1	Chlorinated solvent degradation in groundwater
2	by green rust - bone char composite: solute interactions and
3	chlorinated ethylene competition
4	
5	Jing Ai <sup>a*</sup> , Dominique J. Tobler <sup>a</sup> , Cecilie Gry Duncan-Jones <sup>a</sup> , Maria Eckardt Manniche <sup>a</sup> , Kirstine Evald
6	Andersson <sup>a</sup> , Hans Christian B. Hansen <sup>a</sup>
7	
8	<sup>a</sup> Department of Plant and Environmental Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Thorvaldsensvej 40, DK-
9	1871 Frederiksberg C, Denmark
10	*Corresponding author (Jing Ai): E-mail address: jingai@plen.ku.dk
11	
12	Content of this supporting information

- 14  $\,$  support the interpretation made in the results and discussion section. In total, this SI includes 11 pages  $\,$
- 15 with 7 figures, and 3 tables.

## 17 Text S1. Synthesis of GR<sub>sO4</sub> and GR<sub>cO3</sub>

Syntheses of GR<sub>SO4</sub> and GR<sub>CO3</sub> followed similar procedures as the GR<sub>CI</sub> synthesis by Yin et al. (1). For 18 19 GR<sub>SO4</sub>, 20 mL of 0.5 M FeSO<sub>4</sub> solution was added to 400 mL of 70 mM glycine inside a glass flask under 20 continuous stirring (300 rpm) with the pH kept constant at 8.0 (T ~ 22 °C) by titration with 1 M NaOH using a pH-stat (Metrohm, 719 Titrino). After, 20 mL of 0.1 M Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> solution was injected into the 21 synthesis flask using a 20 mL plastic syringe at a speed of 5 ml min<sup>-1</sup>. The suspension was stirred for 22 23 another 5 min when titration terminated.  $GR_{CO3}$  synthesis was performed identical to that of  $GR_{CI}$ synthesis except that pH was kept constant by titration with 1.0 M NaCO<sub>3</sub> instead of 1.0 NaOH. The 24 freshly synthesized suspensions were briefly washed by two rounds of centrifugation and re-suspension 25 in deoxygenated triple-deionized water as done for GR<sub>Cl</sub>. The washed GR was re-suspended in 100 ml 26 27 deoxygenated triple-deionized water and stirred on a magnetic stirrer at 500 rpm for 10 min prior to 28 usage.

## 31 Text S2. Calculation of reducing capacity of GR<sub>Cl</sub>

In triple CE experiments with 23 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.15 g L<sup>-1</sup> BC, the reducing capacity of GR<sub>CI</sub> is 7.6 mM e assuming that GR transforms into magnetite during CE dechlorination as observed in our previous study (2). Initial CE concentrations were 20  $\mu$ M for PCE, TCE and cDCE, respectively, thus full degradation of all added CEs requires 280  $\mu$ M electron equivalents (6\*20 + 4\*20 + 4\*20) according to Eq.S1-S3. In this case, the reducing capacity of GR was 27-fold higher than the oxidation equivalents of CEs. C<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub> + 6Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Fe<sup>IIII</sup>(OH)<sub>8</sub>Cl + 2H<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> + 24H<sub>2</sub>O + 10Cl<sup>-</sup> + 6Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 6Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>IIII</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (6e<sup>-</sup>) **Eq.S1** C<sub>2</sub>HCl<sub>3</sub> + 4Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Fe<sup>IIII</sup>(OH)<sub>8</sub>Cl + H<sup>+</sup>  $\rightarrow$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O + 7Cl<sup>-</sup> + 4Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 4Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>IIII</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (4e<sup>-</sup>) **Eq.S2** C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> + 2Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>3</sub>Fe<sup>IIII</sup>(OH)<sub>8</sub>Cl  $\rightarrow$  C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> + 8H<sub>2</sub>O + 4Cl<sup>-</sup> + 2Fe<sup>2+</sup> + 2Fe<sup>III</sup>Fe<sup>IIII</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (4e<sup>-</sup>) **Eq.S3** 

The highest CE concentration was measured in GW-C, where the initial PCE concentration (127  $\mu$ M) was the highest among all the tested groundwaters, and TCE (10  $\mu$ M) and *c*DCE (19  $\mu$ M) were much lower. In this case, full dechlorination of all CEs would require 0.88 mM e<sup>-</sup>, which is still 6.4-fold lower than the reducing capacity provided by the added GR (17 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub>, i.e., 5.7 mM e<sup>-</sup>). Therefore, we believe that the observed inhibition of *c*DCE reduction in mixed CE systems cannot be due to insufficient GR reducing capacity.

50 Table S1. Summary of TCE dechlorination rates (*k<sub>mass</sub>*, L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) in the presence of groundwater solutes

51 and comparison to *k<sub>mass</sub>*(TCE) in TI water shown by a decrease factor given in brackets <sup>*a*</sup>.

