Mo-doped/Ni-supported ZnIn₂S₄ wrapped NiMoO₄ S-scheme heterojunction photocatalytic reforming of lignin into hydrogen
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Experimental Methods

Characterization

The morphologies and microstructures of the samples were examined by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, SU8220, Hitachi) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F, JEOL, equipped with an energy dispersive spectroscopy). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded by Bruker D8 advance X-ray diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation in the region of 2θ = 5-80° at 40 kV and 20 mA. Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) specific surface area of all samples was obtained by N₂ adsorption-desorption at 77 K (TristarII3flex, Micrometrics). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Axis Ultra, Kratos Analytical Ltd.) measurements were performed to determine valence state of element samples. Ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy measurement (hv=21.2 eV), a bias of -10 V was applied to the sample to avoid interference of the spectrometer threshold in the UV photoelectron spectra. Photoluminescence (PL) spectra and time-resolved PL decay spectra were recorded on an Edinburgh FI/FSTCSPC 920 spectrophotometer under the excitation wavelength of 320 nm at room temperature. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were conducted on a Thermo Scientific Nicolrt IS50 spectrophotometer. Ultraviolet-visible diffuse reflectance spectra (UV-vis DRS) were collected on a Varian Cary 5000 Scan UV-vis spectrometer with BaSO₄ as the reference. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) measurements were carried out on a Bruker model A300 spectrometer. Atomic Force Microscopy (AFM) and Kelvin Probe Force Microscopy (KPFM) was carried to analyze the structure imaging and surface potential (Bruker, Dimension ICON).

Photoelectrochemical measurements

Electrochemical measurements were carried out on an electrochemical workstation (CHI660E, Chenhua, Shanghai). electrochemical system in a standard three-electrode cell, using the prepared samples as the working electrodes with an active area of 1.0 cm², a Pt plate as the counter electrode and an Hg/HgCl electrode (saturated KCl) as
the reference electrode. Na$_2$SO$_4$ (0.2 M) aqueous solution was used as the electrolyte,

$$E_{(\text{vs NHE})} = E_{fb} - E_{Hg_2Cl_2} + 0.059 \times \text{pH}.$$  

Fig. S1 SEM of (a) ZIS and (b) NMO.

Fig. S2 XRD patterns of NiMoO$_4$ before and after calcination.

It can be seen from the Fig. S2 that the calcination process is not to improve the crystal form, but to convert from NiO and H$_2$MoO$_4$ to NMO.
It can be seen from Fig. S3a that the diffraction peaks of NMO gradually disappear with the increase of temperature, which is mainly due to the dissolution of NMO, so that it is supported in the structure of ZIS in the form of Mo doping and Ni deposition. Fig. S3b can confirm that the composite structure of NMO@M-ZIS-N can also be synthesized by different alcohol solvents, and the corresponding SEM images are shown in Fig. S3b.

As can be seen from Fig. S4, compared with the morphologies of ZIS (Fig. S1), the morphologies size of Mo-ZIS (M-ZIS), Mo-ZIS-Ni (M-ZIS-N) and ZIS-Ni (ZIS-N) is significantly smaller, but it is still a flower-like structure composed of agglomeration of nanosheets.
Through the XPS test, the atomic percentage of the sample can be obtained, such as Mo (5.2%), Ni (3.5%), O (22.6%), In (19.3%), Zn (9.1%), and S (40.3%). According to the ratio of the peak area of Ni deposition to Ni$^{2+}$ peak area and the ratio of Mo$^{5+}$ peak area to Mo$^{6+}$ peak area, we can approximate the content of Ni deposition (0.54%) and Mo doping (2.1%).
Fig. S7 XPS spectra of samples

Fig. S8 Ni 2p of nickel foam.

Fig. S9 XPS spectra of Mo 3d for 10 NMO@ZIS (top) and Mo-ZIS (down).

The synthesis method of Mo doped ZIS (Mo-ZIS) is the same as NMO@M-ZIS-N,
which was replaced NMO with \((\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}\).

The synthesis method of Ni doped ZIS (Ni-ZIS) is the same as NMO@M-ZIS-N, which was replaced NMO with Ni(CH\text{3}COO)\text{2}.

The synthesis method of Ni doped ZIS (Ni-ZIS) is the same as NMO@M-ZIS-N, which was replaced NMO with NiO, indicating the formation of metal Ni loading ZIS wrapped NiO, consistent with the previous report.\text{1}
As is shown in Fig. S12, it is confirmed that Mo doping and Ni deposition can be achieved in different alcohol solutions, demonstrating the generalizability of the method we developed.

