Supporting Information

A Closed-loop and Scalable Process for Production of Biomass-derived Superhydrophilic Carbon for Supercapacitors

Rong Fu^a, Chang Yu^{*a}, Shaofeng Li^a, Jinhe Yu^a, Zhao Wang^a, Wei Guo^a, Yuanyang Xie^a, Le Yang^a, Kunlun Liu^a, Weicheng Ren^a and Jieshan Qiu^{* a,b}

^aState Key Lab of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemical Engineering, Liaoning Key Lab for Energy Materials and Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian 116024, China

^bCollege of Chemical Engineering, Beijing University of Chemical Technology, Beijing, China

> *Corresponding author: E-mail: chang.yu@dlut.edu.cn (C. Yu) ; carbon@dlut.edu.cn (J. S. Qiu)



Fig. S1. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential thermogravimetry (DTG) curves of boric acid in a N_2 atmosphere.



Fig. S2. (a, b) SEM images of DFC.



Fig. S3. SEM images of $(a, b) B_{0.5}$ -SC and $(c, d) B_3$ -SC.



Fig. S4. XRD patterns of B_{0.5}-SC and B₃-SC.







Fig. S6. (a) Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherms and (b) pore size distributions of $B_{0.5}$ -SC and B_3 -SC.



Fig. S7. XPS survey spectra of $B_{0.5}$ -SC and B_3 -SC.



Fig. S8. High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) N 1s and (b) B 1s for B_{0.5}-SC and B₃-SC.



Fig. S9. (a) The CV curves of different cycle numbers and (b) cycling performance for B_1 -SC at 20 mV s⁻¹.

Fig. S10. SEM image of B₁-SC after CV cycling test.

Fig. S11. The CV curves for $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC at scan rates of (a) 20 mV s⁻¹ and (b) 100 mV s⁻¹.

Fig. S12. The GC curves for $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC at current densities of (a) 1 A g^{-1} and (b) 30 A g^{-1} .

Fig. S13. The gravimetric specific capacitances for the $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC at current densities from 0.5 to 50 A g⁻¹.

Fig. S14. Nyquist plots of the $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC (inset: a magnification for the high-frequency region).

Fig. S15. Electrochemical performance of B_1 -SC based symmetric supercapacitors in various electrolytes: CV curves at different scan rates from 5 to 200 mV s⁻¹ with 1 M H_2SO_4 (a) and 1 M TEABF₄/PC (c), respectively; GC curves at various current densities of 0.5-4 A g⁻¹ with 1 M H_2SO_4 (b), 1 M TEABF₄/PC (d) and 10 mol kg⁻¹ NaOTF (e), respectively.

Fig. S16. (a) The cycling performance of B_1 -SC for the symmetric supercapacitor with 1 M H_2 SO₄ at 4 A g⁻¹. The first ten cycles (b) and last ten cycles (c) of GC curves.

Fig. S17. The process flow chart of scalable and green production for B_1 -SC.

Fig. S18. The digital photograph of (a) the B_1 -SC black product and (b) recycled boric acid.

Sample	S _{BET} ^a (m ² g ⁻¹)	$\frac{V_{\text{total}}}{(\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1})}$	V_{micro}^{c} (cm ³ g ⁻¹)	$\frac{V_{\text{meso}}^{d}}{(\text{cm}^3\text{g}^{-1})}$	W _d ^e (nm)	V _{micro} /V _{total} f %	V _{meso} /V _{total} ^g %	V _{macro} /V _{total} ^h %
DFC	1073	0.63	0.28	0.17	7.7	44	27	29
B _{0.5} -SC	676	0.37	0.18	0.15	4.8	49	41	10
B ₁ -SC	844	0.55	0.20	0.20	6.9	36	36	28
B ₃ -SC	668	0.54	0.12	0.10	11.1	22	19	59

Table S1. Pore structure parameters of DFC, $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC measured by N_2 adsorption–desorption isotherms.

^{*a*} Specific surface area calculated by BET method. ^{*b*} Total pore volume calculated by DFT method. ^{*c*} Micropore volume (DFT, pore size < 2 nm). ^{*d*} Mesopore volume (DFT, 2 nm < pore size < 50 nm). ^{*e*} Adsorption average pore diameter. ^{*f*} Percentage of micropore volume in total pore volume (DFT). ^{*g*} Percentage of mesopore volume in total pore volume (DFT). ^{*h*} Percentage of macropore volume in total pore volume in total pore volume (DFT).

