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## ■ Materials

Succinic anhydride (SA, purity > 99\%), 1,4-butanediol (1,4-BDO, purity > 99\%), 2-furoic acid (FCA, purity > 98\%), maleic anhydride (MA, purity > 99\%) and tetrabutyl titanate (TBT, purity > 99\%) were purchased from Acros Organics (Belgium). Various inorganic salts ( $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}, \mathrm{KNO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaNO}_{3}, \mathrm{KHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$ and HCOONa ) and furan (purity > 99\%) were purchased from Beijing InnoChem Science \& Technology Co., Ltd.. Potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}>99 \%\right)$, potassium phosphate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}>99 \%\right)$, potassium permanganate (KMnO4, purity > 99\%), tert-butyl alcohol (TBA, purity > 99\%), and paraformaldehyde $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}\right.$, purity $\left.>96 \%\right)$ were purchased from Tianjin Guangfu Fine Chemical Research Institute. Diethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$, methanol $(\mathrm{MeOH})$, and ethyl acetate $(\mathrm{EtOAc})$ were purchased from Beijing Chemicals Works. All reagents were used as received without any purification.

## ■ General Methods

## The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, 2D COSY and HMBC spectra were recorded on

 a Bruker spectrometer ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 600 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 150 \mathrm{MHz}$ or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} 400 \mathrm{MHz},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} 100 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal reference and the sample ( 10 mg for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 30 mg for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, respectively) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of dimethylsulfoxide-d (DMSO- $d_{6}$ ), chloroform- $d\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$, and trifluoroacetic acid- $d$ (TFA- $d$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical shifts are referenced to residual solvent peaks in TFA-d, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}$ at $11.37,7.20$ and 2.50 ppm , respectively. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR chemical shifts are referenced to residual solvent peaks in TFA-d, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ at 162.68-161.82 ppm, 77.36-76.72 ppm and 46.62-39.37 ppm, respectively.Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectra were reported by attenuated total reflection Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) with VERTEX 70 spectrophotometer (Bruker, Germany) equipped with a zinc selenide ( ZnSe ) crystal in the wavenumber region of $500-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and the ATR-FTIR spectra were carried out at a resolution of $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and 128 co-added scans.

Size-Exclusion Chromatography (SEC) was recorded on a Waters 1515 HPLC apparatus to test number-average molecular weight $(\mathrm{Mn})$, weight-average molecular weight $(\mathrm{Mw})$ and its distribution (PDI). Hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) with sodium trifluoroacetate $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COONa}, 5 \mathrm{mmol} / \mathrm{L}\right)$ was used as an eluent $\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, 35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to evaluate relative molecular weight of different polyesters, and polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) standards was used to establish a calibration curve.

Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA) was performed on a Mettler-Toledo TGA/DSC 1 Instrument to evaluate the thermal stability of copolyesters. About $5-10 \mathrm{mg}$ of each sample was heated from 30 to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ under a nitrogen flow of 20 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ and the weight loss of $5 \%\left(\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{d}, 5 \%}\right)$ is a criterion to estimate that thermal stability of polymers and the maximum decomposition rate ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{dmax}}$ ) is evaluated as well from TGA curves.

Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC) measurement was conducted by a Mettle-Toledo DSC 3 to investigate the thermal transition behaviors of copolyesters. The specific testing parameters were as follows: appropriately $5-10 \mathrm{mg}$ of each sample was tested under a standard heat-cool-heat cycle from -60 to $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or 0 to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ depending on the samples. Both heating and cooling rate were $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, and the temperature at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was held for 3 min after first heating to eliminate the thermal history. The relevant results were obtained
from TA Universal Analysis. For isothermal crystallization experiments, the treatment of samples was the following: similarly, the thermal history was removed by heating up to 200 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and held for 3 min , and then cooled to the selected crystallization temperature of samples, where it was left to crystallize until saturation.

The Polarized Optical Microscope (POM) (ZEISS, Axio Imager A2) was conducted to observe the morphology of spherulites of crystal polyesters, equipped with a Linkam hot stage (THMS600). The samples were first melted at $180-200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min to diminish thermal history, and quenched to the selected crystallization temperature at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$ until crystallization completed.

Wide-angle X-ray Diffraction (WAXD) patterns were recorded on a Bruker (D8 ADVANCE) X-ray diffractometer from $5^{\circ}$ to $60^{\circ}$ at room temperature with $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation and the scan rate of $2 \%$ min. The films of copolyesters were prepared by hot-press molding at different temperature ranging from $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Before testing, the films were conditioned at room temperature for two days.

Tensile Properties were carried out with Instron-1121 tester at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in accordance with ASTM D638. Dumbbell-shaped specimens with width and thickness of 10 mm and 0.5 mm were prepared via hot-pressing, and the crosshead speed was $5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{min}$. The relevant parameters, including tensile strength $\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{m}}\right)$, elongation at break $\left(\varepsilon_{b}\right)$, and Young's modulus $\left(E_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$, were obtained.

Gas Barrier Properties were examined by Labthink VAC-V2 gas permeability tester using thin films $(150-200 \mu \mathrm{~m})$ from the melt-press/quench procedures and they were conducted at $23.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the relative humidity $(\mathrm{RH})$ of $0 \%$. The purity of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ reached over $99.99 \%$. The
samples were cut into circular discs with a diameter around 3.0 cm , and were measured at three times to ensure the accuracy of the results.

Ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectra were recorded on SHIMADZU UV-2600 spectrophotometer, and the absorption spectra (200-800 nm) from melt-pressed films of polyesters and solutions of monomers TCDA and FDCA ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ dimethyl sulfoxide) were obtained.

## ■ Monomers Preparation

## Synthesis of tricyclic acid monomer TCDA.

The synthesis of TCDA was according to our previous reports as follows. ${ }^{1}$


Diels-Alder reaction for the preparation of I. Maleic anhydride ( $98.1 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dispersed into furan ( $362.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and stirred at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for 24 h . The crystallized product in the solvent was filtered, washed with cool $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried under dry nitrogen atmosphere to afford a white crystal ( 143.9 g , yield $86 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO-d ): $\delta 6.58$ (s, 2 H ), 5.34 (s, 2 H ), 3.31 (s, 2 H ).

Esterification reaction for the preparation of II. Concentrated sulfuric acid $(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the 800 mL MeOH with anhydride I ( $83.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and stirred for 16 h. After concentrating under the vacuum, the precipitate was filtered, washed with ice MeOH
and dried in the vacuum to afford II (88.2 g, yield 83\%) as a white crystal. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.46$ (s, 2 H ), 5.27 (s, 2 H ), 3.71 (s, 6 H ), 2.83 (s, 2 H ).