Solutes	0.5 mM	1.0 mM	2.0/5.0 mM	10 mM
Cl <sup>-</sup>				0.76 ± 0.04 ( <b>1.4</b> )
504 <sup>2-</sup>		1.11 ± 0.05 ( <b>1.0</b> )		0.86 ± 0.04 ( <b>1.3</b> )
HCO <sub>3</sub> -		1.17 ± 0.05 ( <b>0.9</b> )	5 mM: 0.39 ± 0.02 ( <b>2.8</b> )	0.16 ± 0.01 ( <b>6.7</b> )
H <sub>4</sub> SiO <sub>4</sub>	0.65 ± 0.05 ( <b>1.7</b> )	0.65 ± 0.07 ( <b>1.7</b> )	2 mM: 0.21 ± 0.04 ( <b>5.3</b> )	

52 <sup>*a*</sup>  $k_{mass}$ (TCE) in TI water is 1.09 ± 0.06 L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, and fresh BC was used in this set of experiments. The

53 decrease factors in brackets were obtained by dividing  $k_{mass}$ (TCE) in the reaction with TI water with 54 measured  $k_{mass}$ (TCE) in corresponding solutions.

57 Table S2. Summary of CE dechlorination rate ( $k_{mass}$ , L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) in competition experiments and

58 comparison to  $k_{mass}$  (CE) in single CE experiment with TI water shown by a decrease factor given in

59

brackets <sup>a</sup> (unless stated otherwise).

Experimental setups	BC status	k <sub>mass</sub> (PCE)	k <sub>mass</sub> (TCE)	k <sub>mass</sub> (cDCE)
Single CE (TI)	Stored	$\textbf{0.8}\pm\textbf{0.1}$	$0.52 \pm 0.03$ (2.1) <sup>b</sup>	$\textbf{0.14} \pm \textbf{0.01}$
Single CE (GW)	Stored	$0.14\pm0.01\textbf{(5.2)}$	$0.20\pm0.02$ (2.6)	$0.025\pm0.07~\textbf{(5.6)}$
PCE + TCE (TI)	Stored	$0.45\pm0.02\textbf{(1.8)}$	$0.32\pm0.02$ (1.6)	n.a. <sup>c</sup>
TCE + cDCE (TI)	Stored	n.a. <sup>c</sup>	$0.61 \pm 0.04 \ \textbf{(0.85)}$	_ d
PCE + cDCE (TI)	Stored	$0.77\pm0.04\textbf{(1.0)}$	n.a. <sup>c</sup>	_ d
PCE + TCE + <i>c</i> DCE (TI)	Stored	$0.32\pm0.05\textbf{(2.5)}$	$0.20\pm0.03$ (2.6)	_ d
PCE + TCE + cDCE (GW-F)	Stored	$0.22 \pm 0.01$ (1.5) $^{e}$	0.16 ± 0.01 <b>(1.3)</b> <sup>e</sup>	_ d

60~ <sup>a</sup> Decrease factors in brackets were obtained by comparing to the corresponding single CE experiments

61 with stored BC, i.e., the values in the first row;

 $62^{b}$  The decrease factor in brackets was calculated by dividing the  $k_{mass}$  (TCE) obtained in the single TCE

63 experiment in TI water in **Experiment a** (1.09 ± 0.06 L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>; Table 1) with the here measured  $k_{mass}$ (TCE) 64 (i.e., **Experiment b)** to show the impact of BC storage time;

65 <sup>c</sup> No data;

<sup>66</sup> <sup>d</sup> No clear sign of cDCE degradation as cDCE data was not significantly different from control data;

67 <sup>e</sup> Decrease factors in brackets were obtained by dividing CE  $k_{mass}$  in triple CE experiments in TI water with

68 the corresponding  $k_{mass}$  value in the CE-spiked clean groundwater, GW-F.

- Table S3. Summary of CE dechlorination rate ( $k_{mass}$ , L g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) in contaminated groundwaters and
- 71 comparison to triple CE experiments with CE-spiked clean groundwater shown by a decrease factor
- 72

given in brackets <sup>a</sup> (unless stated otherwise).

Groundwater	BC status	k <sub>mass</sub> (PCE)	k <sub>mass</sub> (TCE)	k <sub>mass</sub> (cDCE)
GW-A	Fresh	n.a. <sup><i>b</i></sup>	$0.048\pm0.005$ (3.3/7.0) $^{\textit{d}}$	n.a. <sup><i>b</i></sup>
GW-B	Fresh	$0.06\pm0.01$ (3.7) $^{\textit{c}}$	_ e	_ e
GW-C	Fresh	$0.10 \pm 0.02$ (2.2) <sup>c</sup>	_ e	_ e
GW-D	Fresh	$0.035 \pm 0.007 \ \textbf{(6.3)}$	$0.050 \pm 0.007$ (3.2/6.7) <sup>d</sup>	_ e
GW-E	Fresh	$0.033\pm0.004\textbf{(6.7)}$	$0.021\pm0.003$ (7.6/16) <sup>d</sup>	_ e

73 <sup>a</sup> Decrease factors were calculated by dividing the  $k_{mass}$  (CE) obtained in triple CE experiments with GW-F

74 with the corresponding  $k_{mass}$  in CE contaminated groundwaters;

75 <sup>b</sup> PCE and cDCE were not detected in GW-A;

76 <sup>c</sup> The data were fitted by a modified first-order kinetic model ( $M_t = b \times e^{-k \times t} + a$ ) with 'a' as an offset

77 because the reaction substantially slowed at the end of the monitored time frame without reaching full

78 CE degradation. Thus, "a" refers to the fraction of contaminants that remained at the end of the

79 reaction;

80~  $^{\it d}$  Both decrease factors refer to the comparison to the CE-spiked clean groundwater, but the value on

the right includes correction for the BC aging effect (a further 2.1-fold decrease for TCE reduction, TableS2).