Sample	C at%	O at%	B at%	N at%
DFC	86.78	8.77	/	4.45
B _{0.5} -SC	74.40	14.15	4.94	6.51
B ₁ -SC	73.46	13.88	5.94	6.72
B ₃ -SC	77.05	12.63	4.25	6.07

Table S2. Percentages of carbon, oxygen, boron and nitrogen elements in DFC, $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC derived from XPS analysis (based on the atomic ratio).

Table S3. Total N content and the percentages of different N species in DFC, $B_{0.5}$ -SC, B_1 -SC and B_3 -SC derived from the XPS analysis.

			2		
Comula	N total ^a	N-6 ^b	N-5 ^c	N-Q ^d	N-X ^e
Sample	at%	%	%	%	%
DFC	4.34	1.30	1.43	1.17	0.43
B _{0.5} -SC	6.48	2.33	1.81	1.68	0.65
B ₁ -SC	6.69	1.34	2.61	2.21	0.54
B ₃ -SC	6.05	2.06	1.94	1.82	0.24

^{*a*} Total N content. ^{*b*} Pyridinic N (N-6). ^{*c*} Pyrrolic N (N-5). ^{*d*} Quanternary N (N-Q). ^{*e*} Pyridine-N-oxide (N-X).

Sample	Electrolyte	Capacitances or Energy density	Current densities or Power density	Measuremen t configuration	Ref.
B ₁ -SC	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	181 F g ⁻¹ 6.0 Wh kg ⁻¹	0.5 A g ⁻¹ 1.0 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	This work
B/N–carbon nanosphere	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	60 F g ⁻¹ 2.1 Wh kg ⁻¹	0.5 A g ⁻¹ 2.7 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	1
N-doped porous biochar	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	147 F g ⁻¹ 76 F g ⁻¹	0.05 A g ⁻¹ 10 A g ⁻¹	2-Electrode	2
HPCSLS	7 М КОН	104 F g ⁻¹ 3.6 Wh kg ⁻¹	20 A g ⁻¹ 5.7 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	3
G/CNTs-200	1 M Na ₂ SO ₄	33 F g ⁻¹ 8.2 Wh kg ⁻¹	2 mV s ⁻¹ 0.9 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	4
BCN	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	228 F g ⁻¹ 7.9 Wh kg ⁻¹	1 A g ⁻¹ 0.2 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	5
BMG-h	$1 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	122 F g ⁻¹	1 A g ⁻¹	2-Electrode	6
B-rGO	$1 \text{ M H}_2\text{SO}_4$	240 F g ⁻¹	0.5 A g ⁻¹	3-Electrode	7
NPOC	$0.5 \text{ M H}_2 \text{SO}_4$	215 F g ⁻¹ 123 F g ⁻¹	1 mV s ⁻¹ 80 A g ⁻¹	3-Electrode	8
LGPCN	6 M KOH	11.7 Wh kg ⁻¹	0.03 kW kg ⁻¹	2-Electrode	9

Table S4. A comparasion of reported symmetric carbon based aqueous supercapacitors with our B₁-SC capacitor.

Equation S1. The possible redox reactions for the N-configurations (1)-(3), O-functional groups (4) and (5) as well as B species (6) in the acidic electrolyte, which refers to the literature¹⁻⁹.

- 1. J. Hao, J. Wang, S. Qin, D. Liu, Y. Li and W. Lei, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2018, 6, 8053-8058.
- M. Zhang, C. Yu, Z. Ling, J. Yu, S. Li, C. Zhao, H. Huang and J. Qiu, *Green Chem.*, 2019, 21, 2095-2103.
- 3. J. Pang, W. Zhang, J. Zhang, G. Cao, M. Han and Y. Yang, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3916-3926.
- 4. B. Ding, D. Guo, Y. Wang, X. Wu and Z. Fan, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **398**, 113-119.
- 5. A. K. Thakur, M. Majumder, R. B. Choudhary and S. B. Singh, *J. Power Sources*, 2018, **402**, 163-173.
- 6. R. Nankya, J. Lee, D. O. Opar and H. Jung, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2019, **489**, 552-559.
- 7. T. Zhu, S. Li, B. Ren, L. Zhang, L. Dong and L. Tan, J. Mater. Sci., 2019, 54, 9632-9642.
- 8. C. Cui, Y. Gao, J. Li, C. Yang, M. Liu, H. Jin, Z. Xia, L. Dai, Y. Lei, J. Wang and S. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 7928-7933.
- 9. Q. Niu, K. Gao, Q. Tang, L. Wang, L. Han, H. Fang, Y. Zhang, S. Wang and L. Wang, *Carbon*, 2017, **123**, 290-298.