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## S1. Synthesis and Characterization of bzimpy ligands and complexes 1-4

Pincer ligands 2,6-bis(2-benzimidazolyl)pyridine and 2,6-bis[2-(5,6dimethylbenzimidazolyl)]pyridine were synthesized following published methods. ${ }^{1-2}$ The hydroxylated precursor to 2,6-bis(2-benzimidazolyl)-4-methoxypyridine was also synthesized following a previously published method. ${ }^{3}$ All other reagents were purchased and used without further purification.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis(2-benzimidazolyl)-4-methoxypyridine
Dry 2,6-bis(2-benzimidazolyl)-4-hydroxypyridine ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.27 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were placed in a 100 mL round bottom flask with a stir bar. A condenser was attached and the flask was charged with nitrogen. DMF ( 50 mL ) and MeI $(0.57 \mathrm{~mL} .9 .2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added. The flask was left to stir overnight at room temperature. The resulting mixture was then poured into 200 mL DI water. The precipitate was recovered and rinsed with DI water and left to dry. The ligand was recrystallized from hot methanol to yield 1.3 g ( $3.8 \mathrm{mmol}, 41 \%$ yield). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 500 MHz ): $\delta 4.06$ [s, 3 H$], 7.33$ [m, 4 H$], 7.76$ [m, 4 H$], 7.84$ [s, 2 H$], 12.96$ [s, 2 H$].{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz ): $\delta 56.1,107.1,111.8,119.7,122.2,123.7$, 134.3, 144.1, 149.4, 150.4, 167.3.

Synthesis of 2,6-bis[2-(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazol-2-yl)]-4-hydroxypyridine
Chelidamic acid ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was combined with 4,5-dimethyl-1,2phenyelendiamine ( $8.2 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a 500 mL round bottom flask with a stir bar. 200 mL of polyphosphoric acid was heated on a hotplate and poured into the flask. The reaction was heated to $240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h , then poured into 1 L of cold DI water with rapid stirring. The precipitate was collected with vacuum filtration and rinsed twice with 25 mL of DI water. The precipitate was slowly added to 1 L of a $10 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(\mathrm{aq})$ solution in under constant stirring. The resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate was rinsed twice with 25 mL of DI water and dried overnight in an oven at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude product was dissolved in a minimum amount of hot DMSO (about $10 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ ) and filtered hot. DI water was added to precipitate out the product as a white powder. The resulting
powder was resuspended in boiling water $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered to yield 3.2 g ( $31 \%$ yield) of 2,6-bis[2-(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazol-2-yl)]-4-hydroxypyridine, HO-L4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 300 MHz ): $\delta 2.37$ [s, 12 H$], 7.48$ [s, 4 H$], 7.64$ [s, 2 H$], 11.4$ [s broad, 1H], 12.7 [s broad, 2 H ].

## Synthesis of 2,6-bis[2-(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazol-2-yl)]-4-methoxypyridine, L4

 Dry HO-L4 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ were combined with DMF and $\mathrm{MeI}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described in the procedure above to yield $1.6 \mathrm{~g}(41 \%$ yield) of L4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(DMSO-d6, 500 MHz ): $\delta 2.34$ [s, 6 H$], 2.39$ [s, 6 H$], 4.03$ [s, 3 H ], $7.43[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}], 7.52[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}], 7.74[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}], 12.69[\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d6, 125 MHz ): $\delta 20.1,20.3,56.0,106.4,111.6,119.5,130.7,132.6,132.9,142.8,149.6,149.6$.
## Synthesis of Si(bzimpy) $\mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Complex 1

