

Table S1 Dataset 1 containing metal oxides and their corresponding descriptor values, division set, and toxicity data.

Serial No.	Metal oxide	ΔH_f^c	χc	1/Log LC ₅₀	Set
1	TiO ₂	-1492	4.91	1.76	Training
2	Al ₂ O ₃	-600	3.44	1.85	Training
3	ZrO ₂	-638.1	4.95	2.02	Training
4	Fe ₂ O ₃	-378.5	4.21	2.05	Training
5	SiO ₂	-618.3	3.81	2.12	Test
6	Y ₂ O ₃	-135.3	3.35	2.21	Test
7	V ₂ O ₃	-139.5	3.24	2.24	Test
8	Cr ₂ O ₃	-235.3	4.36	2.3	Test
9	Sb ₂ O ₃	-206.7	4.46	2.31	Test
10	NiO	68	4.47	2.49	Test
11	Bi ₂ O ₃	-148.5	5.34	2.5	Test
12	WO ₃	-715.4	6.73	2.56	Test
13	Mn ₂ O ₃	-96.3	5	2.64	Training
14	SnO ₂	-266.6	4.57	2.67	Training
15	CoO	-786.8	7.44	2.83	Training
16	La ₂ O ₃	-157.7	6.45	2.87	Training
17	In ₂ O ₃	-52.1	6.78	2.92	Test
18	ZnO	-449.4	8.33	3.32	Training

Table 2S Dataset 2 containing metal oxides and their corresponding descriptor values, division set, and toxicity data.

Sl. No.	Metal oxide	ΔH_{Me^+}	Me^+	1/Log EC ₅₀	Division set
1	TiO ₂	1575.73	4.0	1.74	Test
2	SnO ₂	1717.32	4.0	2.01	Training
3	ZrO ₂	1357.66	4.0	2.15	Test
4	SiO ₂	1686.38	4.0	2.2	Test
5	Fe ₂ O ₃	1408.29	3.0	2.29	Training
6	Al ₂ O ₃	1187.83	3.0	2.49	Training
7	Cr ₂ O ₃	1268.70	3.0	2.51	Test
8	Sb ₂ O ₃	1233.06	3.0	2.64	Training
9	In ₂ O ₃	1271.13	3.0	2.81	Training
10	Bi ₂ O ₃	1137.40	3.0	2.82	Training
11	La ₂ O ₃	1017.22	3.0	2.87	Test
12	Y ₂ O ₃	837.15	3.0	2.87	Test
13	V ₂ O ₃	1097.73	3.0	3.14	Training
14	CuO	706.25	2.0	3.2	Test
15	NiO	596.70	2.0	3.45	Test
16	ZnO	662.44	2.0	3.45	Test
17	CoO	601.80	2.0	3.51	Training

Table 3S Dataset 3 containing metal oxides and their corresponding descriptor values, division set, and toxicity data.

Sl. Nos.	MeOx	LZELEHHO	ΔH_{Me^+}	1/Log EC ₅₀	Division Set
1	Al ₂ O ₃	0.21	1187.83	2.42	Test
2	Bi ₂ O ₃	0.18	1137.40	3.55	Training
3	Cr ₂ O ₃	0.20	1268.70	2.06	Training
4	CuO	0.18	706.25	4.24	Training
5	Fe ₂ O ₃	0.17	1408.29	2.40	Test
6	In ₂ O ₃	0.20	1271.13	2.83	Test
7	La ₂ O ₃	0.12	1017.22	4.96	Training
8	NiO	0.18	596.70	3.79	Training
9	Sb ₂ O ₃	0.17	1233.06	3.12	Training
10	SiO ₂	0.24	1686.38	2.54	Test
11	SnO ₂	0.22	1717.32	2.53	Test
12	TiO ₂	0.19	1575.73	2.14	Training
13	V ₂ O ₃	0.17	1097.73	3.48	Test
14	Y ₂ O ₃	0.13	837.15	5.79	Test
15	ZnO	0.13	662.44	5.80	Training
16	ZrO ₂	0.18	1357.66	2.58	Test

Table S4 Computed metrics in different values of sigma (sigma optimization table)

Sigma value	Dataset 1			Dataset 2			Dataset 3		
	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P
$\sigma = 0.25$	0.41	0.39	0.18	0.89	0.89	0.19	0.85	0.80	0.48
$\sigma = 0.50$	0.71	0.70	0.12	0.91	0.91	0.17	0.92	0.89	0.36
$\sigma = 0.75$	0.87	0.86	0.08	0.90	0.90	0.18	0.92	0.90	0.35
$\sigma = 1.00$	0.85	0.85	0.09	0.86	0.86	0.21	0.87	0.83	0.45
$\sigma = 1.50$	0.65	0.64	0.14	0.64	0.64	0.34	0.70	0.59	0.69
$\sigma = 2.00$	0.46	0.45	0.17	0.46	0.46	0.42	0.52	0.35	0.87

Table S5 Computed metrics in different values of gamma (gamma optimization table)

Gamma value	Dataset 1			Dataset 2			Dataset 3		
	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P	Q ² _{F1}	Q ² _{F2}	RMSE _P
$\gamma = 0.25$	0.36	0.34	0.19	0.40	0.40	0.44	0.42	0.22	0.95
$\gamma = 0.50$	0.60	0.59	0.15	0.67	0.67	0.33	0.67	0.56	0.72
$\gamma = 0.75$	0.73	0.72	0.12	0.81	0.81	0.25	0.81	0.74	0.55
$\gamma = 1.00$	0.79	0.79	0.11	0.87	0.87	0.21	0.87	0.83	0.45
$\gamma = 1.50$	0.79	0.79	0.11	0.90	0.90	0.18	0.91	0.88	0.38
$\gamma = 2.00$	0.73	0.72	0.12	0.91	0.91	0.17	0.91	0.87	0.38