Oxidation reaction for the preparation of III. A solution of potassium permanganate (18.9 g, $76.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 300 mL water was added to a mixture solution of II (20.56 g, 120.0 mmol$)$ and 0.4 M NaOH in tertbutyl alcohol ( 200 mL ) under the ice bath. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and then quenched with a saturated aqueous solution of sodium metabisulfite. After filtering the tert-butyl alcohol was removed from in vacuo. The solution was extracted with boiling ethyl acetate repeatedly, and the combined organic layers dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo to afford a white crystal ( 7.7 g , yield 26\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.81$ (s, 2 H ), 4.32 (s, 2 H ), 3.77 (s, 2 H ), 3.53 (s, 6 H), 3.02 (s, 2 H$)$.

Acetal reaction for the preparation of TCDA. Paraformaldehyde (50.0 g) and III (50.0 g, 0.20 mol ) were dissolved in 360 mL concentrated hydrochloric acid and refluxed at $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h. The precipitate was filtered, washed with ice water and dried in vacuum to afford a white solid powder (35.82 g, yield 78\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 12.26$ (s, 2 H ), 4.94 (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74$ (s, 1 H ), 4.56 (s, 2 H ), 4.28 (s, 2 H ), 2.86 (s, 2 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, DMSO$\left.d_{6}\right): ~ \delta 172.19,96.86,81.86,81.02,46.09$.

## Synthesis of 2,5-furan-dicarboxylic acid (FDCA) monomer.



Neutralizaiton reaction for the preparation of IV. Potassium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$,

138 mg ) or sodium carbonate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 106 \mathrm{mg}\right)$ was dissolved in minimal deionized water. Then, furoic acid ( $2.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 224 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added in the alkaline solution. After removing the water by rotary evaporation, the resulted furoate was dried under vacuum at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h .
$\underline{\text { C-H activation reaction for the preparation of } \mathbf{V} \text {. In a typical experiment, dry sodium }}$ furancarboxylate (FCA ${ }^{-}{ }^{+}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 288 \mathrm{mg}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 345 \mathrm{mg})$ and sodium formate (HCOONa, $30 \mathrm{wt} \%$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{FCA}^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$, 190 mg ) were loaded into a 100 mL Parr reactor. The reactor was degassed and flushed with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ for three times at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Subsequently, the mixture was heated to $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h at 8 atm of flowing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Once the programmed sequence had completed, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and the solid product mixture was dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 8.35(\mathrm{~s}, 0.72 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~s}, 0.93 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~s}, 11.62 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02$ (s, 0.56 H$), 1.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2.20 \mathrm{H})$.

Large-scale C-H activation reaction for the preparation of FDCA. The mixture of FCA ${ }^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mol}, 36.0 \mathrm{~g}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.31 \mathrm{mmol}, 43.12 \mathrm{~g})$ and $\mathrm{HCOONa}\left(30 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{FCA}^{-}$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, 23.7 \mathrm{~g}$ ) prepared as previously described were loaded into a 10 L Parr reactor. The reactor was degassed and flushed with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an overnight. The mixture was gradient heated up to $280{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during 10 h , and then reacted at this temperature for 15 h under 8 atm of flowing $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Once the programmed sequence had completed, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and the solid product mixture was dissolved in a minimum of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After decolorizing with activated carbon, the filtrate was acidified by concentrated hydrochloric acid to pH of 1-2, and the product precipitated from the solution was collected
on a Büchner funnel. After dried in vacuum to afford FDCA as an off-white powder (20.0 g, yield 51\%). In order to obtain the polymerization grade monomer, the FDCA production was further purified by washing with hot ethyl acetate repeatedly. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ): $\delta 13.64$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.32 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 159.35,147.47$, 118.85.

## ■ Melt co-Polymerizations

## Polymerization of SA and TCDA with 1,4-BDO.



100\% SA synthetized homopolyester PBS.


SA ( $2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , and $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as white solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA}-\mathrm{d}\right): \delta 4.12$ (s, 4 H ), 2.67 (s, 4 H ), 1.67 (s, 4 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.95,66.73,29.33,24.95$.


SA ( $1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$, TCDA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 5.02-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 0.11 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 0.03 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 0.08 \mathrm{H})$, $4.14-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 4.16 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.03 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 0.05 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4.16 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta$ 176.96, 174.44, 173.84, 172.47, 84.48, 81.45, 79.71, 66.73, 47.86, 47.32, 46.31, 29.33, 24.95.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.70} / 4+I_{4.49-4.54} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=96.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.16}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.70} / 4+I_{4.49-4.54} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=1.0 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left(I_{2.70} / 4+I_{4.49-4.54} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=2.9 \%$.

90\% SA and 10\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC9S91.


SA ( $1.80 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a
stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 5.07-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 0.30 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 0.20 \mathrm{H})$, $4.13-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4.40 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.04 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 0.16 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4.40 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.95,174.49,173.84,172.46,97.38,97.17,84.48,82.59$, 81.43, 79.71, 67.68, 66.73, 47.93, 47.22, 46.30, 29.32, 24.95.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.68} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.68} / 4+I_{4.48-4.55} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=90.9 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.17}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.68} / 4+I_{4.48-4.55} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=5.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left(I_{2.68} / 4+I_{4.48-4.55} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=3.6 \%$.

85\% SA and 15\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{14} \mathbf{S}_{86}$.


SA ( $1.70 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600

MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 5.01-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 0.50 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 0.16 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 0.33 \mathrm{H})$, $4.14-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4.66 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.04 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 0.28 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~s}, 4.66 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.97,174.45,173.86,172.48,97.41,97.19,82.62,81.45$, 67.70, 66.66, 47.95, 47.24, 46.33, 29.34, 24.97.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.69} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.69} / 4+I_{4.49-4.54} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=85.8 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.18}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.69} / 4+/ 4.49-4.54 / 2\right) \times 100 \%=10.3 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left(I_{2.69} / 4+I_{4.49-4.54} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=3.4 \%$.

80\% SA and 20\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{18} \mathbf{S}_{82}$.


SA ( $1.60 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, TFA-d): $\delta 4.99-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 0.66 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 0.22 \mathrm{H}), 4.47-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 0.44 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-$ $4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 4.88 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 0.07 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 0.37 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 4.88 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 170.85,168.33,167.73,166.36,91.29,91.07,78.38,75.34,73.62$, 61.57, 60.63, 41.83, 41.12, 40.20, 23.22, 18.84.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.68} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.68} / 4+I_{4.47-4.53} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=82 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.16}-I_{3.60}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.68} / 4+I_{4.47-4.53} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=12.3 \%$;

```
PBTC exo-endo [%] = I I.60 / (I2.68 / 4 + I4.47-4.53 / 2) > 100% = 5.7%.
```

70\% SA and 30\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{28} \mathbf{S}_{72}$.


SA ( $1.40 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{TCDA}(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,4-\mathrm{BDO}(4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, TFA-d): $\delta 5.03-5.16(m, 1.24 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 0.39 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 0.78 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-$ $4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5.64 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 0.66 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 5.64 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 177.00,174.48,173.88,172.51,97.44,97.22,84.53,82.64,81.49$, 79.77, 67.72, 66.78, 47.98, 47.27, 46.35, 29.37, 24.99.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.72} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=72 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.20}-I_{3.63}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=19.4 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.63} /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=8.6 \%$.