Fig. S13 Optical photos of the synthesized catalysts with increase in the content of NiMoO₄.
As shown in Fig. S13, the color of NMO@ZIS prepared via different amounts of NMO, becomes significantly darker with the increase of NMO, indicating that the doping density and Ni loading enhance by increasing in the content of NMO.
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**Fig. S14** (a) Optical photos of the synthesized catalysts at different temperatures and corresponding to the (b) UV-vis DRS spectra.

It can be seen from Fig. S14a that the color of the catalyst gradually increased with increasing temperature, revealing the significant increase in Mo doping and Ni loading. Moreover, the UV-vis DRS spectra of 10 NMO@M-ZIS-N-X in Fig. S14b show the absorption edge of the catalysts gradually red-shifted with the increase of temperature, which is ascribed to the change of those Mo doping and Ni loading.
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**Fig. S15** Photocatalysis HER rate of various photocatalysts with sodium lignin sulfonate as a
sacrificial agent.

The control samples with sodium lignosulfonate as sacrificial agent, was shown in Fig. S15. Obviously, compared with 10 NMO@M-ZIS-N, all the comparative samples except NMO/ZIS exhibited dramatically poor photocatalytic reforming lignin activity for hydrogen production. This is because NMO can generate strongly oxidized holes or hydroxyl radicals in NMO/ZIS to oxidize sodium lignosulfonate, while the electrons generated by ZIS reduce protons in water to hydrogen. On the contrary, the doping and metal deposition of Mo cannot effectively enhance the oxidation and reduction ability of ZIS, which cannot effectively oxidize sodium lignosulfonate, leading to the poor photocatalytic hydrogen evolution activity.

![Fig. S16](image.png)

**Fig. S16** (a) Mott-Schottky curves of the samples and (b) corresponding band structure.

Calculation of the energy band structure of photocatalytic composites based on different methods:

Based on Tauc plot of the samples and Mott-Schottky curves (Fig. S16a):^2

\[
E_{\text{vs NHE}} = E_f - E_{Hg_2Cl_2} + 0.059 \times pH
\]

where \(E_{\text{vs NHE}}\): represents the standard hydrogen potential; \(E_f\): represents the flat band potential; \(E_{Hg_2Cl_2}=0.223\) V; pH=7.
Based on theoretical calculation:\(^3\)

\[ E_{VB} = X - E_e + \frac{1}{2}E_g \]

\[ E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g \]

where \( X \) represents the absolute electronegativity of the semiconductor and \( E_e \) represents the free electron energy on the hydrogen scale (4.5 eV).

The calculation results are shown in Table S1, which is deviated from our analysis based on Tauc plot of the samples and Mott-Schottky curves. Here we take the experimental results as the standard.

**Table S1** Calculation of the energy band structure of photocatalytic composites based on different methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>NMO(^*)</th>
<th>ZIS(^*)</th>
<th>NMO(^$)</th>
<th>ZIS(^$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_g ) (eV)</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{CB} ) (eV)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{VB} ) (eV)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: \(^*\) Based on Mott-Schottky curves; \(^$\) Based on theoretical calculation.

**Table S2** Hydrogen evolution rates for 10 NMO@M-ZIS-N in this work compared with the reported work related to co-catalyst free photocatalysts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Sacrificial agent</th>
<th>Hydrogen production rate (mmol g(^{-1}) h(^{-1}))</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnIn(_2)S(_4)/LaNiO(_3)</td>
<td>TEOA</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnIn(_2)S(_4)@In(OH)(_3)</td>
<td>of Na(_2)S and Na(_2)SO(_3)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Solution Type</td>
<td>Components</td>
<td>Concentration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nanosheet@ZnIn$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>TEOA</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnIn$_2$S$_4$-rGO-CuInS$_2$</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>of Na$_2$S and Na$_2$SO$_3$</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WO$_3$/ZnIn$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>of Na$_2$S and Na$_2$SO$_3$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti$_5$C$_2$TX/ZnIn$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>TEOA</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGO/La-ZnIn$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>of Na$_2$S and Na$_2$SO$_3$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CdS-ZnIn$_2$S$_4$</td>
<td>Solution</td>
<td>of Na$_2$S and Na$_2$SO$_3$</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$-MIL-125(Ti)@ZnIn$_2$S$_4$/C-dS</td>
<td>MeOH/H$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 NMO@M-ZIS-</td>
<td>TEOA</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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