| 1 | SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 3 | Inhibitory effect of selected Indian honeys in colon cancer cell growth by inducing apoptosis and targeting β-catenin/Wnt pathway | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 6 7 8 | Neeladrisingha Das ¹ , Neelanjana Ray ¹ , Abhinandan R Patil ² , Shashank Sagar Saini ³ , Bhairavnath Waghmode ³ , Chandrachur Ghosh ¹ , Sunita B Patil ⁴ , Sandeep B Patil ⁵ , Chandrasekhar S Mote ⁶ , Surendra Saini ¹ , B. L. Saraswat ⁷ , Debabrata Sircar ³ & Partha Roy ^{1*} | | | | | |
| 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Molecular Endocrinology Laboratory, Department of Biosciences and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India, PIN-247667 Centre for Interdisciplinary Research, D Y Patil University, Kolhapur, Maharashtra, India, PIN- 416006 Plant Molecular Biology Laboratory, Department of Biosciences and Bioengineering, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Uttarakhand, India, PIN-247667 Department of Pathology, D Y Patil medical college, Kolhapur, Maharashtra India, PIN- 416006 Biocyte Insitute of Research and Development, Sangli, Maharashtra, India, PIN- 416416 Department of veterinary pathology, KNP college of veterinary Science, Sirwal, Maharashtra, India, PIN- 412801 Department of Agriculture, Cooperation & Farmers Welfare (DAC&FW), Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare, Govt. of India, 150 A, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi – 110001 | | | | | |
| 20 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 23 | *Corresponding author: Tel. +91-1332-285686; Fax. +91-1332-273560; Email: partha.roy@bt.iitr.ac.in | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 28 | | | | | | |
| 29 | | | | | | |
| 30 | | | | | | |
| 31 | | | | | | |

32 1. Supplementary Material Method 1:

33 Biochemical analyses of honey

34 1.1. Sample preparation

For this, 5 ml of both mustard (MS) and multi-floral (MF) honey were lyophilized to obtain the powdered form of them. This lyophilized powder was either freshly used or kept at -20 °C before their biochemical analyses. Then 200 mg of lyophilized powder was dissolved in 1 ml of methanol and filtered through 0.45-micron filter and filtered methanolic extract was used for following biochemical analyses.

40 1.2. Estimation of total soluble sugar

41 Total soluble sugar content was estimated by using anthrone reagent. Briefly, 200 μ L of the filtered 42 methanolic extract was added into 800 μ L of the anthrone solution (0.2% in concentrated H₂SO₄) 43 and then heated for 10 min in a boiling water bath followed by cooling down to room temperature. 44 The absorbance was then measured at 620 nm against reagent blank. The sugar content was 45 estimated from standard curve plotted with glucose as the standard. Total soluble sugar content 46 was expressed as gm glucose percentage (%) (gm glucose equivalent per 100 gm lyophilized 47 honey).

48 1.3. Estimation of reducing sugar

Reducing sugar content was estimated using Somagiy's reagent and arsenomolybdate reagent. The 49 reaction was started by adding 250 µL of the methanolic honey extract and 250 µL of Somagiy's 50 reagent (4 mL of reagent A and 1 mL of reagent B mixed well just before the reaction), mixed well 51 and then incubated at 100 °C for 10 min in a water bath. After cooling down the contents to room 52 temperature, 1 mL of arsenomolybdate reagent was added to it. The blue green colour was 53 developed which was measured by taking absorbance at 640 nm against reagent blank. The values 54 of reducing sugar were calculated from a calibration curve prepared using standard glucose 55 solution. Reducing sugar content was expressed as gm glucose percentage (%) (gm glucose 56 equivalent per 100 gm lyophilized honey). 57

- 58
- 59
- 60

61 1.4. Estimation of non-reducing sugar

62 Non-reducing sugar content was estimated by subtracting the content of reducing sugar from the 63 total soluble sugar and expressed as gram glucose percentage (%) (gram glucose equivalent per 64 100 g lyophilized honey).