60\% SA and 40\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC ${ }_{38}$ S $_{62}$.


SA ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, TFA-d): $\delta 5.03-5.16(m, 1.88 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 0.61 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1.22 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-$ $4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 6.47 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 0.19 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1.03 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 6.48 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.91,174.40,173.80,172.41,97.36,97.14,82.54,81.41,79.68$, 67.64, 66.70, 66.60, 47.89, 47.19, 46.28, 29.28, 24.91.

PBS [\%] = $\left(I_{2.72} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=62.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.20}-I_{3.63}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=26.1 \% ;$
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.63} /\left(I_{2.72} / 4+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=11.8 \%$.

50\% SA and 50\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC48S52.


SA ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, TCDA ( $2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a
stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, TFA-d): $\delta 5.05-5.17$ (m, 2.72 H), 4.86 - 4.88 (m, 0.90 H), 4.51 - 4.57 (m, 1.81 H), $4.17-$ $4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 7.62 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.29 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1.52 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 7.62 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.97,174.45,173.84,172.47,97.41,97.20,84.52,82.62,81.46$, $79.69,67.70,66.76,66.66,47.95,47.24,46.33,29.34,24.97$.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.73} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.73} / 4+I_{4.51-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=52.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.20}-I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.73} / 4+I_{4.51-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=32.3 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.64} /\left(I_{2.73} / 4+I_{4.51-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=15.2 \%$.

## 30\% SA and 70\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{67} \mathbf{S}_{33}$.



SA ( $0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT (34 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$,

TFA-d): $\delta 5.05-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6.16 \mathrm{H}), 4.87-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2.04 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 4.06 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-$ 4.25 (m, 12.23 H), 3.66 (s, 0.76 H ), 3.22 (s, 3.29 H ), $2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 12.21 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.95,174.43,173.82,172.45,97.41,97.19,84.51,82.61$, 81.46, 79.70, 67.68, 67.57, 66.65, 47.93, 47.23, 46.32, 29.32, 24.95.

PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.74} / 4\right) /\left(I_{2.74} / 4+I_{4.53-4.58} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=33 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.22}-I_{3.66}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{2.74} / 4+I_{4.53-4.58} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=41.7 \% ;$
PBTC ${ }_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.66} /\left(I_{2.74} / 4+I_{4.53-4.58} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=25.1 \%$.

100\% TCDA synthetized homopolyester PBTC.


TCDA ( $4.60 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h and $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , TFA-d): $\delta 5.03-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.50-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.63 (s, 0.48 H$), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1.50 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): ס 174.40, 173.76, 172.41, $97.39,97.17,84.49,82.57,81.44,79.71,67.63,67.53,47.91,47.20,46.30,24.94$, 24.87, 24.77.

PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left(I_{3.19}-I_{3.63}\right) /\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.63}\right) \times 100 \%=51.5 \% ;$

```
PBTC }\mp@subsup{}{\mathrm{ exo-endo [%] = 2 I I.63 }/(I3.19 + I I.63) > 100% = 48.5%.}{
```


## Polymerization of FCDA and TCDA with 1,4-BDO



100\% FDCA synthetized homopolyester PBF.


FDCA ( $3.12 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h and $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(600 \mathrm{MHz}$, TFA-d): $\delta 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 161.49$, 147.08, 120.21, 67.18, 24.89.

95\% FDCA and 5\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC4F96.


FDCA ( $2.96 \mathrm{~g}, 19.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 4.82-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 0.04 \mathrm{H})$, $4.17-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4.25 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 0.02 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 0.06 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4.16 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 161.61,147.21,120.34,82.59,81.42,67.63,67.31,47.30$, 25.02.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.23} / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62-3.68}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=96.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.19}-I_{3.62-3.68}\right) / 2\right] /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62-3.68}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=1.9 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.62-3.68} /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62-3.68}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=1.9 \%$.

90\% FDCA and 10\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC9F91.


FDCA ( $2.81 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed
under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 0.28 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 0.08 \mathrm{H})$, $4.48-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 0.20 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4.39 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.04 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 0.16 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-$ 1.88 (m, 4.40 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 174.47,173.86,161.64,147.23,120.37$, 97.40, $97.18,84.49,82.61,81.46,79.73,67.65,67.33,47.97,47.24,46.33,25.05,24.84$.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.22} / 2\right) /\left(I_{7.22} / 2+I_{4.48-4.53} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=90.9 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.18}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{7.22} / 2+I_{4.48-4.53} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=5.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left(I_{7.22} / 2+I_{4.48-4.53} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=3.6 \%$.

85\% FDCA and $15 \%$ TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$.


FDCA ( $2.65 \mathrm{~g}, 17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 0.42 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 0.14 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4.88 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 0.04 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 0.24 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 4.60 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 173.82,161.61,147.17,120.28,82.53,81.45,67.58,67.25,47.16$,
24.96, 24.83, 24.75.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.26} / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.26} / 2+\left(I_{3.21}+I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=87.7 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.21}-I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] /\left[17.26 / 2+\left(I_{3.21}+I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=8.8 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.65} /\left[/ 7.26 / 2+\left(I_{3.21}+I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=3.5 \%$.

80\% FDCA and 20\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC ${ }_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{82}$.


FDCA ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.25$ (s, 2 H ), 5.02 - 5.15 (m, 0.66 H$), 4.83-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 0.21 \mathrm{H})$, $4.50-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 0.44 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4.88 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.09 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 0.35 \mathrm{H})$, $1.73-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4.88 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , TFA-d): $\delta 174.43,173.83,172.46,161.61$, 147.20, 120.59, 97.37, $97.15,84.45,82.58,81.42,67.62,67.30,67.20,47.93,47.21,46.30$, 25.01, 24.80.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.25} / 2\right) /\left(I_{7.25} / 2+I_{4.50-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=82.0 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.17-3.20}-I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{7.25} / 2+I_{4.50-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=10.6 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.64} /\left(I_{7.25} / 2+I 4.50-4.56 / 2\right) \times 100 \%=7.4 \%$.


FDCA ( $2.18 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1.11 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 0.37 \mathrm{H})$, $4.20-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6.30 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.14 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 0.60 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 5.49 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 168.34,167.73,166.35,155.51,141.11,114.24,91.27,91.05,78.38$, $76.49,75.33,73.61,61.20,41.82,41.12,40.21,18.92,18.78,18.71$.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7} .26 / 2\right) /\left[I_{7} .26 / 2+\left(13.20+I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=73.0 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.20}-I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] /\left[/ 7.26 / 2+\left(I_{3.20}+I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=16.8 \% ;$
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.64} /\left[I_{7.26} / 2+\left(I_{3.20}+I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=10.2 \%$.

60\% FDCA and 40\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC ${ }_{36} \mathbf{F}_{64}$.