Table S6 Optimization of the number of close training compound of Dataset 1

No. of C.T.C	Q ² _{F1}			Q ² _{F2}			RMSE _P		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
2	0.45	0.48	0.59	0.44	0.46	0.58	0.17	0.17	0.15
3	0.57	0.58	0.47	0.56	0.57	0.45	0.15	0.15	0.17
4	0.85	0.80	0.80	0.84	0.79	0.79	0.09	0.10	0.10
5	0.90	0.87	0.82	0.90	0.87	0.81	0.07	0.08	0.10
6	0.78	0.87	0.86	0.78	0.86	0.85	0.11	0.08	0.09
7	0.73	0.86	0.80	0.72	0.85	0.80	0.12	0.09	0.10
8	0.63	0.86	0.78	0.62	0.86	0.77	0.14	0.09	0.11
9	0.63	0.87	0.79	0.62	0.86	0.79	0.14	0.08	0.11

C.T.C: close training compound; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.

Table S7 Optimization of the number of close training compound of Dataset 2

No. of C.T.C	Q ² _{F1}			Q ² _{F2}			RMSE _P		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
2	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.91	0.89	0.90	0.17	0.19	0.18
3	0.84	0.91	0.92	0.84	0.91	0.92	0.23	0.17	0.16
4	0.72	0.91	0.91	0.72	0.91	0.91	0.30	0.17	0.17
5	0.67	0.92	0.92	0.67	0.92	0.92	0.33	0.16	0.16
6	0.58	0.91	0.91	0.58	0.91	0.91	0.37	0.17	0.17
7	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17
8	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17

C.T.C: close training compound; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.

Table S8 Optimization of the number of close training compound of Dataset 3

No. of C.T.C	Q2F1			Q2F2			RMSEP		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
2	0.90	0.90	0.84	0.86	0.87	0.78	0.40	0.40	0.50
3	0.91	0.91	0.91	0.88	0.87	0.88	0.37	0.38	0.38
4	0.90	0.93	0.93	0.87	0.91	0.90	0.39	0.33	0.34
5	0.85	0.93	0.92	0.80	0.90	0.89	0.49	0.34	0.36
6	0.79	0.92	0.92	0.72	0.89	0.89	0.57	0.35	0.36
7	0.77	0.92	0.91	0.69	0.89	0.88	0.60	0.35	0.37
8	0.77	0.92	0.91	0.69	0.90	0.88	0.60	0.35	0.38

C.T.C: close training compound; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.

Table S9 Optimization of the distance and similarity threshold of Dataset 1

Threshold	Q ² _{F1}			Q ² _{F2}			RMSE _P		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
D=0.4, S=0.0	0.96	0.87	0.79	0.96	0.86	0.79	0.05	0.08	0.11
D=0.4, S=0.05	0.96	0.87	0.83	0.96	0.86	0.82	0.05	0.09	0.10
D=0.4, S=0.1	0.96	0.85	0.82	0.96	0.85	0.82	0.05	0.09	0.10
D=0.5, S=0.0	0.71	0.87	0.79	0.70	0.86	0.79	0.13	0.08	0.11
D=0.5, S=0.05	0.71	0.87	0.83	0.70	0.86	0.82	0.13	0.09	0.10
D=0.5, S=0.1	0.71	0.85	0.82	0.70	0.85	0.82	0.13	0.09	0.10
D=0.6, S=0.0	0.71	0.87	0.79	0.70	0.86	0.79	0.13	0.08	0.11
D=0.6, S=0.05	0.71	0.87	0.83	0.70	0.86	0.82	0.13	0.09	0.10
D=0.6, S=0.1	0.71	0.85	0.82	0.70	0.85	0.82	0.13	0.09	0.10

D: distance threshold; S: similarity threshold; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.

Table S10 Optimization of the distance and similarity threshold of Dataset 2

Threshold	Q ² _{F1}			Q ² _{F2}			RMSE _P		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
D=0.5, S=0	0.59	0.91	0.91	0.59	0.91	0.91	0.37	0.17	0.17
D=0.6, S=0	0.47	0.91	0.91	0.47	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17
D=0.7, S=0	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.43	0.17	0.17
D=0.8, S=0	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17
D=0.9, S=0	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17
D=1, S=0	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.45	0.91	0.91	0.42	0.17	0.17

D: distance threshold; S: similarity threshold; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.

Table S11 Optimization of the distance and similarity threshold of Dataset 3

Threshold	Q ² _{F1}			Q ² _{F2}			RMSE _P		
	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK	EUC	GK	LK
D=0.4, S=0.0	0.96	0.92	0.91	0.95	0.90	0.88	0.23	0.35	0.38
D=0.4, S=0.1	0.96	0.92	0.90	0.95	0.89	0.86	0.23	0.35	0.40
D=0.5, S=0.0	0.95	0.92	0.91	0.93	0.90	0.88	0.28	0.35	0.38
D=0.5, S=0.1	0.95	0.92	0.90	0.93	0.89	0.86	0.28	0.35	0.40
D=0.6, S=0.0	0.83	0.92	0.91	0.77	0.90	0.88	0.51	0.35	0.38
D=0.6, S=0.1	0.83	0.92	0.90	0.77	0.89	0.86	0.51	0.35	0.40
D=0.7, S=0.0	0.82	0.92	0.91	0.76	0.90	0.88	0.53	0.35	0.38
D=0.7, S=0.1	0.82	0.92	0.90	0.76	0.89	0.86	0.53	0.35	0.40

D: distance threshold; S: similarity threshold; EUC: Euclidean distance similarity read-across; GK: Gaussian kernel function similarity read-across; LK: Laplacian kernel function similarity read-across.