65 1.5. Estimation of total phenolic and total flavonoid content

Total phenolic content (TPC) was estimated by using Folin-Ciocalteau reagent. Briefly, 0.1 mL of 66 the methanolic extract was mixed with 0.5 mL of diluted Folin-Ciocalteau reagent (dilution 1:9 67 with water) and then after 5 min incubation at room temperature, 0.4 mL of sodium carbonate (5% 68 w/v) was added to the mixture. The entire mixture was then kept in dark at room temperature. 69 After 20 min of incubation, absorbance was measured at 765 nm against a reagent blank. TPC was 70 expressed as mg gallic acid equivalents (GAE) per 100 gm lyophilized honey (mg GAE/100 gm). 71 Total flavonoid content (TFC) was estimated by aluminum chloride method. To measure TFC, 0.5 72 mL of methanolic extract was added to 0.5 mL of 2% AlCl₃ solution (prepared in ethanol) and 73 incubated at room temperature for 1 h. After that the absorbance was measured at 420 nm. TFC 74 was expressed as mg quercetin (QE) equivalents per 100 gm lyophilized honey (mg QE/100 gm). 75

76 1.6. Estimation of antioxidant activity by oxygen radical antioxidant capacity (ORAC) assay

The ORAC assay was carried out by using the oxygen radical antioxidant capacity kit (ORAC kit, 77 ab233473, Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA). The analyses were performed at 37°C using a pH 7.4 78 79 phosphate buffer. The peroxide radicals were produced by 2,20 -Azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), using fluorescein as substrate and Trolox as standard. Each well of the 80 plate reader contained in a final volume of 200 µL assay solutions: fluorescein (16.7 nM), 1-50 81 mg/mL of honey and AAPH 2.2 mg/mL (final concentration). The fluorescence intensity was 82 83 measured every 2 min for 1 h. A calibration curve of Trolox in the concentration range 10 to 100 µM was used in each plate read. All determinations were made in triplicates. ORAC values were 84 then calculated as area under the curve (AUC) and expressed as µmol Trolox equivalent (TE)/gm. 85 The blank wells in the experiments contained AAPH, fluorescein and phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). 86

87 1.7. Metabolite analyses of honey using HPLC-DAD

Methanolic extract of honey was directly analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography diode array detection (HPLC-DAD) system for detection of secondary metabolites. A Waters (Milford, MA, USA) HPLC system consisting of 1525 binary pump and 2998 photodiode array

detector (PDA) was used for separation. Chromatographic separation was achieved on Waters C18 91 reversed-phase Xbridge column (150 x 4.6 mm, 5 µm) with mobile phase consisting of 1% aqueous 92 trifluoroacetic acid (solvent A) and acetonitrile (solvent B). The flow rate was 0.7 mL/min and 93 injection volume were 20 μ L. A gradient elution was performed by varying the proportion of 94 solvent B to solvent A. The gradient elution was changed from 5% to 10% B for duration of 27 95 min, from 10 to 40% B in 75 min, 40% B from 75-80 min, 40 to 42% B in 82 min, then 100% B 96 in 84 min and finally 100% B up to 92 min. Data acquisition and an analysis was performed by 97 Empower 3 Software from Waters. All measurements were carried out at room temperature (~ 25 98 °C). The peaks were identified by matching with retention time and UV-spectrum with authentic 99 standards. 100

101

102 2. Supplementary Material and Method 2:

103 2.1. Sample preparation

One mg of lyophilized honey powder was dissolved in 1 mL MeOH and then 2-Phenylphenol (50 104 µL from 2 mg/mL 2-phenylphenol methanol stock) was added as internal standard to the sample 105 and vortexed vigorously for 5 min followed by overnight incubation at 26 °C at 200 RPM in 106 shaking incubator (Rivotek, India). The mixture obtained was further dried in vacuum concentrator 107 (Eppendorf Concentrator plus[™], Eppendorf, USA) at 37 °C until a dried powder was obtained. 108 Finally, the dried material was subjected to double derivatization, first with 35 µL of 109 110 methoxyamine hydrochloride (20 mg/mL dissolved in pyridine) for 120 min at 37 °C and then second derivatization with 50 µL of MSTFA (N-Methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide) for 111 30 min at 37 °C. Finally, after the double derivatization, the mixture was centrifuged at 13000 x g 112 for 10 min, supernatant was then taken out in a GC glass vial and analysed by GC-MS. 113 114 Derivatization reaction prepared with empty tube without sample served as the control.