Complex 1 was synthesized according to previously reported procedures. ${ }^{4}$

## Synthesis of $\operatorname{Si}(\text { MeObzimpy })_{2}$ - Complex 2

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,6-bis(2-benzimidazolyl)-4-methoxypyridine $(1.380 \mathrm{~g}, 4.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine was added to a stirring suspension ( $1.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Upon addition of silicon tetrachloride $(0.23 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture instantly turned pale yellow color and was allowed to stir for 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting greenish-yellow suspension was warmed to room temperature, and the reaction allowed to proceed for 20 h with stirring. The light-yellow solid obtained was separated by removing the solvent via vacuum. The product was then dissolved in dichloromethane ( 150 mL ), and washed with DI water ( 3 x 50 mL ), then obtained solution was brought to dryness. Light yellow powder was then dissolved in $50: 50 \%$ methanol:dichloromethane mixture of total volume 90 mL , and was purified via silica gel column (mobile phase 50:50\% methanol:dichloromethane mixture). Obtained solution was dried to yield a bright light yellowish green solid, and dried in vacuo for 12 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.424 \mathrm{~g}, 30.0 \%)$. X-ray quality single crystals of $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{MeObzimpy})_{2}$ were obtained via slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$ : C, 67.97; H, 3.71; N, 19.82. Found: C, 67.38; H, 3.57; $\mathrm{N}, 19.62 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 4.44$ [s, 6 H$], 5.89$ [d, $\left.\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=9.5,4 \mathrm{H}\right], 6.93$ [m, 4 $\left.\mathrm{H}], 7.01[\mathrm{~m}, ~, 4 \mathrm{H}], 7.56\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=8.0,4 \mathrm{H}\right], 8.07 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{2} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right.$, 40/60 mixture, 125 MHz ): $\delta 59.3$ (2 C), 106.3 ( 4 C ), 121.1 ( 4 C ), 121.2 ( 4 C ), 124.0 ( 4 C), 126.1 ( 4 C ), 136.0 ( 4 C ), 148.0 ( 4 C ), 148.2 ( 4 C ), 148.7 ( 4 C$), 176.5$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{C}) .{ }^{29} \mathrm{Si}$ NMR( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac}) 3$ added, $40 / 60$ mixture, 99 MHz$): \delta-187.4$. MS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=706.9$

## Synthesis of $\operatorname{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bzimpy}\right)_{2}$ - Complex 3

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,6-bis[2-(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazolyl)]pyridine $(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in chloroform $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and triethylamine was added to a stirring suspension ( $0.76 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Upon addition of silicon tetrachloride $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.39 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture instantly turned orange and was allowed to stir for 5 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting brownish-orange suspension was warmed to room temperature, and the reaction allowed to proceed for 18 h with stirring. The orange solid obtained was separated by removing the solvent via vacuum. The product was then dissolved in dichloromethane ( 100 mL ), and washed with DI water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then obtained solution was brought to dryness. Orange powder was then dissolved in 50:50 vol $\%$ acetonitrile:dichloromethane mixture of total volume 50 mL , and was purified via silica gel column (mobile phase 50:50 acetonitrile:dichloromethane mixture). Obtained solution was dried to yield a bright orange powder, and dried in vacuo for 12 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $0.454 \mathrm{~g}, 42.9 \%)$. X-ray quality single crystals of $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{bzimpy}\right)_{2}$ were grown by evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The structure revealed 8 water molecules included within the lattice. Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 2.25\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C, 69.11; H, 5.36; N, 17.52. Found: C, 69.2; H, 5.38; N, 17.37. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.03$ [s, 12 H ], 2.13 [s, 12 H$], 5.55[\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}], 7.28[\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}], 8.60\left[\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.9,4 \mathrm{H}\right], 8.84\left[\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}}=7.9,2\right.$ $\mathrm{H}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 20.2(4 \mathrm{C}), 21.3(4 \mathrm{C}), 111.7(8 \mathrm{C}), 119.1(4 \mathrm{C})$, 120.9 ( 4 C ), 133.0 ( 4 C ), 134.7 ( 4 C ), 135.4 ( 4 C ), 147.1 ( 4 C ), 147.7 ( 4 C ), 148.3 ( 2 C ) ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac}) 3\right.$ added, 99 MHz$): \delta-185.9 . \mathrm{MS}$ (MALDI-TOF): m/z= 758.5

## Synthesis of $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{MeOMe}_{2} \mathrm{bzimpy}\right)_{2}$ - Complex 4

