bacterial capture with amino acid modified magnetic nanoparticles, *Water Research*, 2014, **50**, 124-134.
- 2. S.-Y. Ding, Y. Faraj, J. Wei, W. Wang, R. Xie, Z. Liu, X.J. Ju and L.Y. Chu, Antimicrobial peptidefunctionalized magnetic nanoparticles for rapid capture and removal of pathogenic bacteria, *Microchemical Journal*, 2020, **159**.
- 3. R. A. Bohara, N. D. Throat, N. A. Mulla and S. H. Pawar, Surface-Modified Cobalt Ferrite Nanoparticles for Rapid Capture, Detection, and Removal of Pathogens: a Potential Material for Water Purification, *Applied Biochemistry and Biotechnology*, 2016, **182**, 598-608.
- 4. F.Y. Kuo, W.L. Lin and Y.C. Chen, Affinity capture using peptide-functionalized magnetic nanoparticles to target Staphylococcus aureus, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 9217-9225.
- 5. Y. Jin, J. Deng, J. Liang, C. Shan and M. Tong, Efficient bacteria capture and inactivation by cetyltrimethylammonium bromide modified magnetic nanoparticles, *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 2015, **136**, 659-665.
- 6. Y. Liu, Y. Xiao, Y. Cao, Z. Guo, F. Li and L. Wang, Construction of Chitosan-Based Hydrogel Incorporated with Antimonene Nanosheets for Rapid Capture and Elimination of Bacteria, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2020, **30**.
- 7. Y. Zou, R. Xie, E. Hu, P. Qian, B. Lu, G. Lan and F. Lu, Protein-reduced gold nanoparticles mixed with gentamicin sulfate and loaded into konjac/gelatin sponge heal wounds and kill drug-resistant bacteria, *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 2020, **148**, 921-931.
- 8. B. Chen, F. Li, X. K. Zhu, W. Xie, X. Hu, M. H. Zan, X. Li, Q.Y. Li, S.S. Guo, X.Z. Zhao, Y.a. Jiang, Z. Cao and W. Liu, Highly biocompatible and recyclable biomimetic nanoparticles for antibiotic-resistant bacteria infection, *Biomaterials Science*, 2021, **9**, 826-834.
- 9. M. Agarwala, T. Barman, D. Gogoi, B. Choudhury, A. R. Pal and R. N. S. Yadav, Highly effective antibiofilm coating of silver-polymer nanocomposite on polymeric medical devices deposited by one step plasma process, *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part B: Applied Biomaterials*, 2014, **102**, 1223-1235.
- 10. N. T. Le Na, B. T. Van Khanh, P. Xuan Huy, H. T. M. Nhung, N. Thi Van Anh and N. D. Thang, Melanin biomaterial effectively eliminates bacteria from water and synergistically induces melanomacell death during X-ray irradiation, *Materials Technology*, 2020, **36**, 261-269.
- 11. A. K. Chaurasia, N. D. Thorat, A. Tandon, J.-H. Kim, S. H. Park and K. K. Kim, Coupling of radiofrequency with magnetic nanoparticles treatment as an alternative physical antibacterial strategy against multiple drug resistant bacteria, *Scientific Reports*, 2016, **6**.
- 12. Y. Sang, W. Li, H. Liu, L. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Liu, J. Ren and X. Qu, Construction of Nanozyme-Hydrogel for Enhanced Capture and Elimination of Bacteria, *Advanced Functional Materials*, 2019, **29**.
- 13. Z. Wei, Z. Zhou, M. Yang, C. Lin, Z. Zhao, D. Huang, Z. Chen and J. Gao, Multifunctional Ag@Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> yolk–shell nanoparticles for simultaneous capture, kill, and removal of pathogen, *Journal of Materials Chemistry*, 2011, **21**.