Supplementary information for:

A sustainable strategy for fabricating porous carbon supported Sn submicron spheres by self-generated Na₂CO₃ as templates for lithium-

ion batteries anode

Kun Liu^{a,1}, Jia-ao Wang^{b,1}, Hongfei Zheng^c, Shuhan Guo^a, Xiaofei Wang^a, Jianzong Man^a, Xinyu Wang^a, Juncai Sun^{a,*}

aInstitute of Materials and Technology, Dalian Maritime University, Dalian 116026,

China

^bDepartment of Chemistry and the Oden Institute for Computational Engineering and

Sciences, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 78712-0165, USA

^cDepartment of Materials, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding author: <u>sunjc@dlmu.edu.cn</u> (J. Sun)

Supplementary Figures



Fig. S1. The basic information of DSSC.



Fig. S2. XRD pattern of unwashed Sn/PC/Na₂CO₃ after carbonization.



Fig. S3. FE-SEM image of Sn/PC after carbonized at 650 °C.



Fig. S4. FE-SEM image of Sn/PC after carbonized at 850 °C.



Fig. S5. Raman spectra of (a) Sn/PC and (b) Sn/PC-850.



Fig. S6. TGA curves of (a) Sn/PC and (b) Sn/PC-850.



Fig. S7. N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherm of commercial Sn powder (the inset shows

pore size distribution curves).



Fig. S8. O 1s spectra of XPS.



Fig. S9. FE-SEM image of unwashed Sn/PC/Na₂CO₃ after carbonization.



Fig. S10. FE-SEM image of commercial Sn powder.



Fig. S11. TEM images of (a) Sn/PC and (b) Sn/PC-850.



Fig. S12. CV curves of commercial Sn powder.



Fig. S13. Initial discharge/charge curve of commercial Sn powder at 100 mA/g.



Fig. S14. The Li⁺ diffusion coefficient of Sn/PC-850.



Fig. S15. (a) CV curves of commercial Sn powder at various scan rates from 0.1 to 1 mV/s. (b) The corresponding log(i) versus log(v) plots at each redox peak. (c) CV curve at 0.1 mV/s with the capacitive contribution to the total current. (d) The ratio of capacitive and diffusion-controlled contribution at various sweep rates.



Fig. S16. Morphological characterization after cycling: The SEM images of (a) Sn/PC and (b) commercial Sn powder after 200 cycles at 100 mA/g.



Fig. S17. XRD patterns of Sn/PC after cycling.



Fig. 18. Ex-situ XPS spectra of Sn/PC electrode after initial charge to 3 V and after 20 cycles: (a-b) Sn 3d; (c-d) C 1s.



Fig. S19. In-situ XRD patterns of Sn/PC electrode recorded during initial two galvanostatic charge-discharge cycles (100 mA/g).

| Materials | Current | Cycle | Capacity | The wastes and hazardous reagents | Ref. |
|-----------------|---------|--------|----------|---|-----------|
| | (mA/g) | Number | (mAh/g) | | |
| Sr/C corr/shall | 40 | 100 | 5467 | DMF, Tin (II) 2-ethylhexanoate, Anhydrous | [1] |
| Sn/C core/snell | 40 | 100 | 546./ | ethanol, Acetic acid | [1] |
| C/Sn | 100 | 500 | 501 | Ethanol, Furfural | [2] |
| Sn/Graphene | 100 | 100 | ~500 | Hydrazine hydrate, Concentrated H ₂ SO ₄ , | [3] |
| | | | | Concentrated H ₃ PO ₄ , H ₂ O ₂ , KMnO ₄ | |
| Sn/G/GNS | 100 | 200 | 557 | Concentrated H ₂ SO ₄ , Concentrated H ₃ PO4, | [4] |
| | | | | H ₂ O ₂ , KMnO ₄ , HCl, NaBH ₄ | |
| Sn@C | 50 | 100 | 520 | Absolute ethanol, Formaldehyde, | [5] |
| | | | | Dimethylformamide (DMF) | |
| Sn–Ni–Cu | 100 | 50 | 533 | Choline chloride, Ethylene glycol, 1 M HCl | [6] |
| Sn-Ni@C/G | 100 | 100 | 503 | KCl byproduct, Ethanol | [7] |
| Sn@2DLMG | 100 | 200 | 539 | Tri(propylene glycol) diacrylate, 1- | [8] |
| | | | | Hydroxycyclohexyl phenyl ketone | |
| Sn@Ti3C2Tx | 100 | 200 | 586.38 | Hydrochloric acid, Sodium borohydride | [9] |
| Porous C/Sn | 20 | 15 | ~420 | NaOH, DMF, Formaldehyde | [10] |
| Sn-CNF | 100 | 50 | ~400 | DMF, Ethanol | [11] |
| Sn/PC | 100 | 200 | 588 | Na ₂ CO ₃ template, No hazardous reagents | This work |

Table S1. Comparison of the obtained results in this work with previously reported

electrochemical performance of Sn-based composite electrodes for LIBs.

References

- [1] Z. Yang, Q. Meng, W. Yan, J. Lv, Z. Guo, X. Yu, Z. Chen, T. Guo, R. Zeng, *Energy*, 2015, 82, 960–967.
- [2] Y. Cheng, Z. Yi, C. Wang, Y. Wu, L. Wang, Chem. Eng. J., 2017, 330, 1035–1043.
- [3] F.R. Beck, R. Epur, D. Hong, A. Manivannan, P.N. Kumta, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2014, **127**, 299–306.
- [4] J. Zhu, X. Ding, J. Alloy. Compd., 2019, 809, 151870–151877.
- [5] X. Tao, R. Wu, Y. Xia, H. Huang, W. Chai, T. Feng, Y. Gan, W. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 3696–3702.
- [6] S.C. Rao, X.L. Zou, S.J. Wang, T.Y. Shi, Y. Lu, L. Ji, H.Y. Hsu, Q. Xu, X.G. Lu, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2019, 166, D427–D434.
- [7] H. Zhang, M.R. Zhang, M.L. Zhang, L. Zhang, A.P. Zhang, Y.M. Zhou, P. Wu,
 Y.W. Tang, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2017, 501, 267–272.
- [8] S. Ding, W. Cheng, L. Zhang, G. Du, X. Hao, G. Nie, B. Xu, M. Zhang, Q. Su, C.A. Serra, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2021, 589, 308–317.
- [9] Q. Yang, Y. Xia, G. Wu, M. Li, S. Wan, P. Rao, Z. Wang, J. Alloy. Compd. 2021, 859, 157799–157806.
- [10] Y. Xu, Y. Zhu, Y. Liu, C. Wang, Adv. Energy Mater. 2013, 3, 128.
- [11] H. Wang, J. Zhou, J. Sun, Y. Wang, Y. Ma, Z. Bai, Y. Zhao, W. Zhang, Int. J. Electrochem. Sci., 2020, 15, 9849–9863.