FDCA ( $1.87 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$, TCDA ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1.71 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 0.57 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1.15 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6.38 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 0.25 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 0.89 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-$ 1.92 (m, 6.37 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 174.45,173.85,172.48,161.63,147.23$, 120.37, $97.41,97.20,82.60,81.46,79.74,67.65,67.33,67.23,47.97,47.24,46.33,25.11$, 24.91, 24.83.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.27} / 2\right) /\left(I_{7.27} / 2+I_{4.52-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=63.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.21}-I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] /\left(17.27 / 2+I_{4.52-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=20.3 \% ;$
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.65} /\left(I_{7.27} / 2+I_{4.52-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=15.9 \%$.

50\% FCDA and 50\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$.


FDCA ( $1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{TCDA}(2.30 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,4-\mathrm{BDO}(4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h ,
$180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2.55 \mathrm{H}), 4.84-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 0.84 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1.70 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 7.41 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 0.32 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1.38 \mathrm{H})$, 1.73 - 1.91 (m, 7.41 H ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 174.34,173.75,172.38,161.54$, $147.14,120.28,97.31,97.09,82.52,81.36,81.27,67.57,67.24,67.14,47.85,47.15,46.24$, 25.02, 24.96, 24.82, 24.75.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.26} / 2\right) /\left(I_{7.26} / 2+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=54.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.18-3.25}-I_{3.64}\right) / 2\right] /\left(17.26 / 2+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=28.6 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.64} /\left(I_{7.26} / 2+I_{4.51-4.56} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=17.3 \%$.

30\% FDCA and 70\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC ${ }_{67} \mathrm{~F}_{33}$.


FDCA ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $3.22 \mathrm{~g}, 14.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO ( $4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h , $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.27$ (s, $2 H$ H), $5.04-5.17$ (m, 5.99 H$), 4.86-4.94$ (m, 1.99 H),
$4.52-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3.98 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 12.18 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 0.87 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3.11 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ 1.92 (m, 12.19 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 174.36,173.75,172.95,172.37,161.61$, 147.16, 120.31, $97.33,97.11,82.53,81.38,81.28,67.58,67.50,67.15,47.86,47.16,46.25$, 25.04, 24.97, 24.84, 24.76.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.27} / 2\right) /\left(I_{7.27} / 2+I_{4.52-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=33.4 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.21}-I_{3.65}\right) / 2\right] /\left(I_{7.27} / 2+/ 4.52-4.57 / 2\right) \times 100 \%=37.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.65} /\left(I_{7.27} / 2+I_{4.52-4.57} / 2\right) \times 100 \%=29.1 \%$.

Polymerization of SA, FCDA and TCDA with 1,4-BDO.


9\% SA, 81\% FDCA and 10\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $\mathbf{1 0}_{10}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{90}\right)_{90}$.


SA ( $0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{FDCA}(2.53 \mathrm{~g}, 16.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, TCDA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,4-\mathrm{BDO}(4.50$ $\mathrm{g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz , TFA-d): $\delta 7.23$ (s, 2 H ), $5.00-5.13$ (m, 0.36 H ), 4.82
$-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 0.12 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5.15 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 0.07 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 0.17 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 0.44$ H), 1.69 - 1.89 (m, 4.91 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.87,174.33,173.74,172.34$, 161.61, 147.47, 120.26, 97.29, $97.08,84.38,82.50,81.36,79.62,67.53,67.22,66.62,47.86$, 47.12, 46.22, 29.26, 24.94, 24.84, 24.76.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.23} / 2\right) /\left[l_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+l_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=81.3 \%$;
PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[I_{7} .23 / 2+I_{2} .70 / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=8.9 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.18}-I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=4.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.62} /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=5.7 \%$;
PBF in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.23} / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=90.1 \%$;
PBS in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[17.23 / 2+l_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=9.9 \%$.
$10.5 \%$ SA, $77.5 \%$ FDCA and $12 \%$ TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{12}\left(\mathbf{S}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}\right)_{88}$.


SA ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 2.11 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{FDCA}(2.42 \mathrm{~g}, 15.49 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{TCDA}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}), 1,4-\mathrm{BDO}$ $(4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA}-\mathrm{d}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 0.38$ H), 4.82-4.85 (m, 0.12 H), $4.38-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4.15 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1.08 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 0.08 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ (s, 0.22 H), $2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 0.52 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 5.04 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.64$,
173.53, 161.31, 146.91, 120.03, 97.08, 96.85, 84.17, 82.29, 81.13, 79.40, 67.33, 67.00, 66.41, 47.64, 46.92, 46.01, 29.02, 24.72, 24.60, 24.51.

PBF [\%] $=\left(l_{7.24} / 2\right) /\left[l_{7.24} / 2+I_{2.71} / 4+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=78.1 \%$;
PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[I_{7.24} / 2+I_{2.71} / 4+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=10.1 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.19}-I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] /\left[I_{7.24} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=5.5 \% ;$
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.62} /\left[I_{7.24} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.19}+I_{3.62}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=6.2 \% ;$
PBF in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{7} .24 / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.24} / 2+I_{2} .70 / 4\right] \times 100 \%=88.5 \%$;
PBS in PBSF $[\%]=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[I_{7.24} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=11.5 \%$.
8.5\% SA, $76.5 \%$ FDCA and 15\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC $_{15}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathbf{F}_{90}\right)_{85}$.


SA ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), FDCA ( $2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO (4.50 $\mathrm{g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as light yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 600 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 0.54 \mathrm{H}), 4.81$ - $4.84(\mathrm{~m}, 0.19 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5.41 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.11 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 0.28 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 0.44$ H), 1.69 - 1.87 (m, 5.02 H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, TFA-d): $\delta 176.76,174.25,173.65,172.27$, $161.61,147.03,120.15,97.20,96.98,84.28,82.41,81.25,79.53,67.44,67.12,66.52,47.76$, 47.03, 46.13, 29.15, 24.84, 24.72, 24.63.

PBF [\%] $=\left(l_{7.22} / 2\right) /\left[l_{7.22} / 2+l_{2.70} / 4+\left(l_{3.17}+l_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=76.6 \%$;
PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[I_{7.22} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.17}+I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=8.4 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.17}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left[I_{7.22} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.17}+I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=6.5 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left[17.22 / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.17}+l_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=8.4 \%$;
PBF in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.22} / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.22} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=90.1 \%$;
PBS in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[l_{7.22} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=9.9 \%$.
12.7\% SA, 72.3 \% FDCA and 15\% TCDA synthetized copolyester PBTC ${ }_{15}$ ( $\mathbf{S}_{15} \mathbf{F}_{85}$ ) 85 .


SA ( $0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 2.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), FDCA ( $2.25 \mathrm{~g}, 14.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), TCDA ( $0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,4-BDO $(4.50 \mathrm{~g}, 50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBT ( $34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added into a 25 mL three neck round bottom flask equipped with a stirring paddle. The air in the apparatus was evacuated and replaced with nitrogen (99.99\%). The esterification reaction was conducted under nitrogen flow at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $1 \mathrm{~h}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then the polycondensation reaction was performed under vacuum at $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h. After cooling to room temperature, the resultant polymer was obtained as lightly yellow solid material without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $600 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{TFA}-\mathrm{d}$ ): $\delta 7.23$ (s, 2 H ), $5.00-5.10$ (m, 0.50 $\mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 0.17 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4.44 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1.44 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~s}, 0.10 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 0.30$ H), $2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 0.70 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 5.49 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 150 MHz , TFA-d): $\delta 176.23,173.71$, 173.11, 171.73, 161.61, 146.50, 119.62, 96.66, 96.44, 83.75, 81.87, 80.72, 78.99, 66.91, 66.59, 65.99, 47.23, 46.50, 45.59, 28.61, 24.31, 24.19, 24.11.