115 2.2. GC-MS analyses

116 GC- MS analysis was performed on Agilent 7890 gas chromatograph coupled with an Agilent 117 5977C mass detector (Agilent Technologies, CA, USA). For this, 1 μ L sample was injected using 118 an automatic sampler (7683 B series, Agilent Technologies, CA, USA) in spitless mode. HP-5 MS 119 column (5% phenyl methyl polysiloxane: 30 m x 0.25 mm i.d. x 0.25 μ m, Agilent Technologies, 120 CA, USA) was used for metabolite separation. The temperature program was as follows: initial 121 temperature of 70 °C for 5 min, followed by temperature increase to 270 °C at the ramp rate of 4°C /min and kept in hold for 1 min. Finally, the temperature was increased to 300 °C at the ramp 122 rate of 10 °C /min and kept on hold at 300 °C for 15 min. Total run time calculated was 74 min. 123 Helium was used as carrier gas at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The inlet and MS transfer line 124 temperatures were set to 250 °C and 310 °C respectively. The MS unit was tuned to its maximum 125 sensitivity and the mass range for total ion current was m/z 40-600, and the detector voltage was 126 set at 1700 eV. Each sample was replicated three times. The scan was started after solvent delay 127 of 7 min with scan frequency of 3 S^{-1} (2.0 Hz) and with mass range of 30-1000 m/z. 128

129 2.3. Metabolite identification

130 Data acquisition, automatic peak detection, mass spectrum deconvolution, and library search were done by Agilent ChemStationTM software and Wsearch pro (www.wsearch.com.au). The 131 metabolites were identified by matching the mass spectra of target metabolite with the NIST-17 132 mass spectral library (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD, USA), 133 134 and our in-house mass spectral database that includes several secondary metabolites, amino acids, organic acids, and sugar standards. Metabolites having matching similarity of 80% or more in 135 library search were only considered. All artifact peaks such as plasticizers, column bleed, 136 derivatizing agent peaks were not considered in final calculation. To obtain accurate peak areas 137 138 for each metabolite, unique quantification masses were considered and specified. Each mass spectrum was carefully analyzed for co-elution detection. 139

140 2.4. Multivariate analyses

141 Raw GC-MS data files were deconvoluted by using Automated Mass Spectral Deconvolution and Identification System (AMDIS) tools available with WsearchPro (www.wsearch.com.au). 142 Resulting peak area data were converted into comma separated values (.csv) format and then 143 uploaded in Metaboanalyst 3.0 (http://www.metaboanalyst.ca) for processing. The data were used 144 145 with log transformation and pareto scaling. To detect the differences in metabolite profiling between MH and MF honey samples, multivariate method of Partial Least Squares Discriminant 146 147 Analysis (PLS-DA) were conducted. The PLS-DA is a supervised method which was used to maximize the difference of metabolites between stages to detect those metabolites which were 148 149 demonstrating key role in discriminating the groups. The output for PLSDA (PC1 Vs PC2) was presented as 2D scores plots. Variable importance in projection (VIP) scores were used to screen 150

PLS-DA data for important features. Heat maps were created using Metaboanalyst 3.0 by applying
Euclidean distance measure, the Ward clustering algorithm, autoscale feature under
standardization tool and using original data source.

- 154
 155
 156
 157
 158
 159
 160
 161
 162
 163
 164
 165
 166
- 167



Supplementary Figure S1. HPLC chromatogram showing separation of major polyphenols from
honey samples. Key to peak identity: 1, gallic acid; 2, pinocembrin; 3, protocatechuic acid; 4,
caffeic acid; 5,6, unidentified; 7, vanilic acid; 8, vanillin; 9, 4-coumaric acid; 10, syringic acid; 11,
chrysin.