83 <sup>e</sup> No clear signs of degradation.



86

Figure S1. Normalized TCE mass time trends ( $M_{t,TCE}/M_{0,TCE}$ ) in reactions with 23 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.15 g k L<sup>-1</sup> BC in the absence and presence of different groundwater solutes at different concentrations. The solid lines show pseudo-first-order kinetic fits to the data (but excluding the data at t = 0).



**Figure S2.** Changes in CE mass as a function of time (h) in TI water (solid symbols) with the GR-BC composite (23 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.15 g L<sup>-1</sup> BC) and in clean groundwater GW-F (open symbols) with the GR-BC composite (17 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> BC). Error bars represent one standard deviation obtained from triplicate reactions, and the lines shows pseudo-first-order kinetic fits to the data.



Figure S3. Normalized CE mass time trend  $(M_t/M_0)$  for CE competition experiments in TI water with the GR-BC composite (23 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.15 g L<sup>-1</sup> BC) between (A) PCE and TCE, (B) PCE and *c*DCE, (C) TCE and *c*DCE, and (D) PCE, TCE and *c*DCE. (E) Normalized CE mass time trend  $(M_t/M_0)$  for triple CE competition in clean groundwater GW-F with the GR-BC composite (17 mM [Fe(II)]<sub>GR</sub> and 0.5 g L<sup>-1</sup> BC).



Figure S4. Time trends of CE concentrations in control experiments (no added GR-BC mixture) of (A)
GW-A, (B) GW-B, (C) GW-C, (D) GW-D, and (E) GW-E.



Figure S5. Normalized TCE mass time trends ( $M_t/M_0$ ) in TI water with different GR-BC composite varying in GR type, i.e.,  $GR_{CL}$ ,  $GR_{SO4}$  and  $GR_{CO3}$ . Solid lines show pseudo-first-order kinetic fits to the data and error bars represent one standard deviation from measurement of triplicate samples. The synthesis of GR<sub>SO4</sub> and GR<sub>CO3</sub> can be found in Text S1.



120 Figure S6. XRD patterns (Co K $\alpha$  radiation) of (A) fresh GR<sub>Cl</sub>, GR<sub>Cl</sub> reacted for 24 hours in 1 and 10 mM

121  $SO_4^{2-}$  solutions, and fresh  $GR_{SO4}$ , and **(B)** fresh  $GR_{Cl}$ ,  $GR_{Cl}$  reacted for 24 hours in 1 and 10 mM HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>

122 solutions, and fresh  $GR_{CO3}$ . Characteristic peaks of  $GR_{CI}$  and  $GR_{CO3}$  match the reflections of GR1 crystalline

123 (ICDD reference code of 00-040-0127 (3) and 00-046-0098 (4)), and those of  $GR_{SO4}$  match the reflections

124 of GR2 crystalline (ICDD reference code of 00-013-0090 (5)).



Figure S7. Photos of GR suspensions amended with 0, 0.01 and 0.1 M NaCl (corresponding to A, B and C) after settling for (a) 1 h, (b) 16 h, (c) 40 h and (d) 64 h. A clear separation of solid and solution was seen for GR in 0.1 M NaCl after 1 h, while for GR in 0.01 M NaCl, this separation only became apparent after 40 hours. Sedimentation in the NaCl-free GR suspension was lowest and barely visible over the monitored time frame.

## 134 Reference

- Yin W, Huang L, Pedersen EB, Frandsen C, Hansen HCB. Glycine buffered synthesis of layered iron(II)-iron(III) hydroxides (green rusts). Journal of Colloid and Interface Science. 2017 Jul 1;497:429–38.
- Ai J, Yin W, B. Hansen HC. Fast Dechlorination of Chlorinated Ethylenes by Green Rust in the
   Presence of Bone Char. Environ Sci Technol Lett. 2019 Mar 12;6(3):191–6.
- 140 3. Vinš J, Šubrt J, Zapletal V, Hanousek F. Preparation and properties of green rust type substances.
  141 Collect Czech Chem Commun. 1987;52(1):93–102.
- Hansen HCB. Composition, stabilization, and light absorption of Fe(II)Fe(III) hydroxy-carbonate
   ('green rust'). Clay Minerals. 1989 Dec;24(4):663–9.
- 144 5. Bernal JD, Dasgupta DR, Mackay AL. The oxides and hydroxides of iron and their structural inter-
- relationships [Internet]. 1959. Available from: DOI: https://doi.org/10.1180/claymin.1959.004.21.02