PBF [\%] $=\left(I_{7.23} / 2\right) /\left[l_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=72.7 \%$;
PBS [\%] $=\left(I_{2} .70 / 4\right) /\left[17.23 / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+l_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=12.7 \%$;
PBTC $_{\text {exo-exo }}[\%]=\left[\left(I_{3.18}-I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=7.8 \%$;

PBTC $_{\text {exo-endo }}[\%]=I_{3.61} /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4+\left(I_{3.18}+I_{3.61}\right) / 2\right] \times 100 \%=7.8 \%$;
PBF in PBSF [\%] = ( $\left.I_{7.23} / 2\right) /\left[I_{7.23} / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=85.1 \%$;
PBS in PBSF [\%] $=\left(I_{2.70} / 4\right) /\left[/ 7.23 / 2+I_{2.70} / 4\right] \times 100 \%=14.9 \%$.

■ Optimized the Synthesis of 2,5-Furandicarboxylic salt (FDCA ${ }^{2-}$ )



Figure S1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{FDCA}^{2-}$ synthetized by different molten salt.


Figure S2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{FDCA}^{2-}$ synthetized by different catalysts.


Figure S3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{FDCA}^{2-}$ optimizing in the HCOONa.


Figure S4. Carboxylation activities by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ under $\mathrm{KOAc} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

When $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ were used as catalysts, the intermediate products were $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$, respectively, which could be further decomposed to $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ at high temperatures (Equation S1-S4).
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}+\mathrm{FCA}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FDCA}^{2-2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}}+\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$
$2 \mathrm{KHCO}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
$\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}+\mathrm{FCA}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FDCA}^{2-2 \mathrm{~K}^{+}}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$
$2 \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4} \longrightarrow \mathrm{~K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}+\mathrm{FCA}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathrm{FDCA}^{2-2 K^{+}}$

Thus, we hypothesized that the different catalytic activity between $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ lead to the effect on carboxylation of furoate by using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was greater than that of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. To confirm this, a control experiment was conducted using 1 eq of $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ as catalysts under the unified condition (Figure S4). A $36 \%$ conversion of $\mathrm{FCA}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}$for $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3}$ as catalyst, compared with a trace amount of the desired carboxylation catalyzed by $\mathrm{K}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (Figure S4, Equation S5). This result suggests that the continuous conversion between $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}{ }^{-}$and $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{2-}$ by $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ lead to a superior activity to that of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$.

## Reactivity Ratio of TCDA and SA with 1,4-BDO

The reactivity ratio obtained by kinetics experiments according to the basic data in Table S1 revealed that $r_{\text {SA }}$ and $r_{\text {TCDA }}$ are 1.136 and 0.880 , respectively.

Table S1. The data of kinetics calculation.

| SA <br> $(\mathbf{2 . 5 ~ m m o l})$ | SA: BDO =1:1 |  | $\boldsymbol{C \sim \boldsymbol { t }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | $C_{t=0}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | Conv. | $C_{t}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\left[C_{t=0}-\mathrm{C}_{t}\right](\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| 60 s | 6.47 | $2.53 \%$ | 0.1636 | 6.3064 |
| 80 s | 6.47 | $3.19 \%$ | 0.2063 | 6.2637 |
| 90 s | 6.47 | $3.98 \%$ | 0.2574 | 6.2126 |
| 100 s | 6.47 | $5.74 \%$ | 0.3712 | 6.0988 |
| TCDA <br> $(\mathbf{1 ~ m m o l})$ | TCDA : BDO =1:1 |  | $\boldsymbol{C} \sim \boldsymbol{t}$ |  |
| Time | $C_{t=0}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | Conv. | $C_{t}(\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L})$ | $\left[C_{t=0}-C_{t}\right](\mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{L})$ |
| 120 s | 4.31 | $5.67 \%$ | 0.2442 | 4.0658 |
| 180 s | 4.31 | $7.41 \%$ | 0.3191 | 3.9909 |
| 240 s | 4.31 | $10.87 \%$ | 0.4681 | 3.8419 |
| 300 s | 4.31 | $12.43 \%$ | 0.5353 | 3.7747 |

The reactivity ratios were calculated using Mayo-Lewis equation (Equation S6), usually applied to chain-growth copolymerization deduced from free radical copolymerization (FRCP). Mayo-Lewis equation was also able to be used as mathematically applicable to the step-growth copolymerization, even though the reaction mechanism of step-growth copolymerization is different from FRCP. ${ }^{2}$ The relevant parameters calculated with $r_{\mathrm{SA}}=$ 1.136 and $r_{\text {TCDA }}=0.880$ were listed in Table S2. Then, the molar composition of PBTCxSy according to the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and Mayo-Lewis equation were showed in Figure S5. It suggested that the calculated result is agree well with the copolymerization result.
$\mathrm{F}_{1}=\frac{r_{1} f_{1}^{2}+f_{1} f_{2}}{r_{1} f_{1}^{2}+2 f_{1} f_{2}+r_{1} f_{1}^{2}}$

Table S2. Calculation for the determination of reactivity ratios of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ and the root
mean square (RMS) error. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r_{\text {SA }}=1.136$ <br> $r_{\text {TCDA }}=0.880$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SA feed | SA incorp. <br> $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR) | $f_{1}$ | $f_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~F}_{1}$ calc. | $\mathrm{F}_{1}{ }^{\star} 100$ | diff. | square |
| 100 | 100.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.0000 | 100.00 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
| 95 | 96.15 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.9557 | 95.57 | 0.577854 | 0.333915 |
| 90 | 90.91 | 0.90 | 0.10 | 0.9109 | 91.09 | -0.18075 | 0.032671 |
| 85 | 86.20 | 0.85 | 0.15 | 0.8655 | 86.55 | -0.35482 | 0.125898 |
| 80 | 81.97 | 0.80 | 0.20 | 0.8196 | 81.96 | 0.006665 | $4.44 \mathrm{E}-05$ |
| 70 | 71.68 | 0.70 | 0.30 | 0.7261 | 72.61 | -0.92949 | 0.863945 |
| 60 | 62.30 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.6302 | 63.02 | -0.72051 | 0.519134 |
| 50 | 52.63 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.5319 | 53.19 | -0.55725 | 0.310529 |
| 30 | 33.22 | 0.30 | 0.70 | 0.3275 | 32.75 | 0.471217 | 0.222045 |
| 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.0000 | 0.00 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | AVE | 2.408181 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RMS error | 1.55183149 |