Under a nitrogen atmosphere, 2,6-bis[2-(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazolyl)]-4methoxypyridine ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 2.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform $(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at RT, and triethylamine was added to a stirring suspension $(0.70 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.02 \mathrm{mmol})$. Upon addition of silicon tetrachloride $(0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture instantly turned yellow and the reaction allowed to proceed for 20 h with stirring. The yellow solid obtained was separated by removing the solvent via vacuum, the product was then dissolved in dichloromethane ( 120 mL ), and washed with DI water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), then obtained solution was brought to dryness. Orange powder was then dissolved in 50:50\% acetonitrile:dichloromethane mixture of total volume 100 mL , and was purified via silica gel column (mobile phase 50:50\% acetonitrile:dichloromethane mixture). Obtained solution was dried to yield a bright yellow powder, and dried in vacuo for 14 h at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $0.497 \mathrm{~g}, 49.8 \%$ ). X-ray quality single crystals were grown via slow evaporation from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. Structural analysis identified approximately 9 equivalents of water molecules trapped in the lattice. Elemental analysis (\%) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si} \cdot 3.6\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ : C,
65.23; H, 5.61; N, 15.85. Found: C, 66.70; H, 5.85; N, 14.35. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR(( $\left.\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 500$ MHz): $\delta 2.25$ [s, 4 H$], 2.40[\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}], 4.05$ [s, 4 H$], 7.46$ [s, 4 H$], 7.53$ [s, 4 H$], 7.76$ [s, 2 $\mathrm{H}] .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 54.9(4 \mathrm{C}) 59.3(4 \mathrm{C}), 105.1(2 \mathrm{C}), 111.0(4 \mathrm{C})$, 120.2 (4 C), 131.7 (4 C), 133.6 (4 C), 133.9 (4 C), 146.4 (4 C), 147.0 (4 C), 147.4 (s, 2 C). ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Si} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}, \mathrm{Cr}(\mathrm{acac}) 3\right.$ added, 99 MHz$): \delta-188.5$. MS (MALDI-TOF): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $=817.7$
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Figure S1. Cyclic voltammetry of Si complexes in dichloromethane using a Pt electrode and a scan rate of $200 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$. Potentials are referenced to ferrocene/ferrocenium.


Figure S2. Singlet fluorescence lifetime plots (time-correlated single photon counting) of complexes 1-4 in dichloromethane solutions.

## S3. AFM images and surface roughness measurements of thermally evaporated

## $\mathbf{S i}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}$ films.

Si(bzimpy) ${ }_{2} 90 \mathrm{~nm}$ film with 0.3 nm surface roughness


191204-bzimpy-90nm (2)

$\mathrm{Si}\left(\text { bzimpyMe } \mathrm{e}_{2}\right)_{2} 118 \mathrm{~nm}$ film with 0.6 nm surface roughness

$\mathrm{Si}(\text { bzimpyOMe })_{2} 18 \mathrm{~nm}$ film with 0.5 nm surface roughness

$\mathrm{Si}\left(\right.$ bzimpyMe $\left.\mathrm{Z}_{2} \mathrm{OMe}\right) 227 \mathrm{~nm}$ film with 0.5 nm surface roughness


## S3. Construction and prepartion for SCLC, OLED, and OPV Devices

Patterned ITO glass substrates (Ossila) were sonicated in acetone for 10 min , followed by sonication in isopropyl alcohol for 10 min . ITO glass was blown dry with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas and treated with UV ozone etch for 20 min . All thermally evaporated materials were deposited at $10^{-6} \mathrm{mbar}$ pressure using tungsten evaporation boats. Devices to test electron mobility were constructed by depositing the $\operatorname{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}$ derivatives directly onto the ITO electrodes followed by Al thermal evaporation using the following rates and resulting thicknesses: $\operatorname{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}-90 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bzimpy }\right)_{2}-115 \mathrm{~nm}$ at 0.3 $-0.4 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}(\text { MeObzimpy })_{2}-21 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.1 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}(\text { MeOMebzimpy })_{2}-27 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.10 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{Al}-20 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 130 nm at $2.0 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Devices to test hole mobility were constructed by depositing the $\operatorname{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}$ derivatives onto PEDOT:PSScoated ITO electrodes (preparation described below) followed by Au thermal evaporation using the following rates and resulting thicknesses: $\operatorname{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}-91 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bzimpy }\right)_{2}-115 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.3-0.4 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}(\text { MeObzimpy })_{2}-21 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.1 \AA \AA^{-1}$, $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{MeOMebzimpy})_{2}-5 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.10 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{Au}-51 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2-0.25 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 130 nm at $2.0 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. OLEDs $\mathrm{MoO}_{3} / \mathrm{NPB} / \mathrm{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2} / \mathrm{LiF} / \mathrm{Al}$ were constructed using the following rates and resulting thicknesses: $\mathrm{MoO}_{3}-10 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.12 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{NPB}-32 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.12 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \operatorname{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}-91 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bzimpy }\right)_{2}-77 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.3-0.4 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{MeObzimpy})_{2}-81 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.1 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}(\text { MeOMebzimpy })_{2}-53 \mathrm{~nm}$ at 0.01-0.10 $\AA$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$, LiF - 7.6 nm at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{Al}-20 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ and 130 nm at $2.0 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$. Solar cells were constructed using PEDOT:PSS hole conductor layer and P3HT:PCBM active layers. PEDOT:PSS solution was filtered through a $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ PES filter. This solution and the ITO glass were placed on a hot plate and heated to $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to spin coating. The ITO glass was spun at 2000 rpm for 40 s , while $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of PEDOT:PSS solution was deposited onto the slide. The film was allowed to dry for 3 min at room temperature. A second layer of PEDOT:PSS was added by spin coating $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ onto the substrate at 2000 rpm for 40 s . Slides were placed onto a hot plate for three min at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The wide conductive strips of the ITO glass were wiped with a cotton swab dipped in water. The films were annealed at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min on a hot plate inside an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled glove box. A P3HT:PCBM solution ( 15 mg P3HT and 12 mg PCBM in 1 mL 1,2-dichlorobenzene) was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a hot plate inside an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled glove box for at least 2 h before use. The ITO glass was spun at 1900 rpm for 22 s in a spin coater, while $90 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of P3HT:PCBM solution was deposited onto the substrate. The film was allowed to dry for 5 min at room temperature. Films were annealed at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a hot plate, inside an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled glove box for 10 min . Si(bzimpy) $)_{2}$ electron transport layers were deposited on the active layer at $10^{-6}$ mbar pressure at the following rates and resulting thicknesses: $\mathrm{Si}(\text { bzimpy })_{2}-3.5 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.2 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}, \mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{2} \text { bzimpy }\right)_{2}-4.0 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.3-0.4 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{MeObzimpy})_{2}-6.0 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-0.1 \AA \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, and $\mathrm{Si}(\text { MeOMebzimpy })_{2}-7.0 \mathrm{~nm}$ at $0.01-$ $0.15 \AA^{-1}$. Al was deposited as a top electrode for the solar cell and OLED devices using the same conditions used for the electron mobility device measurements.