183 Supplementary Table T1. Sequences of primers used in this study

| 184 | TRAF-2 | Forward primer | 5'-TCTTCTCCCCAGCCTTCTACAC-3' |
|-------|---------|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| 105 | | Reverse Primer | 5'-GGCCCTTCATCACCACAAAG-3' |
| 185 | CAS-3 | Forward primer | 5'-GGTTCATCCAGTCGCTTTGTG-3' |
| 186 | | Reverse Primer | 5'-GCGTCAAAGGAAAAGGACTCA-3' |
| 107 | CAS-8 | Forward primer | 5'-CTGCCTACAGGGTCATGCTCTA-3' |
| 187 | | Reverse Primer | 5'-AGCAGGTTCATGTCATCATCCA-3' |
| 188 | CAS-9 | Forward primer | 5'-AGCACTGTCCCTTGCCTCAAT-3' |
| | | Reverse Primer | 5'-AGTGGTTGTCAGGCGAGGAA-3' |
| 189 | PARP-1 | Forward primer | 5'-TACCATCCAGGCTGCTTTGTC-3' |
| 190 | | Reverse Primer | 5'-CCTCTGTAGCAAGGAGGCTGAA-3' |
| | β actin | Forward primer | 5'-GCATGGGTCAGAAGGATTCCTA-3' |
| 191 | | Reverse Primer | 5'-TGTAGAAGGTGTGGTGCCAGAT-3' |
| 192 | | | |
| 193 | | | |
| 194 | | | |
| 195 | | | |
| 196 | | | |
| 197 | | | |
| | | | |
| 198 | | | |
| 199 | | | |
| 200 | | | |
| 201 | | | |
| 202 | | | |
| 203 | | | |
| 204 | | | |
| • • - | | | |
| 205 | | | |
| 206 | | | |
| 207 | | | |
| 208 | | | |

| | Antibodies | Company | Product | Dilutions |
|-----|-------------|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | code | |
| | Bax | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | SC-20067 | 1:500 |
| | Bcl-XL | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | SC-8392 | 1:1000 |
| | p53 | Thermo Fisher scientific | MA5-12453 | 1:1000 |
| | β-catenin | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-7963 | 1:1000 |
| | p-β-catenin | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-57535 | 1:500 |
| | GSK 3β | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-81462 | 1:500 |
| | Axin-1 | Thermo Fisher scientific | 34-5900 | 1:1000 |
| | Cyclin D1 | Thermo Fisher scientific | RM- 9104 | 1:500 |
| | c-Myc | Thermo Fisher scientific | MA1-980 | 1:500 |
| | Survivin | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-17779 | 1:500 |
| | GAPDH | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-47724 | 1:1000 |
| 210 | β-actin | Santacruz Biotechnology, Inc | sc-47778 | 1:1000 |
| 210 | | | | |
| 211 | | | | |
| 212 | | | | |
| 213 | | | | |
| 214 | | | | |
| 215 | | | | |
| 216 | | | | |
| 217 | | | | |
| 218 | | | | |
| 219 | | | | |
| 220 | | | | |
| 221 | | | | |
| 222 | | | | |
| 223 | | | | |
| 224 | | | | |

209 Supplementary Table T2. Details of antibodies used in this study

225 **Supplementary Table T3**. Estimation of IC_{50} values of different honey varieties towards 226 HCT15 cell lines after 24 h of incubation

| Honey varieties | IC ₅₀ (in mg/mL) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Litchee | 101.4 ± 0.77 |
| Tulsi | 105.7 ± 0.26 |
| Mustard | $57.55 \pm 0.52*$ |
| Jujube | 111.1 ± 0.25 |
| Eucalyptus | 97.47 ± 0.15 |
| Multifloral | $73.74\pm0.29\texttt{*}$ |

227 Data are the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments. *, indicates significantly different

228 from all other varieties of honey at p < 0.05.

229

230