a: $r_{1}=r_{\mathrm{SA}}=$ the reactivity ratio of $\mathrm{SA} ; r_{2}=r_{\mathrm{TCDA}}=$ the reactivity ratio of TCDA; $f_{1}=$ the feed fraction of $S A ; f_{2}=$ the feed fraction of TCDA; $F_{1}=$ the composition fraction of SA; $F_{2}=1-$ $\mathrm{F}_{1}$, the composition fraction of TCDA; $r_{1}=r_{\mathrm{SA}}=\mathrm{k}_{11} / \mathrm{k}_{12} ; r_{2}=r_{\mathrm{TCDA}}=\mathrm{k}_{22} / \mathrm{k}_{21}$; The chain end for SA and TCDA are nearly identical with both ending $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{OH}$, thereby, $r_{1}=r_{\mathrm{SA}}=\mathrm{k}_{1} / \mathrm{k}_{2}$; $r_{2}=r_{\mathrm{TCDA}}=\mathrm{k}_{2} / \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{1}=$ the reaction rate constant of any chain end with $\mathrm{SA} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}=$ the reaction rate constant of any chain end with TCDA;


Figure S5. The "SA" incorporation fraction (\%) determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Red) and calculated by Mayo-Lewis equation (Blue).

## Crystallization Behaviors

Isothermal crystallization behavior of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{y}$ and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} F_{y}$ were evaluated by DSC. The evaluation of the relative crystallinity, $X_{c}$ vs the half-time of crystallization ( $t_{1 / 2}$ ) for the two series of polyesters at different temperatures were recorded in Figures S6-S10. Since crystallization could not be carried out at the same temperature for these polyesters due to the large differences in crystallization rates, leading to directly comparing the crystallization of these polyesters is unfeasible. ${ }^{3}$ However, a relative evaluation of the crystallizability of the two series of polyesters could be obtained by plotting $t_{1 / 2}$ against the crystallization supercooling defined as $\left[T_{m}^{0}-T_{c}\right]$, where $T_{m}^{0}$ is the equilibrium melting temperature and $T_{c}$ is the crystallization temperature. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ can be obtained via Hoffman-Weeks plot of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, as shown in Figures S6-S9. Firstly, the exothermal curves were recorded as a function of crystallization time (Figure S6A, Figure S7A, Figure S8A and Figure S9A), and the crystallization rate becomes slower and the exothermic peaks become broader for tested samples. Meanwhile, double-melting endotherms can be observed in DSC curves after isothermal crystallization, exception for isothermal crystallization behavior of PBS at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the low-temperature melting endotherm visibly shift to higher temperature. However, the high-temperature melting temperature slightly shift to higher temperature. Thus, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ of homopolyesters PBS and PBF is 114 and $175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively, though the values of PBS and PBF are lower than those reported in the literatures $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}\right.$ of PBF and PBS is 184.5 and $128.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively). ${ }^{4,5}$ As expected, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ values display the same trend with composition as was observed for the $T_{m}$ measured, because of the decrease of crystallization after the incorporation of TCDA units. A comparison of PBS and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ reveal that the $t_{1 / 2}$ of polyesters increases enormously from 1.8 min to 9.7 min for relatively small amounts of

TCDA incorporated into the polyesters under the same supercooling $\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, more than five times for 9\% of TCDA) (Figure S10A). This variation trend was also observed for PBF and PBTC $_{4} F_{96}$, and the $\mathrm{t}_{1 / 2}$ values increases from 5.9 min to 15.2 min with the increase of TCDA units under the same supercooling ( $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) shown in Figure S10B. This result may be interpreted as the decreased chain mobility and the difficulty in chain packing by incorporation tricyclic units, and this behavior is accordance with the results of DSC and WAXD data. In addition, kinetic data afforded by the Avrami analysis of the results obtained at the selected crystallization temperatures are summarized in Table S3. The corresponding Avrami data plots $\log \left[-\ln \left(1-X_{c}\right)\right]$ vs $\log \left(t-t_{0}\right)$ for the illustrative crystallization temperature are shown in Figure S10C and S10D. the Avrami exponent $n$ is composed of the time dimension which is related to the nucleation mode (homogeneous or heterogeneous) and growth dimension of crystal. For the two series, the Avrami exponent $n$ ranged from 2.18 to 2.86 , indicating a similar crystallization mechanism, which is attributed to the heterogeneous nucleation with two to three dimensional growth. The Avrami parameters $k$ are indicative of the crystallization rates, ${ }^{5,6}$ and as was expected, they decreased after the incorporation of TCDA.


Figure S6. (A) DSC curves of isothermal crystallization at different temperature for PBS; (B) DSC heating scans for PBS crystallized at different temperature from the melt; (C) Development of relative crystallinity with crystallization time at different temperature for PBS; (D) Hoffman-Weeks plots of $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ for PBS.


Figure S7. (A) DSC curves of isothermal crystallization at different temperature for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$;
(B) DSC heating scans for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ crystallized at different temperature from the melt; (C)

Development of relative crystallinity with crystallization time at different temperature for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$; (D) Hoffman-Weeks plots of $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$.


Figure S8. (A) DSC curves of isothermal crystallization at different temperature for PBF; (B) DSC heating scans for PBF crystallized at different temperature from the melt; (C) Development of relative crystallinity with crystallization time at different temperature for PBF; (D) Hoffman-Weeks plots of $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ for PBF.


Figure S9. (A) DSC curves of isothermal crystallization at different temperature for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$;
(B) DSC heating scans for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$ crystallized at different temperature from the melt; (C)

Development of relative crystallinity with crystallization time at different temperature for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$; (D) Hoffman-Weeks plots of $T_{\mathrm{m}}$ vs $T_{\mathrm{c}}$ for $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$.



Figure S10. Isothermal crystallization under the same supercooling of $\mathrm{PBS} / \mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ and PBF/PBTC ${ }_{4} F_{96}$. Evaluation of the relative crystallinities with $t-t_{0}(A$ and $B)$. Avrami plots ( $C$ and D).

Table S3. The relevant parameters of isothermal crystallization behavior of polyesters.

| Polyester | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> $\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$ | $t_{1 / 2}$ <br> $[\mathrm{~min}]$ | n | $\log k$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PBS | 84 | 114 | 30 | 1.8 | 2.86 | 1.885 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ | 74 | 104 | 30 | 9.7 | 2.43 | 0.061 |
| $\mathrm{PBF}^{-\mathrm{O}}$ | 140 | 175 | 35 | 5.9 | 2.43 | -0.082 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$ | 124 | 159 | 35 | 15.2 | 2.18 | -0.620 |

WAXD was used to study the effection of TCDA moiety on the crystal structure of PBF and PBS. The main diffraction peaks of PBF crystal located at $2 \theta$ values are $17.9^{\circ}, 22.6^{\circ}$ and $25.0^{\circ}$, and diffraction peaks of PBS are $19.6^{\circ}, 21.9^{\circ}$ and $22.6^{\circ}$. There are no additional diffraction peaks were observed for the two series co-polyesters, indicated TCDA moiety does not damage the monoclinic crystal structure of PBF and PBS (Figures S11).