## S4 Crystallographic Information

X-ray crystallography data were acquired with an Agilent (now Rigaku) Gemini A Ultra diffractometer. Crystals of suitable size were coated with a thin layer of paratone-N oil, mounted on the diffractometer, and flash cooled to 100 K in the cold stream of the Cryojet XL liquid nitrogen cooling device (Oxford Instruments) attached to the diffractometer. The diffractometer was equipped with sealed-tube long fine focus X ray sources with Mo target $(\lambda=0.71073 \AA)$ and $\mathrm{Cu} \operatorname{target}(\lambda=1.5418 \AA)$, four-circle kappa goniometer, and CCD detector. CrysAlisPro ${ }^{5}$ software was used to control the diffractometer and perform data reduction. The crystal structure was solved with SHELXS. ${ }^{6}$ All non-hydrogen atoms appeared in the E-map of the correct solution. Alternate cycles of model-building in Olex $2^{7}$ and refinement in SHELXL ${ }^{6}$ followed. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atom positions were calculated based on idealized geometry and recalculated after each cycle of least squares. During refinement, hydrogen atom - parent atom vectors were held fixed (riding motion constraint).

Solvent disorder modeling: Solvent disorder in $\mathbf{4}$ was modeled using idealized water molecules (DFIX) with partial occupancy in two separate partitions. For two pairs of water molecules (nearly overlapping but in separate partitions) the oxygen atom anisotropic displacement parameters were further constrained with EADP. Solvent disorder in $\mathbf{3}$ prevented the identification of all hydrogen atom locations associated with water molecules. One idealized water molecule and seven idealized OH fragments (all restrained with DFIX) were optimized with full occupancy without partitioning.

All structures and crystallographic data have been deposited with CCSD, and a summary of the structure and refinement paramaters are provided in the following tables.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 2 (CCSD \#2049551).

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
$\mathrm{a} / \AA$
b/ $\AA$
c/ $\AA$
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume/ $\AA^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\mathrm{F}(000)$
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
Radiation
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Si}(\text { MeObzimpy })_{2}$
$\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Si}$
706.804
99.98(10)
monoclinic
P2 $1 / \mathrm{c}$
9.5059(3)
30.4222(7)
11.8486(4)

90
103.699(3)

90
3329.03(17)

4
1.410
1.072
1469.5
$0.64 \times 0.072 \times 0.0396$
$\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$
8.22 to 133.46
$-8 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 11,-36 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 35,-14 \leq 1 \leq 13$
22280
$5884\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0480, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0365\right]$
5884/0/582
1.077
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0433, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1000$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0536, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1075$
0.32/-0.46