Figure S11. WAXD curves of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (left) and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ (right).

The intensity of the diffraction peaks gradually weakened with the increase of TCDA moiety, which disturb the crystalline phase of the PBS or PBF. While the percentage of TCDA moiety exceed $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$, only two broad peaks are found for PBTCx $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ series, revealing that these co-polyesters are poorly crystalline or amorphous. This phenomenon was observed for the $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} S_{y}$ series as TCDA moiety exceed $30 \mathrm{~mol} \%$.

Furthermore, Polarizing Optical Microscope (POM) was conducted to investigate the influence of TCDA moiety on the of PBTCxFy and PBTCxSy. Maltese crosses and concentric annulus extinction, namely, spherulites was observed for PBF, and the spherulite diameter of PBF is about $38 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ before impinging with each other (Figure S12A). However, the lower crystallization of PBTC $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{x}}$ compared with PBF lead to the size of spherulites dramatically decrease (less than $10 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ) with increase of TCDA moiety (Figures S12B and C), and very small spherulites with blurry boundaries are observed for PBTC9F91. In contrast, accumulational spherulites are formed because of the high nucleation density of PBS, and the sizes of spherulites increase with the improving the contents of TCDA (Figures S12DF). The spherulite diameter of PBS is about $35 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ because of the impingement of spherulites with each other. With the incorporation of TCDA units, the PBTCxSy copolyesters
show ring-banded spherulites, and the band spacing decrease with the increase of TCDA units. In addition, the spherulite density decrease with the increase of TCDA units while the spherulite sizes increase (e.g., about $86 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ ) with higher TCDA content in $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} S_{y}$ copolyesters. The reason of this phenomenon may be interpreted that the supercoiling and chain regularity of copolyesters decrease by incorporating stiffness units, and distorted lamellae and coarse bands are formed. ${ }^{7}$


Figure S12. Crystalline morphology of polyesters isothermally crystallized.

## ■ Thermal and Mechanical Behaviors

Table S4. Thermal stability and mechanical properties of ternary-polyesters.

| Polyester | TGA |  | Mechanical property |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}, 5 \%}$ [ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{d}, \text { max }} \\ {\left[{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} E_{t} \\ {[\mathrm{MPa}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \sigma_{\mathrm{m}} \\ {[\mathrm{MPa}]} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon_{b} \\ {[\%]} \end{gathered}$ |
| PBTC ${ }_{\text {c }} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBS | 333.3 | 396.1 | $400 \pm 48$ | $33.0 \pm 3.8$ | $20.1 \pm 4.5$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{96}$ | 331.5 | 393.7 | $218 \pm 36$ | $23.6 \pm 1.9$ | $120.3 \pm 10$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ | 328.8 | 390.0 | $312 \pm 45$ | $27.1 \pm 0.6$ | $160.8 \pm 9.2$ |
| PBTC $_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{86}$ | 325.8 | 385.6 | $145 \pm 12$ | $22.3 \pm 4.9$ | $665.3 \pm 75$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{18} \mathrm{~S}_{82}$ | 323.8 | 386.6 | $132 \pm 20$ | $19.1 \pm 4.9$ | $1200.8 \pm 41$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{28} \mathrm{~S}_{72}$ | 318.9 | 386.6 | $73 \pm 12$ | $8.9 \pm 0.6$ | 2000.6 $\pm 100$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{38} \mathrm{~S}_{62}$ | 315.2 | 383.2 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{48} \mathrm{~S}_{52}$ | 312.6 | 383.3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~S}_{33}$ | 306.4 | 377.8 | $10 \pm 3$ | $19.6 \pm 7.6$ | $8.6 \pm 2.7$ |
| PBTC | 305.8 | 368.4 |  |  |  |
| PBTC ${ }_{\text {x }} \mathrm{F}_{\mathbf{y}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBF | 354.9 | 387.9 | $1600 \pm 50$ | $67.7 \pm 5.4$ | $12.4 \pm 4.1$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$ | 351.2 | 387.1 | $1480 \pm 48$ | $65.4 \pm 10.4$ | $48.2 \pm 8.4$ |
| PBTC $9_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{91}$ | 344.3 | 373.6 | $1490 \pm 75$ | $56.0 \pm 7.6$ | $110.4 \pm 10.3$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$ | 341.0 | 377.5 | $1408 \pm 52$ | $49.5 \pm 6.7$ | $60.5 \pm 5.7$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{82}$ | 337.5 | 374.8 | $958 \pm 42$ | $51.4 \pm 3.8$ | $25.0 \pm 3.0$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{73}$ | 331.5 | 378.1 | $1030 \pm 70$ | $53.2 \pm 5.2$ | $13.0 \pm 3.2$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{36} \mathrm{~F}_{64}$ | 327.9 | 378.5 | 930 $\pm 69$ | $55.8 \pm 4.6$ | $16.0 \pm 4.0$ |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$ | 318.6 | 385.8 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~F}_{33}$ | 308.5 | 372.4 |  |  |  |

Table S5. Thermal behavior of ternary-polyesters.

| Polyester | DSC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{WAXD}^{b}$ <br> $X_{c}$ <br> [\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1^{\text {st }}$ Heating |  | Cooling |  | $2^{\text {nd }}$ Heating |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \\ {\left[{ }^{\mathrm{C}]}\right]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta H_{m}[\mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}} \\ {\left[{ }^{\mathrm{C}]}\right]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$ <br> [J/g] | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}} \\ {\left[{ }^{\mathrm{C}}\right]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}} \\ {\left[{ }^{\mathrm{C}}\right]} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\Delta H_{m}$ <br> [J/g] | $\begin{aligned} & X_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{a} \\ & {[\%]} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| PBTC $_{\text {x }} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBS | 117.5 | 75.8 | 73.3 | 70.1 | -37.5 | 116.0 | 72.2 | 36.1 | 39.4 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{96}$ | 108.8 | 53.7 | 62.0 | 53.2 | -27.0 | 104.8 | 52.0 | 24.3 | 26.2 |
| PBTC $9_{9} \mathrm{~S}_{91}$ | 103.1 | 39.2 | 31.8 | 13.7 | -24.5 | 102.9 | 38.1 | 16.4 | 24.5 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{14} \mathrm{~S}_{86}$ | 93.7 | 24.4 | nd. | nd. | -23.8 | 91.0 | 17.9 | 7.1 | 22.9 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{18} \mathrm{~S}_{82}$ | 85.8 | nd. | nd. | nd. | -22.9 | nd. | nd. |  | 19.8 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{28} \mathrm{~S}_{72}$ | 58.1 | nd. | nd. | nd. | -6.4 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{38} \mathrm{~S}_{62}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 4.5 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{48} \mathrm{~S}_{52}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 10.7 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| PBTC $67 \mathrm{~S}_{33}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 32.4 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| PBTC | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 78.1 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PBF | 173.3 | 39.1 | 105.0 | 53.2 | 36.4 | 170.8 | 38.5 | 29.8 | 35.1 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$ | 164.8 | 38.1 | 109.0 | 5.3 | 39.8 | 164.0 | 36.9 | 27.1 | 31.6 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{91}$ | 152.1 | 21.9 | nd. | nd. | 42.6 | 146.5 | nd. |  | 23.4 |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$ | 138.2 | 3.1 | nd. | nd. | 44.4 | 126.7 | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{82}$ | 130.1 | nd. | nd. | nd. | 45.9 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{73}$ | 101.3 | nd. | nd. | nd. | 50.5 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{36} \mathrm{~F}_{64}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 54.1 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 54.9 | nd. | nd. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~F}_{33}$ | nd. | nd. | nd. | nd. | 59.9 | nd. | nd. |  |  |

a: Crystallinity index calculated by comparing the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}$ with the value of an infinitely large crystal $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}\right)$, taken as $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}=129 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}$ for $\mathrm{PBF}^{5} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}=200 \mathrm{~J} / \mathrm{g}$ for $\mathrm{PBS}^{8}$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}} / \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0} / \varphi \times 100 \%, \varphi$ is the weight percentage of PBF or PBS in the PBTC $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}}$ or PBTCxFy.
$b$ : Crystallinity index calculated as the quotient between the crystalline area and total area quantified in the X -ray diffraction profiles.
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{g}}=$ galss transition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ transition temperature, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ crystallization temperature, and $\Delta \mathrm{H}=$ enthalpy of transition.

TGA Characterization of Polymers.


Figure S13. TGA characterization of PBTC, PBS and PBF.


Figure S14. TGA characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(4-18)} \mathrm{S}_{(96-82)}$.


Figure S15. TGA characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(28-67)} \mathrm{S}_{(72-33)}$.


Figure S16. TGA characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(4-18)} \mathrm{F}_{(96-82)}$.


Figure S17. TGA characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(27-67)} \mathrm{F}_{(73-33)}$.


Figure S18. TGA characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}}$.

## DSC Characterization of Polymers.



Figure S19. DSC characterization of PBS (A-C), PBF (D-F), and PBTC (G-I).


Figure S20. DSC characterization of $\operatorname{PBTC}_{(4-18)} \mathrm{S}_{(96-82)}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C})$, and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(28-67)} \mathrm{S}_{(72-33)}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F})$.


Figure S21. DSC characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(4-18)} \mathrm{F}_{(96-82)}(\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{(27-67)} \mathrm{F}_{(73-33)}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{F})$.


Figure S22. DSC characterization of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}}$.

## Transparency




Figure S23. (A) UV-vis absorption of monomers ( $1 \mathrm{mg} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ in dimethyl sulfoxide); (B) UV-vis transmittance of PBF and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$ films; (C) UV-vis transmittance of PBS and $\mathrm{PBTC}_{28} \mathrm{~S}_{72}$ films. The thickness of sample films is around $170 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

## Mechanical Property of co-Polyesters.



Figure S24. The mechanical property of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}}$.


Figure S25. The mechanical property of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{y}}$.


Figure S26. The mechanical property of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{\mathrm{x}}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}}$.

## - Gas Barrier Properties of Polyesters



Figure S27. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ barrier properties of $(A)$ PBTC $_{x} \mathrm{~F}_{y}$ series; $(\mathrm{B}) \mathrm{PBTC}_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{y}$ series. ( 1 barrer $=10^{-10}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~cm} /\left(\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~s} \mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{Hg}\right)$.

## FTIR Spectra of Monomers and Polyesters



Figure S28. ATR-FTIR spectra of (A) TCDA and FDCA monomers; (B) PBTC ${ }_{x} F_{y}$ series; (C) PBTC $_{x} S_{y}$ series; (D) $\mathrm{PBTC}_{x}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{y}$ series.
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Figure S29. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of TCDA.
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Figure S30. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of TCDA.


Figure S31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of FDCA.
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Figure S32. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of FDCA.


Figure S33. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PBS.


Figure S34. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of PBS.
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Figure S35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathbf{S}_{96}$.


Figure S36. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathbf{S}_{96}$.
$-11.37$



Figure S37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC} \mathbf{C}_{9} \mathbf{S}_{91}$.


Figure S38. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBT} \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathbf{S}_{91}$.


Figure S39. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{PBTC}{ }_{14} \mathbf{S}_{86}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 4 0} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{14} \mathbf{S}_{86}$.


Figure S41. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{P B T C}{ }_{18} \mathbf{S}_{82}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S} 42 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{PBTC} \mathbf{1 8}_{18} \mathbf{S}_{82}$.


Figure S43. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{28} \mathbf{S}_{72}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S} 44 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{28} \mathrm{~S}_{72}$.


Figure S45. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{38} \mathbf{S}_{62}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S} 46 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{38} \mathrm{~S}_{62}$.


Figure S47. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{48} \mathbf{S}_{52}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 4 8} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{48} \mathrm{~S}_{52}$.


Figure S49. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~S}_{33}$.


Figure S50. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~S}_{33}$.


Figure S51. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PBTC .


Figure S52. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of PBTC.


Figure S53. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PBF.


Figure $\mathbf{S 5 4} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of PBF .


Figure S55. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathbf{F F}_{96}$.


Figure S56. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{96}$.


Figure S57. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}{ }_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{91}$.


Figure S58. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{9} \mathrm{~F}_{91}$.


Figure S59. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 0} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 1 .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}{ }_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{82}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 2 .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}{ }_{18} \mathrm{~F}_{82}$.


Figure S63. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{73}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 4}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{27} \mathrm{~F}_{73}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 5}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{36} \mathrm{~F}_{64}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 6 6} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{36} \mathrm{~F}_{64}$.


Figure S67. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$.


Figure S68. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$.


Figure S69. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~F}_{33}$.


Figure S70. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{67} \mathrm{~F}_{33}$.


Figure S71. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{10}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{90}\right)_{90}$.
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Figure S72. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{10}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{90}\right)_{90}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 7 3 .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of PBTC $_{12}\left(\mathbf{S}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}\right)_{88}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 7 4 .}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{12}\left(\mathbf{S}_{12} \mathrm{~F}_{88}\right)_{88}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 7 5 .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{15}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{90}\right)_{85}$.


Figure $\mathbf{S 7 6} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of PBTC $_{15}\left(\mathbf{S}_{10} \mathrm{~F}_{90}\right)_{85}$.




Figure $\mathbf{S 7 7 .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\operatorname{PBTC}{ }_{15}\left(\mathbf{S}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{85}\right)_{85}$.


Figure S78. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{15}\left(\mathbf{S}_{15} \mathrm{~F}_{85}\right)_{85}$.




Figure S79. Section of 2D COSY and HMBC spectra of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{46} \mathrm{~F}_{54}$.


Figure S80. Section of 2D COSY and HMBC spectra of $\mathrm{PBTC}_{48} \mathrm{~S}_{52}$.
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