Table 2. Crystal data and structure refinement for 3 (CCSD \#2056293).

| Identification code | $\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{bzimpy}\right)_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{Si} \bullet 8\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |
| Formula weight | 896.034 |
| Temperature/K | $90(4)$ |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{Pca}_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{a} / \AA$ | $22.1141(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{b} / \AA$ | $10.9744(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | $19.8058(8)$ |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume $/ \AA^{3}$ | $4806.6(4)$ |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}$ |  |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$ | 1.238 |
| $\mathrm{~F}(000)$ | 0.110 |
| Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}{ }^{3}$ | 1885.2 |
| Radiation | $0.376 \times 0.308 \times 0.056$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$ | 6.66 to 57.42 |
| Index ranges | $-19 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 27,-14 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 14,-26 \leq 1 \leq 18$ |
| Reflections collected | 15306 |
| Independent reflections | $7865\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0342, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0483\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | $7865 / 8 / 624$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.051 |
| Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0482, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1185$ |
| Final R indexes [all data $]$ | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0622, \mathrm{wR} \mathrm{R}_{2}=0.1288$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole $/ \mathrm{e} \AA \AA^{-3}$ | $0.42 /-0.29$ |
| Flack parameter | $-0.13(14)$ |
|  |  |

Table 3. Crystal data and structure refinement for 4 (CCSD \#2049366).

Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/ $\AA$
b/ $\AA$
$c / \AA$
$\alpha{ }^{\circ}$
$\beta /{ }^{\circ}$
$\gamma^{\circ}$
Volume/ $\AA^{3}$
Z
$\rho_{\text {calc }} \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
$\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
F(000)
Crystal size $/ \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
Radiation
$2 \Theta$ range for data collection $/{ }^{\circ}$
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
Final R indexes $[\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Si}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{MeObzimpy}\right)_{2}$
$\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{56.59} \mathrm{~N}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{9.29} \mathrm{Si}$
950.444
100.01(10)
monoclinic
P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$
10.78042(19)
23.4583(4)
20.0208(4)

90
95.6050(17)

90
5038.87(16)

4
1.253
0.943
2019.4
$0.11736 \times 0.06262 \times 0.06228$
$\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54184)$
7.54 to 133.5
$-12 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 12,-27 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 27,-21 \leq 1 \leq 23$
37658
$8909\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0438, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0350\right]$
8909/6/756
1.052
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0532, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1387$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0692, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1540$
0.68/-0.46

## S5. Computational Results



Molecular orbital diagram of frontier orbitals of 1-4. MOs calculated using DFT (B3LYP/6-31G*). Calculations were performed using Gaussian 16W with GaussView 6.1, and MO diagram was created using Chemissian (dengeneracy threshold 15 meV ) with occupied energy levels indicated as blue and unoccupied orbitals labeled black. A visual representation of the HOMO and LUMO of each species is also included (isovalue $=0.02$ ). Note the greater emphasis of pyridine orbitals in the LUMO orbitals (top row) and greater emphasis on benzamidazolyl moiety in the HOMO representations (bottom row).


1
2


3


4

## References

1. Addison, A. W.; Burke, P. J., Synthesis of some imidazole- and pyrazole- derived chelating agents. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1981, 18 (4), 803-805.
2. Günnaz, S.; Gökçe, A. G.; Türkmen, H., Synthesis of bimetallic complexes bridged by 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2-yl) pyridine derivatives and their catalytic properties in transfer hydrogenation. Dalton Trans. 2018, 47 (48), 17317-17328.
3. Froidevaux, P.; Harrowfield, J. M.; Sobolev, A. N., Calixarenes as Scaffolds: Introduction of Tridentate Rare Earth Metal Binding Units into Calix[4]arene. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39 (21), 4678-4687.
4. Kocherga, M.; Castaneda, J.; Walter, M. G.; Zhang, Y.; Saleh, N.-A.; Wang, L.; Jones, D. S.; Merkert, J.; Donovan-Merkert, B.; Li, Y.; Hofmann, T.; Schmedake, T. A., Si(bzimpy)2 - a hexacoordinate silicon pincer complex for electron transport and electroluminescence. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54 (100), 14073-14076.
5. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction. 2018, CrysAlisPro Software System, version 1.171.38.46.
6. Sheldrick, G., A short history of SHELX. Acta. Cryst. A 2008, 64 (1), 112-122.
7. Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H., OLEX2: a complete structure solution, refinement and analysis program. J. Appl.

Cryst. 2009, 42 (2), 339-341.

